1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI
3	2011 NOV 22 PM 2: 55 SANDRA K MARKHAM. CLERK
4	STATE OF ARIZONA )
5	Plaintiff, )
6	vs. ) Case No. V1300CR201080049
7	JAMES ARTHUR RAY, )
8	Defendant. )
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
L5	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WARREN R. DARROW
L6	TRIAL DAY TWELVE
L7	MARCH 9, 2011
L8	Camp Verde, Arizona
L9	
20	
21	
22	ORIGINAL
23	REPORTED BY
24 25	MINA G. HUNT AZ CR NO. 50619 CA CSR NO. 8335

		1	INDEX		
		1 2			
	1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA		EXAMINATIONS PAGE		
	2 FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI	4 5	WITNESS JENNIFER HALEY		
	3	"	Cross continued by Ms. Do 19		
	4 STATE OF ARIZONA,	6	Redirect by Ms. Polk 51		
	5 Plaintiff,		Recross by Ms. Do 85		
	6 vs ) Case No. V1300CR201080049	7	Further redirect by Ms. Polk 87 Further recross by Ms. Do 88		
	7 JAMES ARTHUR RAY, )	8	Further redirect by Ms. Polk 89		
	) 8 Defendant )		•		
	9	9	NELL A. WAGONER		
	10	10	Direct by Mr. Hughes 92 Cross by Mr. Kelly 166		
	11	10	Redirect by Mr. Hughes 210		
	12	11	, ,		
	13				
		12	DENNIS MEHRAVAR Direct by Ms. Polk 223		
	14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	13	Direct by MS. Fork 223		
	15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE WARREN R. DARROW	''			
	16 TRIAL DAY TWELVE	14			
	17 MARCH 9, 2011	15			
	18 Camp Verde, Arizona	15	EXHIBITS ADMITTED		
	19	16			
	20		Number Page		
	21	17	227, 228 188 190, 191 231		
	22	18	749 236		
	23 REPORTED BY	l			
	24 MINA G HUNT AZ CR NO. 506	19			
	25 CA CSR NO 83				
		22			
		23			
		24			
<b></b>		2 <b>5</b>	_		
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:		4		
_		1	Proceedings had before the Honorable		
2	For the Plaintiff:	2	WARREN R. DARROW, Judge, taken on Wednesday,		
3	YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	3	March 9, 2011, at Yavapaı County Superior Court,		
١.	BY: SHEILA SULLIVAN POLK, ATTORNEY				
4	BY: BILL R. HUGHES, ATTORNEY 255 East Gurley	4	•		
5		5	Camp Verde, Arizona, before Mina G. Hunt, Certified		
ľ		6	Reporter within and for the State of Arizona.		
6		7			
7	For the Defendant:				
	THOMAS K. KELLY, PC	8			
8		9			
9	425 East Gurley Prescott, Arizona 86301-0001	10			
1		11			
10	•	12			
11	BY: LUIS LI, ATTORNEY BY: TRUC DO, ATTORNEY				
-	355 South Grand Avenue	13			
12	Thirty-fifth Floor	14			
13	Los Angeles, California 90071-1560	15			
'3	MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON, LLP	16			
14	BY: MIRIAM L. SEIFTER, ATTORNEY				
15	560 Mission Street	17			
13	San Francisco, California 94105-2907	18			
16		19			
17	,	20			
18		21			
19		I			
20 21		22			
21		23			
23		24			
24		25			
, ,~					
25	f 70 sheets Pa	age 1 to 4 of	<sup>1</sup> 278		

12

13

22

23

24 25

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

6

## PROCEEDING

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: On the record in State versus James Arthur Ray, with Mr. Ray and the attorneys present. The jury is not present.

I just wanted to go over 404(b) again. I wanted to revisit that. Now that I've heard some testimony, I think it's necessary to provide some additional guidance.

Let me go back to the original ruling where I held that prior sweat lodge events and medical effects of those events are not admissible to show knowledge or conscious disregard on manslaughter charges. That was the essential ruling.

By operation of Arizona law, the defendant would be on notice of the charge of negligent homicide. I've indicated I believe that, had the charge been negligent homicide only, that much of this evidence would have been admissible under 404(b).

I believe there still would have been the need for medical testimony, for example -- and I'm not saying this is some kind of an issue, but just to give an example of what I'm talking about, if there was some duty to inquire about medical

effects, had there been inquiry, what would have been learned? Just as an example.

But the charge was not just negligent homicide. And as a result of that, the 403 factor comes in because of the charge of manslaughter. And I determined that it's not appropriate to allow evidence under 404(b) that would apply only to the lesser included negligent homicide charge but not to the manslaughter charge.

The risk of prejudice would just be too great to have that in place. And I didn't see any further briefing on that.

The ruling that I issued did not cover 14 admissibility for non-404(b) purposes. If the evidence -- if the information is disclosed properly, then it can be offered in good faith for 17 a non-404(b) purpose. And my ruling would not have changed that in any way. That would just be the typical posture of any case where there are objections or motions in limine that come up during trial.

One potential non-404(b) purpose is related to causation. I made that determination. I can see that there may be relevance to that question.

vever, I conclude that until there is 1 expert testimony indicating that evidence of 2 medical effects of prior events is relevant 3 evidence, then the evidence should not be offered 4 5 for that purpose.

I talked about conditional admission 6 7 under Rule 104, specifically 104(b). But the risk there would be that a lot of this evidence would 8 come in and it would never be tied to causation. 9 The old cart-before-the-horse analogy. 10

So that's what I've -- that's my determination, and that's what people need to know for today.

Another -- I want to talk about the 14 testimony of Jennifer Haley, just as an example. 15 She testified about a prior sweat lodge event that 16 she participated in, and that could have 17 independent basis for admissibility. Not just the 18 causation question. But it does raise the issue of 19 what can happen with imprecise testimony about the 20 effects of a prior sweat lodge. 21

She testified, in her opinion, needed to go to the hospital. Just potentially very prejudicial testimony.

However, the testimony regarding the

prior sweat lodge had other relevance besides the effect on the one participant she talked about. 2

3 There was a bench conference regarding Ms. Haley, and there was an indication that the 4 state wanted to question about the knowledge of 5 Mr. Ray concerning that effect on that participant. 6

There was actually testimony to that 7 effect anyway, and it was not objected to. And I 8 think it had a basis for admissibility. It came up in another context in Miss Haley's testimony. 10

However, at bench it was indicated that the relevance of knowledge of Mr. Ray would be that 12 he would know that it was heat. And that's not pertinent to the issue of causation.

So right now I've acknowledged that there are some non-404(b) grounds for admissibility, and these, essentially, have been urged by the state. One I discussed at the pretrial conference on March 1 at the start. And that is as rebuttal if there is an inaccurate portrayal of state of knowledge by Mr. Ray. That was one. 21

The other that has come up is causation. 23 But I've determined that it's not going to be appropriate to admit evidence conditionally under

104(b). That there would have to be expert 25

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testimony that would indicate that evidence of effects of prior sweat lodge events is relevant to the issue of causation.

And then there has just been a discussion throughout about what is relevant to the state of mind of a participant and what was done by a participant or by one of the alleged victims.

I also wanted to mention with regard to questioning witnesses -- and I'm noting the length of the testimony of witnesses. And the Court will certainly assist, if requested, by either counsel if questions are not being answered.

I don't like to interject myself into a proceeding. I prefer not to do that. But I'm going to just to fulfill my responsibility to make sure the trial proceeds in a reasonable manner.

So the parties can ask me to assist if a witness is not answering a question.

With regard to the disclosure question that came up yesterday, which I think is a serious matter, do you have additional authority on that, Ms. Do?

MS. DO: I do, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

I would like to cite the Court to

10

1 Osborne, O-s-b-o-r-n-e, versus Superior Court of

Pinal County. The citation is 157 Ariz. 2, 1988 2

decision. And I have copies for both Court and

4 counsel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

THE COURT: Please.

MS. DO: May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes. 7

> MS. DO: Your Honor, Osborne was a case involving a first-degree murder. It was an inmate murder case. And in that case the state had requested and the Court ordered the defendant to disclose impeachment materials to the state under 15.2 in advance of the trial, Obviously, in advance of cross-examination.

THE COURT: Because I didn't want to start late again, I really want to get right down to the issue.

And I'm already seeing things like just in the headnotes, the defendant was not required to provide -- defense counsel had no obligation to provide tapes or transcripts of witness statements 21 22 taken in prosecutor's presence, which prosecutor chose not to record. That is a major, major

24 distinction in what happened here. 25

MS. DO: Your Honor, there is more.

THE COEKT: Okay. Then tell me right where it 1 goes, where it's authority to have an exhibit 2 brought up in the middle of testimony, after the 3 state has finished direct testimony, when there has 4

Show me in this case where the exhibit 6 then came forward, and said, yes. You don't have 7 to provide that to the prosecutor. Show me the 8 exact page where that authority is contained. 9

been no chance to address that.

MS. DO: Your Honor, this case involved -- in terms of the evidence, it was tapes and transcripts of a prior disciplinary hearing.

As I mentioned, this is an inmate murder. It also involved, as the Court has indicated, the tapes and transcripts of a prosecution witness, an interview taken in the presence of the prosecutor.

But the tapes and transcript of the prior disciplinary hearing was not disclosed to the state. The state requested it. The Court then ordered it disclosed as mandatory under 15.2.

The defense took a special action. The case -- the ruling was reversed, finding that there was an abuse of discretion by the trial court.

The proposition in this case is that the defense does not have a duty under 15.2 to turn

over impeachment materials. And I would cite the Court specifically to page 3 and page 4 of the case 2

3 opinion.

Essentially, the state there argued that 4 even impeachment evidence materials that the 5 defense would use during impeachment of their 6 witnesses qualified as evidence under 15.2, 7 Subsection (c)(3), that requires the defense to 8 provide a list of all real evidence it intends to 9

use at trial. Here the appellate court stated that 10 that impeachment materials is not covered under 11

12 15.2(c)(3).

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

And obviously the photographs that we 13 used were impeachment of Miss Haley's testimony. 14

The defense would not ever offer the evidence in its case in chief. We've been objecting to the admission of any prior acts. It was clearly impeachment material.

And in this case, it stands for the proposition that we do not have a mandatory disclosure obligation when it comes to impeachment.

THE COURT: Ms. Polk, I doubt you had a chance to read the case.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, I am just looking at it 24 right now. I think the Court's initial reaction is

Page 9 to 12 of 278

3 of 70 sheets

1 accurate.

2

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm looking at Page 3 of the decision. And it starts with the footnotes -- or the Westlaw Notations 1 and 2. And that's where the Court 5 says, we disagree with the state's interpretation of Rule 15.2(c)(3). First, we believe that this subsection is limited by its terms to items of real as opposed to testimonial evidence, the latter sections being covered by Subsections 1 and 2.

I think the Court -- you rightly saw there is a distinction between prior statements 11 taken in the presence of opposing counsel as opposed to real evidence, which clearly needs to be timely disclosed.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. DO: If you would continue on, Your Honor, to Headnote 4, the same rationale behind not requiring a defense to disclose impeachment materials in the form of prior witness's statements. The appellate said the same -- and I quote, the same is true with respect to the tapes and transcripts of the prior prison disciplinary hearing, which does not involve a prior inconsistent statement to which the state was present.

Tapes and transcripts are real evidence, that would normally be covered under 15.2 subsection(c)(3), if used in the defense in the case in chief. But here it was used as impeachment evidence.

I think the rationale makes common sense. There is no way for the defense to be able to anticipate with every one of the witness. Here we're now up to 73 witnesses, by the last amended witness list, to be able to anticipate what they're going to do, what they're going to say under 12 direct.

I think the Court's ruling yesterday 14 finding the defense is in violation of disclosure rules and precluding critical evidence to rebut the evidence, that the Court again noted some serious 403 concerns. We left court yesterday wondering how broad is this rule going to apply.

THE COURT: I need to stop you. I've never seen that where someone comes up with an exhibit in the middle of testimony to impeach.

It had hearsay on it, admittedly. There was no way to address the timing. Now, there is something to litigate.

In terms of statements, just look at

Rule 613. It even contemplates that the witness

doesn't have to be shown the statement at the time.

It's right there in the rule. So I think

4 statements are arguably treated somewhat

5 differently.

16

23

24

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

Without any time to read this case, it 6 7 seems to me a major distinction is having the state -- in this case it's the state -- choose --8 you know -- here's this proceeding. We could have 9 looked at that if we wanted. And we had access to 10

11 it. We chose not to.

And then the defense wants to use that. 12 And then they say, oh, no. Now you've got to shows 13 us exactly what you want us to use when they had 14 15 all the opportunities to look at that.

And in this instance, as I've indicated, all it would have taken, in my view, to get past 17 18 any prejudice issue is just disclose it at the start as evidence in your case, apparently, to show 19 that it was not consistent with what was testified 20 by Ms. Haley. It was not consistent with what was 21 revealed in that photograph. 22

And as I understand it -- I want this clear on the record -- apparently initially you were doing that and you actually have the clerk

16

1 listed it. Because I saw an addendum page with exhibits listed, and then you took it back. And

then you created a litigation issue over the 3

accuracy of that, when it was taken and a number of 4

5 things like that.

Whereas the proceeding -- I have to go on 6 7 your avowal as to what's in the case. Obviously I 8 haven't had time to read it. Whereas in the case you're citing, it appears that the state had a form 9 of knowledge of everything that was done in that 10 proceeding but just chose not to proceed with it. 11

I'm just saying I've never seen this before where an exhibit just has come up. I'm not saying that that means it's not a legitimate thing to do. But it created an issue that required potential litigation.

Was it clearly impeaching or not? The state didn't have an opportunity to have any input into that. So I need to read this case.

At this point the rules require when there is disclosure, when something comes up, if you think something has come up now, to disclose --I don't know that you've formally complied with that now. I'm not going to go any further.

I don't know that you've complied yet

1 with Rule 15.6 with that evidence, with the affidavit, and what you need to do if you have

something that comes into your possession within a

week of trial. So I'll read the case.

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

2

8

14

15

18

19

21

22

23

24

At this point it just seems that the factual distinctions -- seems like a whole different situation than creating an exhibit now that may have two sides to it as opposed to a clear impeachment item, such as a prior statement, that is embodied in a satisfactory form.

But we've already seen what can happen if there is a prior statement and there is not an 12 agreement on the accuracy of the transcript, how is that going to be handled. Those kinds of things come up as well.

MS. DO: That's fine, Your Honor. We can take this up more. I think the Court's acknowledgment that the photographs that the Court has seen was inconsistent with Miss Haley's testimony regarding the incident with Miss Nelson. And being

21 inconsistent, it is impeachment evidence. 22 And once the Court reads this case, the Court will understand this case was not limited to 23 prior inconsistent statements under 613. 24

THE COURT: Are you telling me the fact that

18

the state chose not to avail itself of that

3 MS. DO: In this case?

4 THE COURT: In the case you're citing to me.

information, that's no factor in that decision?

Yes. The Superior Court --5

6 MS. DO: Osborne.

THE COURT: Osborne versus Superior Court. 7

MS. DO: Well, Your Honor, I think the Court

found the defense in violation of 15.2 because it

10 failed to disclose the impeachment material. This

case is saying we have no such duty. 11

12 THE COURT: I -- all right.

13 Anything else?

MS. DO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll read the case.

Ms. Polk, anything further? 16

17 MS. POLK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's bring the jury in.

(Proceedings continued in the presence of

20 jury.)

> THE COURT: We are on the record. The defendant, Mr. Ray, is present, the attorneys, and the jury.

And the bailiff is now summoning

Ms. Haley.

Ms. Haley, please come forward and take 1 2 the witness stand again.

Ms. Haley has previously been sworn. 3

Ms. Do, you can continue with 4

5 cross-examination.

MS. DO: Thank you, Your Honor. 6

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MS. DO: 8

7

9

11

14

15

22

2

3

5

11

16

17

18

19

21

24

Q. Good morning, Ms. Haley.

10 A. Good morning.

Q. I just wanted to let you know I think, or

I hope, I have about 15 or 20 more minutes with 12 13 you.

A. Okay.

Q. So thank you for your patience.

Yesterday, when we broke for the day, adjourned for 16 the day, you and I were talking about whether or 17 not you heard, on the night of the 8th, right after 18 this all happened, somebody saying they thought 19 maybe it was carbon monoxide. 20

Do you remember that? 21

A. I remember saying that. I heard --

Q. Let me just stop you. My question is --23

Α. 24

25 Q. My question is, do you remember us

20

1 leaving it there yesterday?

A. I remember.

Q. I'm going to pick up there. All right?

A. Okay. 4

Q. Now, as I understand your testimony

yesterday, you told this jury a couple of times

that you simply had no idea -- right? -- that night 7

of what had caused these people to -- the two 8

people who died that night and everyone else who 9

got sick. Is that correct? 10

A. Correct.

Q. You also told this jury yesterday that 12 you did not hear a cop or a law enforcement person 13 say maybe it was carbon monoxide, that they were 14 15

simply checking into something?

A. That they were checking for carbon monoxide or however you say it.

Q. So let me ask you again.

Did you or did you not hear a cop say

maybe it was carbon monoxide? 20

A. No.

Q. And again, is it your testimony here, 22

under oath, that night on October 8, 2009, you 23

simply had no idea, no belief, of what caused all

these people to go to the hospital? 25

Α.	Can	you r	epeat	that	uestion	, please.
----	-----	-------	-------	------	---------	-----------

- Sure. Is it your testimony here to this jury, under oath, that that night on October 8, 2009, you simply had no idea, no belief, as to what could have caused this to happen?
- 6 No. That's not true. I had a lot of Α. 7 ideas. But I wasn't sure.
  - Q. All right. And did you communicate those ideas to the cop that you spoke to -- Detective Steve Surak?
- A. Yes. 11

2

3

5

8

9

10

- 12 Q. All right. And you also said yesterday, and I told you that I wanted to come back to it. 13 You had said, and I believe these were your words, 14 15 that you believe -- and you were speaking about Liz 16 Neuman. I believe Liz was feeling shameful, having
- to do with a wine episode. That absolutely had 17 everything to do with her dying in the sweat lodge 18
- 19 because she was more than that. 20 Do you remember that?
- 21 The question is, do you remember it?
- 22 Α. I remember that conversation. Not word 23 for word.
- 24 Q. Do you remember testifying to that
- 25 yesterday?
- 1 Α. Not word for word.
- 2 Q. To that effect?
- 3 The effect was that, yes, it put her in a shameful state of mind that she felt she had 4 5 something to prove.
- 6 Q. Okay. And you believe that that in some way caused or contributed to the cause or 7 contributed to her death; is that correct? 8
  - A. Absolutely.
- 9 Q. May I ask you, Ms. Haley, you -- before 10 coming into court today, you've spoken obviously to 11 12 Detective Surak and Detective Diskin and Polling 13 back in October and December of '09; correct?
  - Α.

14

17

18

- 15 Q. Can I ask you how many times you've 16 spoken to Ms. Polk, the prosecutor in this case?
  - A. During this case?
    - **Q.** Anytime during the pendency of this case.
- Every day that I've been here, and I 19 talked to her once on the phone prior to the case. 20
- Q. So how may times would you estimate? 21 22 Would that be about two or three times?
- How many times I've been in here? Three? 23 Four? And once or twice. Because she was on the 24 phone when we did our interview. I didn't talk to

- her. I talked to her four times. 1
- Q. Okay. I want you to exclude the 2
- interview. I'm talking about times you've spoken 3
- to Ms. Polk when no one from the defense was 4
- 5 present.

6

7

8

13

18

25

1

2

4

11

14

15

22

- Α. Once.
- Q. That was on the phone?
  - Α. Riaht.
- And then every day during court and every 9
- day during court, you've also spoken to her. And 10
- I'm asking specifically about this case, not about 11
- 12 the weather.
  - Α. Right. Right.
- Q. Now, has the state ever talked to you 14 about its theory, about participants' state of 15
- mind? 16
- 17 Α. No.
  - Q. Nothing like that?
- I barely -- I get, like, five minutes of 19
- her time and felt like I didn't even know her 20
- when -- when you guys were -- you and Sheila were 21 talking to me the first day. I'd never met her.
- 22
- When you say "Sheila" -- I'm sorry to 23 Q. interrupt. 24
  - Go ahead and finish, please.
- - When you said "Sheila," you do mean Q.
  - Ms. Polk; correct? 3
    - Α. Riaht.

A. That's it.

- And so your testimony is that you've 5 Q.
- never spoken to -- let me expand that a bit. 6
- 7 Have you spoken to Ms. Polk or anyone
- from the Yavapai County Attorney's Office about the 8
- state's theory in this case that the participants' 9
- state of mind contributed to cause of death? 10
  - Α.
- That was just something that you came up 12 Q.
- with yesterday; is that correct? 13
  - Α. Not yesterday. That day.
    - Q. The day on October 8?
- Α. Right. 16
- Okay. And so let me go back, then, to 17 Q. what you believed that night.
- 18 You've told us now several times 19 yesterday and several times this morning that you 20
- simply did not have an idea of what caused these 21 people's death and injuries; correct? 22
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. Let me now ask you --
    - You asked did I know; right? That was

24

23

24

5

9

19

25

26

## the question?

1

2

5

8

- Q. Idea, belief, thoughts.
- 3 A. I have ideas and thoughts that day.
- **Q.** Okay. And some of the ideas and thoughts

that you had that day, and I'm going to

- 6 refer -- and if you need to look at the transcript,
- 7 I'd be more than happy to give it to you.

On October 8 you shared and you told

- 9 Detective Surak you actually thought it was an
- 10 innocent accident.
- 11 MS. POLK: Objection. Misleading.
- 12 MS. DO: Inconsistent statement, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: With the foundation of the
- 14 testimony you intend to impeach. Without that, it
- 15 would -- the objection would be sustained.
- **16 Q.** BY MS. DO: I -- all right. Let me ask
- 17 you this question. Again, yesterday several times,
- 18 and today you've indicated to us, under oath, that
- 19 you did not have an idea of what caused the deaths
- 20 and the injuries of these people on October 8;
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. No. I had ideas. I didn't know.
- 23 Q. Let me ask you this, then: One of the
- 24 ideas you had that night was that it was an
- 25 innocent accident; correct?

## A. There is no time I said innocent

- accident. I said it was an accident.
- Q. You've never said it was an innocent
- 4 accident?

1

2

5

7

14

- A. I don't remember saying that, and I may
- 6 have said it that day.
  - MS. DO: Your Honor, at this time may I
- 8 approach the witness with Exhibit 723?
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 Q. BY MS. DO: I'm going to hand you the
- 11 transcript so the jury knows what we're looking at.
- 12 It's the transcript of your interview on October 8,
- 13 2009, with Detective Steve Surak at Angel Valley.
  - Now, if you look at page 4.
- 15 Counsel, it's line 27 continuing on to
- **16** page 5, line 4.
- 17 MS. POLK: Your Honor, may the state have a
- 18 moment before Ms. Do continues?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes. Find the place.
- 20 MS. DO: Page 4, line 2, going to page 5,
- 21 line 4.
- **Q.** Ms. Haley, let me ask you this question
- 23 while the state is reviewing this transcript.
- 24 You do understand that this was a
- 25 statement that was audio recorded by the sheriff's

- 1 department; correct?
  - A. Yes. And I'm not fighting. I said it.
- 3 Q. I'm not -- try and listen to the
- 4 question. This will go a little quicker.
  - You understand that this statement that
- 6 I'm now referring to is a recorded statement that
- 7 the sheriffs made that the state provided to the
- 8 defense. Do you understand that?
  - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. All right.
- 11 Ms. Polk, are you ready?
- 12 MS. POLK: Yes. Thank you.
- **Q.** BY MS. DO: And my question is, now
- 14 having reviewed the transcript, Detective Surak
- 15 asked you that night, do you think something went
- 16 wrong? And you answered, apparently something went
- 17 wrong, but I think it's innocent, whatever it is
- 18 that went wrong; correct?
  - A. I think it's innocent, whatever it is
- 20 that went wrong.
- 21 Q. Correct. And then after he asked you
- 22 that, you then actually told him that you thought
- 23 it was something that was put onto the rocks;
- 24 correct?
  - A. No. I -- you know, you keep putting
  - words in my mouth here. And I said it may be this,
- 2 it may be that. I don't know what it is. I know
- 3 he purposely didn't go and kill these people.
- **Q.** Ms. Haley, let me rephrase the question.
- 5 I'm not trying to put the words in your mouth. You
- 6 have the transcript in front of you.
- 7 A. You're even changing the words that I say
- 8 there.

9

14

20

- **Q.** Ms. Haley --
- 10 THE COURT: We're going to have a question.
- 11 We're going to stop. And Ms. Do is going to ask a
- 12 question. And, Ms. Haley, you will answer the
- 13 question. Okay?
  - THE WITNESS: Okay.
- **15** MS. DO: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 16 Q. That night did you believe -- among the
- 17 ideas and thoughts that you entertained, did you
- 18 believe that night that it could have been
- 19 something put on the rocks?
  - A. Yes
  - Q. And that's what you told the detective;
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes
- Q. Now, when you were interviewed by
- 25 Detective Diskin and Polling on December 16, 2009,

1 you talked about those thoughts and those ideas2 again; correct?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

1

2

5

9

12

15

16

18

19

20

21

Q. And on December 16 in 2009, you again -- or rather, you continued to have that belief, that thought, that something was put on the rocks, and it was an accident; correct?

A. Can you repeat that question?

**Q.** Yes. On December 16, 2009, when you spoke to Detective Diskin and Polling, even on that day, months, months after this had happened, you continued to entertain the thought, the belief, that something was put on the rocks and it was an accident; correct?

MS. POLK: Your Honor, objection to what thiswitness believed. That is not relevant.

17 THE COURT: Overruled.

18 Q. BY MS. DO: Could you answer the19 question. Would you like me to repeat it?

A. Yes.

Q. Yes, you did entertain the --

A. Entertain the thought.

Q. Correct. And you told the detective, and on that date that based upon what you'd seen, what

you had observed of people coming out, that you

thought it might have been an accident and

3 You gave them that idea; correct?

something was put on the rocks.

4 A. Correct.

Q. And right after you gave the detectives

6 that idea, do you recall Detective Diskin and

7 Polling then just going back and asking you about

8 what happened with the wine and not following up?

A. No. I don't remember.

10 Q. Would it refresh your recollection to

11 look at the transcript of that interview?

A. Sure.

MS. DO: May I have one moment, Your Honor?

14 THE COURT: Yes.

**Q.** BY MS. DO: Ms. Haley, I'm going to give you what's been marked as 655.

17 May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

**Q.** BY MS. DO: I'm going to refer you to page 59. I'm going to have you review 59, starting at line 27, and going to page 60, ending at

22 line 14; all right?

23 A. Okay.

Q. And now having read that, does it helprefresh your memory as to a question and answer

1 that we went over yesterday where I asked you

2 whether or not Melissa Phillips, when she came up

3 to you, explained to you that she had these

4 symptoms, that you told Ms. Phillips she should go

5 to the hospital because you had heard carbon

6 monoxide?

7

8

10

16

4

8

9

14

17

22

A. Yes.

Q. All right. So let's clear that up from

9 yesterday.

A. Okay.

11 Q. Ms. Phillips walked up to you. This is
12 after people are away from the scene of the sweat
13 lodge. Some hours have passed. She tells you, I'm

14 feeling nauseated and I'm feeling headachy;

15 correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And you told her that she needed to go to
the hospital, or you thought it was a good idea
because you had heard carbon monoxide; correct?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. So as you were explaining to

22 Detective Diskin and Polling this idea, this

23 thought, this belief, that you had, being a witness

24 to this, that it was an accident, or you believed

25 it was an accident and that you thought something

301 was put on the rocks, Detective Diskin then said, I

2 don't want to interrupt you, but let's go back to

3 the wine episode; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And no further questions were asked ofyou about your belief that something might have

7 been put on the rocks; correct?

A. Yeah. I don't remember. But you have it here. I don't believe we went back to it.

Q. And let me also ask you regarding the
Hermia Nelson incident you spoke of yesterday. You
had told the detective the name of this person,

13 Hermia Nelson; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And you also told him about anotherwitness to that event -- Sandy Williams; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Did the detectives ever ask you to
provide contact information so they could follow up
with the person who actually went through this
episode?

A. No.

Q. Now, I'm going to move on and ask youspecifically what you observed of the people whogot ill. Okay?

Page 29 to 32 of 278

5

9

13

15

18

24

2

11

14

15

23

34

You had indicated during direct testimony 1 that somebody by the name of Josh Fredrickson got 2 3 dragged out. Do you remember that?

4 Α. Yes.

5

Q. You're certain of that?

Α. Yes. 6

Q. And how many people dragged Josh? 7

A. Two. 8

9 Q. Two. And was he completely out when he

10 was dragged out?

11 A. No. His eyes were open. He could talk.

12 He just couldn't walk.

**Q.** So it would be untrue, then, if a witness 13 said that Mr. Fredrickson actually came out of the 14 sweat lodge during round seven on his own 15

abilities? 16

17

21

24

6

7

8

17

24

25

9 of 70 sheets

A. That would be incorrect.

18 MS. POLK: Your Honor, I have an objection to 19 that question.

THE COURT: As argumentative. 20

What's the basis?

22 MS. POLK: As argumentative and improper.

THE COURT: Sustained. 23

The answer to that last question is

25 stricken.

1 Q. BY MS. DO: So it would not be your recollection that Josh Fredrickson walked out of

3 round seven on his own volition?

A. Correct. 4

Q. It wouldn't be your recollection that 5 Josh Fredrickson came out of round seven to help another woman that wanted to come out?

A. That would be incorrect. Yes.

9 Q. Now, you also recalled, I believe, a girlfriend who came out and asked you if she should 10 go back in. Do you remember that? 11

A. Yes. 12

13 Q. I know that you didn't talk about it here

14 in court. But it was something you had mentioned

before. Do you remember the name of the

16 girlfriend?

A. Melissa.

Q. That's Melissa Phillips? 18

19 Α. Yes.

20 Q. And when she came out, you had indicated

she was perfectly coherent; correct? 21

A. When she -- she walked out. 22

23 Q. On her own?

> Α. On her own.

> > And she was perfectly coherent?

A. No perfectly coherent when she walked 1 out, but when she was cooled off.

3 Q. Okay. Have you previously said she was

4 perfectly coherent?

A. Yes. Not right then.

Q. Okay. And Melissa Phillips, in that 6 state that you described as being coherent, asked 7

you if she should go back in; right?

Α. Right.

10 Q. And you decided because she's an adult and she was coherent, that that was her decision; 11 right? 12

> Α. Right.

Q. And you let her go back in? 14

A. I said, I don't know.

16 Q. And you just -- you decided not to

interfere and you let her back in? 17

A. Yeah. I stayed out of it.

Q. You also talked about a person named 19

Dennis Mehravar. May I ask you a few questions 20

about him? He came out and you stated that he was 21

vomiting; correct? 22

23 A. Correct.

Q. And you also told this jury -- and again,

I understand that you have no medical background.

36

Correct? 1

> A. I'm not sure what that means.

It means you're not a doctor, you're not Q. 3

a nurse? 4

5 Α. Right. Correct.

Q. But you told this jury yesterday under 6

direct examination that Mr. Mehravar came out, was 7

vomiting, and I believe you said, some time passed, 8

20 to 30 minutes had passed while he was cooling 9

off. Correct? 10

A. Correct.

Q. At some point you hear him saying, I'm 12

having a heart attack; correct? 13

> Α. Not correct.

Q. You didn't ever hear that?

My heart, my heart. 16 Α.

Q. That's what he said? 17

Α. Right. 18

Q. Not I'm having a heart attack? 19

A. I don't remember --20

21 Q. All right.

22 A. -- that.

Q. I'm sorry. Then you told this jury

that -- and I'm trying to recall your words. I 24

think you actually said his heart stopped. It 25

- 1 stopped moving?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Is that right?
- 4 A. Correct.

9

- Q. Now, at the time that Mr. Mehravar was
- 6 out, were you observing that his heart had stopped
- 7 moving, Lisa Rondan, who is a registered nurse, was
- 8 outside; is that correct?
  - A. She was in front. She was by his face.
- Q. And Melinda Martin was also there;
- 11 correct? And you know she's certified in CPR?
- 12 A. I didn't know then.
- 13 Q. All right. And so when you felt that his
- 14 heart stopped, you must have screamed this man's
- 15 heart has stopped; right?
- 16 A. No. I didn't scream.
- 17 Q. Did you say it?
- 18 A. No. I didn't have time. They were in
- 19 front of me. They knew.
- **Q.** Were they doing CPR on him?
- 21 A. No, he was back quickly.
- 22 Q. So his heart just stopped for how long,
- 23 Ms. Haley?

24

25

6

- A. Thirty seconds to a minute.
- Q. Isn't it simply true that Mr. Mehravar
- 1 was having a panic attack?
- 2 A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. Now, you talked about Liz Neuman coming
- 4 out of the ceremony. Dream Team members had to
- 5 take her out; correct?
  - A. Correct.
- 7 Q. She was in really bad shape; correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- **Q.** Now, you had said that you then tried to
- 10 cool her off, but you couldn't get the hose over.
- 11 So what you did was you took two cups of water and
- 12 you poured it on her chest; correct? That was the
- 13 extent of your ability to cool her off; correct?
- 14 A. I could have gone for more cups.
- **Q.** But you didn't; right?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. And the cups -- the size of the cups that
- 18 you had indicated, you picked up the ones that are
- 19 in front of you on the witness stand, and those
- 20 look about -- I think they're 12. You said 12 to
- 21 14 ounce; correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And that was it; correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Now, you also, I believe, had stated

- 1 before that you tried to give Liz a cup of water to
- 2 drink as well?

3

5

6

7

8

13

16

21

2

5

38

- A. I don't remember that.
- **Q.** Do you remember saying that you tried to
  - give Liz a cup of water and she said to you huh-uh?
  - A. No. I don't remember.
  - Q. Go ahead.
    - A. I won't contest that. I mean, that would
- 9 be something I would do.
- 10 Q. I appreciate you're not contesting it.
- 11 But I don't want to put words in your mouth. Do
- 12 you remember saying that or not?
  - A. No.
- 14 Q. Would it refresh your recollection to
- 15 look at your transcript?
  - A. Sure.
- 17 Q. You have it in front of you. It's the
- 18 December 16, 2009, transcript. If you would look
- 19 at page 49, beginning at line 4 to 5. And let me
- 20 know when you've had a chance to review.
  - A. Right. I don't --
- 22 Q. Let me ask you this: Having been able to
- 23 review your own transcript, Ms. Haley, do you now
- 24 recall telling the detectives that there is Liz
- 25 Neuman, and I just had a cup of water for her, and
- 1 I hear her go huh-uh?
  - A. No. Uh, uh, moaning. I put the glass of
- 3 water -- the cup of water on her, and she, uh, uh.
- 4 Q. I understand that.
  - A. Okay.
- **Q.** But she was able to give you an audible
- 7 response of some kind; correct?
- 8 A. She was just moaning. She wasn't giving
- 9 me a response.
- 10 Q. I see.
- 11 A. She was just moaning, like, that the
- 12 whole time. It wasn't over me bringing a cup of
- 13 water.
- 14 Q. All right. I understand. Thank you for15 the clarification.
- So she is, at this point, breathing;
- 17 correct?

18

21

22

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you indicated that you did not hose
- 20 her down; correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And I think you said you were the hose
- 23 girl so you kind of had custody of the hose, so to
- 24 speak; correct?
- 25 A. Correct. Except at this time I didn't.

- 1 Somebody had taken the hose at that time too, and
- 2 it wasn't reaching everybody.
- 3 Q. It didn't reach Liz Neuman; correct?
- Α. Correct.
- Q. 5 Otherwise you would have used it?
- I would have tried. I didn't have the 6
- 7 hose at the time.
- Q. Okay. 8
- 9 A. I put --
- 10 Q. Go ahead.
- A. I had put down the hose because I 11
- 12 couldn't reach the people.
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 Α. So then I started doing cups.
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. And then the hose wasn't there. So 17 somebody must have taken it at that time.
- Q. Okay. But initially when you tried, you 18
- couldn't reach with it? 19
- Α. Right. 20
- 21 Now, let's move to James Shore. And by
- 22 the way, you had indicated yesterday that at a
- 23 distance from the Judge to the state's table, you
- were able to hear somebody inside the sweat lodge 24
- scream, who you later found out was Lou Caci, that 25
  - 42
- 1 somebody had fallen into the pit; correct?
- 2 MS. POLK: Your Honor, Objection. Misstates
- 3 the testimony.
- 4 THE COURT: The question can be answered yes
- or no. That is an appropriate way to answer. If 5
- it cannot be answered yes or no, then that's the 6
- 7 way to answer.

- THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you reask?
- 9 Q. BY MS. DO: Sure. Tell me if I'm wrong,
- 10 but I believe yesterday you testified that from the
- distance you indicated to this jury, from the Judge 11
- 12 to the state's table, where you were standing to
- 13 the sweat lodge, at that distance you were able to
- hear somebody inside the sweat lodge say somebody 14
- fell into the pit or burned themselves. Correct?
- 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Q. Who you later found out was Lou Caci;
- correct? 18
- That he was the one injured. 19 Α.
- Q. Right. No problems hearing that at that 20 21 distance; correct?
- 22 Α. Not at that time.
- 23 Now, did you at any time, outside the
- sweat lodge ceremony, hear somebody say inside Liz 24
- Neuman needs help?

- No. I didn't hear a name. I heard, you 1 need to get her out. And I don't know who. 2
- 3 Was that once?
  - Once that I heard. Α.
- 5 All right. But you never heard anyone
- say specifically Liz Neuman needed to come out; 6
- 7 correct?

4

8

11

15

- A. Correct.
- You also never heard anyone say James 9 Q.
- 10 Shore needed help; correct?
  - Α. Correct.
- Now, when you saw Mr. Shore -- I want to 12 Q.
- ask you because you said under direct examination 13
- you saw foaming at the mouth; correct? 14
  - Α. Correct.
- Q. And it was significant foaming; correct? 16
- I don't know what significant is. So --17
- I didn't just choose that word out of 18
- thin air. I used it because I believe when I 19
- interviewed you on December 16, 2010, I asked you 20
- 21 and you said it was significant. Do you recall
- that? 22

24

- 23 Α. Yes.
  - So when you saw Mr. Shore outside the Q.
- 25 sweat lodge ceremony, and it was obvious that he
  - 44
- was down, the first thing you noticed, he was the 1
- 2 foaming at the mouth?
- 3 Α. Correct.
- Q. Because it was really strange to you; 4
- 5 correct?
- 6 Α. Correct.
- 7 Q. And you described it to me is as
- 8 significant foam?
- A. Correct. 9
- In fact, you saw that and you started 10
- saying something like he looks like he's drowning; 11
- correct? 12

13

16

20

21

23

- Α.
- And you didn't know where that was coming 14 Q.
- from, but it was coming out of his mouth; correct? 15
  - Α. Correct.
- Q. And, again, with Mr. Shore you indicated 17
- that you did not -- having the hose earlier, you 18
- did not hose down Mr. Shore down; correct? 19
  - Α. Hose didn't reach.
    - The hose didn't reach him where he was; Q.
- correct? 22
  - Α. Correct.
  - And because the situation was immediately
- apparent to you as being critical, people were 25

8

13

16

20

21

22

3

6

8

9

13

15

16

18

19

22

24

doing CPR, you didn't bother to go get cups of 1

water like you did with Liz Neuman? 2

A. I went to get James Ray.

Q. I understand. Did you ever put any water 4 on Mr. Shore? 5

A. No.

Q. Okay. Now, you do remember that at some 7 8 point, a doctor by the name of Jean Armstrong was

helping Mr. Shore and Ms. Brown; correct?

A. Correct. 10

Q. And she did identify herself as a doctor; 11

correct? 12

3

6

9

A. Correct. 13

Q. And she was making sure everybody was 14 15 doing CPR correctly?

A. Correct. 16

Q. Ms. Polk asked you yesterday if you had 17 any recollection of Mr. Shore's temperature, and 18

19 you said you had none; correct? A. That I didn't remember. 20

21 Q. Let me ask you now about Ms. Brown. And

22 we're almost done, Ms. Haley. You also indicated

that when you saw Ms. Brown outside the sweat lodge 23

ceremony again, the situation appeared critical to 24

you; correct?

1

2

8

11

15

17

18

19

21

22

25

A. Correct.

**Q.** People were doing CPR; correct?

A. Correct. 3

4 Q. You noticed the same thing about

Ms. Brown that you did with Mr. Shore. That there 5

seemed to be something going on with water; 6

7 correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And with Ms. Brown, what you heard was a 9 gurgling sound; correct?

10

A. Correct.

12 Q. And it was coming from the stomach area;

correct? 13

A. Correct. 14

Q. And it actually caused you alarm, and you

said, what the hell is this water? 16

A. Correct.

Q. And you described it as being a lot of gurgling. You could hear it all the way along her

20 breathway and into the stomach; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And Ms. Brown also -- because the hose

dıdn't reach, you also didn't hose her down; 23

24 correct?

Correct.

Q. You also did not take cups of water in 1

2 any way and cool her off in that fashion; correct?

A. I didn't have time.

Q. All right. We began with me asking you 4 whether or not you took your role as a Dream Team 5

6 member seriously and you paid attention. And you

7 said you did; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. You stayed alert? 9

Α. Correct. 10

Q. Was your job to watch what was going on 11

12 from the outside? Correct?

> Α. Correct.

And to listen to what was going on; 14 Q.

correct? 15

A. Outside. Correct.

Q. And at no time did you ever hear anyone 17

inside the sweat lodge saying, so-and-so is 18

unconscious; correct? 19

A. Correct.

Q. You never heard that word; correct?

Α. Correct.

Q. And while we're on that word, let me go 23

back to when Mr. Ray had a sweat lodge orientation, 24

when you gathered and talked about the experience 25

46

from the Vision Quest and Mr. Ray moved into

2 instructions. Okay?

A. Okay.

Q. You had told Ms. Polk that Mr. Ray had 4

said to expect vomiting; correct? 5

A. Correct.

Q. And to expect, I think, people saying 7

weird things; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. But Mr. Ray never said to you or to 10

anyone in that room expect that people would go 11

unconscious; correct? 12

A. I don't remember.

Q. I mean, would that be alarming to you if 14

somebody said expect someone to go unconscious?

A. All of it was alarming. So --

So at this point you don't remember him 17 saying the word "unconscious" or not?

A. Correct.

**Q.** So it's possible that Mr. Ray never said 20 21

to you expect that people would go unconscious?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Now, going back to the sweat lodge 23 ceremony, you've already told this jury you never

heard anyone from inside the sweat lodge ceremony

- say so-and-so is unconscious; con-ect? 1
  - Could you repeat that question.
- 3 Q. You never heard anyone from inside the 4 sweat lodge ceremony say so-and-so is unconscious; correct? It's the same question I asked you. 5
  - A. Correct.

2

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

20

21

22

- 7 And you also never heard anyone inside 8 the sweat lodge ceremony say so-and-so has passed 9 out: correct?
- 10 A. Correct. All I heard was somebody needed 11 to get out. I didn't know why.
  - Q. Okay. Because, Ms. Haley, my last question to you is this: If you had heard somebody inside the sweat lodge ceremony say somebody is unconscious or somebody is dying, what would you have done?
  - A. I would have tried to help, but I certainly wouldn't have opened the door and gone in the sweat lodge to do anything. Because James is in there.
  - Q. So you would -- I understand. It's your testimony to this jury, that you would not -- you would do what you can, but you wouldn't go as far as to help or to save somebody if you heard those words?
  - A. I don't know that I would be the one to save. I would feel that there were people there to do it and I would just get in the way. I mean --
- 4 Q. You wouldn't --
  - Α. If I saw somebody -- if I saw somebody not getting help that needed help, I would help.
- 7 Q. Right. That's a different question.
- Α. 8 Right.
- Q. My question is this --9
- 10 Α. So, no.
- Q. My question is, if you were outside the 11 12 sweat lodge ceremony and you heard something that
- 13 indicated to you that there was a life-threatening
- 14 situation going on inside that ceremony, like
- so-and-so is unconscious or so-and-so is dying, 15
- 16 you're telling this jury that you would not do
- 17 anything -- let me try and understand this.
- You would not stop the ceremony. Is that 18 19 your testimony?
  - Α. If I heard somebody was dying?
  - Q. Yes.
  - Α. I would.
- 23 If you heard somebody was unconscious,
- would you stop the ceremony or try? 24
- 25 Α. No.

13 of 70 sheets

- I have nothing further, Your Honor.
- 3 Thank vou.

1

2

5

7

9

10

14

18

- THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Do. 4
  - Ms. Polk, redirect?
- MS. POLK: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 6
  - REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MS. POLK:
  - **Q.** Good morning, Ms. Haley.
  - Α. Good morning.
- 11 Q. Would you tell the jury what you did hear
- 12 from your position outside. What did you hear from 13
  - the inside throughout the sweat lodge ceremony?
    - I heard that somebody needed to get out.
- I heard chanting. I heard the screaming, somebody 15
- is on fire, they were on the rocks. Those are the 16
- 17 different things.
  - Q. When was it that you heard that someone
- 19 needed to get out? A. Around the same time that Lou was on the 20
- rocks. So there was, like, two things being 21
- screamed at once. We should get her out. Lou is 22
- getting out. So I focused on Lou, and I didn't 23
- know if somebody else came out, I guess. Because
- 25 I'm not the only one tending.
- 50
- Q. When you were outside the sweat lodge 1
- throughout that ceremony, how busy were you?
  - Α. Can you repeat that.
- How busy were you throughout Mr. Ray's 4
- entire sweat lodge ceremony at your position 5
- 6 outside?

3

11

12

- A. Very busy at the end. From the middle to 7
- 8 the end, very busy.
- 9 Q. Were you in a position always to hear
- what was going on inside? 10
  - Α. No.
  - Q. Why not?
- 13 You couldn't hear everything.
- You told Ms. Do that you would not open 14
- the door and interrupt Mr. Ray's ceremony if you 15
- had heard somebody was unconscious. Why not? 16
- Because he had specified to expect 17
- anything that -- I don't remember if he said passed 18
- 19 out. But he said, expect anything could happen.
- People could say crazy things. They can throw up, 20
- that all these things were normal. And James --21
- vou don't go against James. 22
  - Q. What do you mean by that? You don't --
- 24 and, again, you mean Mr. Ray?
- Mr. Ray, James Ray. 25

3

4

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

6

10

15

18

19

ıs.

1 Q. What does that mean, you don't go against 2 Mr. Ray?

Α. Well, we believed and trusted in his teachings and we believed and trusted --

MS. DO: Your Honor, I'm going to object, and ask the witness to limit it to her beliefs and not others.

THE COURT: Sustained.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

12

13

14

15

24

Warrior.

Q. BY MS. POLK: What did you believe?

I believed that we were safe and he would keep us safe. And if somebody needed to get out, he would tell us to get them out, which is what he had with Lou.

Q. And why did you believe that, Ms. Haley?

Because he was my teacher and my mentor.

Q. In answering some questions from Ms. Do about the various courses you had taken, you agreed with her that they were wonderful, empowering, and stated that you had completed a number of events.

You've just told the jury that Mr. Ray was your mentor. Did that have something to do with the other classes that you had taken and that relationship?

A. Yes.

25 Q. And how so?

5 Q. BY MS. POLK: Ms. Haley, with respect to 6 the 2007 incident that you talked about, you were 7 asked questions from Ms. Do about something called 8 a "syntax"? 9 Α. Yes. 10

is not evidence in any way. You have to rely on

your own memory as to what the evidence actually

Sustained.

And are you familiar with the document Q. called the "syntax" in 2009?

> Α. Yes.

Did Mr. Ray conduct his events on time, 13 Q. on the same timeframe that is indicated in the 14 syntax? 15

> Α. No.

And what is your basis for saying that? Q.

Because we had to reorganize all the time and change the syntax and take stuff out. Because maybe an open mic ran longer or certain games lasted longer. For whatever reason, we'd get off schedule. So we would try to get back on schedule. So it changed a lot.

Q. And then Ms. Do asked you about the 24 arrangements that were made where you ended up 25

54

I mean, I was committed for a year to doing all his stuff and all the seminars. And I believed he knew something that we didn't know. So I wanted to find out what it was. So I did everything really committed for a year.

After a year I started to not believe every word that came out. So he became less. And I was financially committed so I kept going to the ones I paid for. I couldn't get my money back.

10 Q. The jury has heard testimony about the 11 pillars of success. Are you familiar with that?

> Α. Yes.

Can you tell them what that is.

MS. DO: Objection. Beyond the scope, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 MS. POLK: Your Honor, on cross-examination, Ms. Do asked her about the courses she had taken, 18 how she was introduced to Mr. Ray; had her tell the 19 20 jury that all the courses were wonderful, 21 empowering; that she completed a number of events, 22 and had discussion about having paid ahead of time 23 for two other events and including the Spiritual

25 THE COURT: And, of course, what attorneys say

outside the sweat lodge ceremony in 2009 and other 1

people ended up inside. You testified about Lisa 2

Rondan. What do you recall about how it was that 3

Lisa Rondan ended up outside as opposed to inside 4

5 the sweat lodge?

A. I don't recall.

7 Q. With respect to Liz Neuman, do you recall any conversation that led to Liz Neuman having a 8 9 place inside the sweat lodge?

> Α. She wanted to go in.

And Ms. Do said to you in her 11 Q. cross-examination that, in fact, Liz Neuman had 12 participated in the sweat lodge every year since 13 14 2003 to 2009. Do you know if that's true?

> A. Yes.

Q. Was there -- do you know if she was there 16 17 every single year?

> A. Yes. That's hearsay - yes.

Do you know that personally?

She told me. I wasn't there. But she 20 Α. had told me. 21

And then you were asked some questions 22 Q. about doing CPR and told by Ms. Do that James Ray 23 International had paid for employees to get CPR 24 training. 25

I did. Α. What day of the seminar -- what day of Q. the week did Kırby Brown cut her hair? Before the Vision Quest. The morning of the Vision Quest. So that was Tuesday.

Q. Was that Tuesday?

Q. Who cut her hair?

23 Tuesday.

> Q. Kirby did not cut her hair on Sunday when

others did?

A. How did Mr. Ray react to those who chose

2

not to cut their hair on Sunday in 2009? 3

4 MS. DO: Objection to foundation, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Objection sustained as to 5

foundation. 6

1

11

13

16

18

24

7 Q. BY MS. POLK: In 2009, as a haircutter, were you present when people were choosing not to 8

9 cut their hair?

Α. 10

Q. And were you -- did Mr. Ray -- was

Mr. Ray also present? 12

> Α. Yes.

And did you observe how he reacted to Q. 14

those who chose not to cut their hair? 15

> Α. Yes.

17 Q. And how did he react?

> Α. **Encouraging.**

19 Q. In what way?

Asking why they -- they would choose not 20

to. Just questions on why they're choosing not to 21

do it. And if you're going to commit, play full 22

on. Are you playing full on? 23

Q. And Ms. Do asked you to agree with her when she stated that the hair shaving had nothing

to do with attitudes of participants later inside

the sweat lodge. And you said, no, you could not

3 agree with that.

A. Correct.

Q. Why not?

A. State of mind after your head's shaved. 6

What do you mean?

I mean, if you shave your head, you feel 8

pretty vulnerable. You don't feel like yourself. 9

10 You're already --

11 MS. DO: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this whole line as speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I'm a hairdresser. I know how 15

people are about their hair. I've dealt with them 16

for 28 hears. I've never shaved any heads except 17

for there. And there is a state of mind that goes 18

19 along with shaving your head, especially if you're a woman.

20

Q. BY MS. POLK: You were asked by Ms. Do if you knew that the Samurai Game was a game that

Mr. Ray had learned from AT&T. 23

Do you know that?

I don't know that. I heard that.

21

22

24

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

8

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

12

17

18

20

21

62

1	Q.	And do you know if the way Mr. Ray		
2	conducted his Samurai Game at Spiritual			
3	Warrior 2009 was the same way that AT&T plays the			
4	game?			
5	A.	I have no idea. No.		
6	Q.	Do you know whether Mr. Ray is licensed		
7	by the Samurai Game?			
8	MC I	OO: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.		
_	F13. L			
9		COURT: Sustained.		

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

21

24

- BY MS. POLK: Can you tell the jury what you observed among the participants during the Samurai Game with respect to the level of intensity of the game.
  - Α. The level of the intensity was very big.
  - What do you mean?
- Α. I mean, the book thing with the arms and having two teams back you and -- and it was hurting them. They almost, like, would pass out and we're, like, go. And then they'd come back up and do it some more.
- 21 It became just a very painful thing to 22 watch. And intense because you knew how much pain 23 they were in. So it created a very intense 24 environment with that much pain.
  - Q. You were asked questions from Ms. Do

about whether or not participants could get up to 2 use the bathroom. And I want to direct your

attention to a different session that involved

4 Holotropic breathing.

> Α. Yes.

Q. Do you recall that?

Yes. Α. 7

Were you present for sessions in 2009 8 Q.

9 when Mr. Ray led the participants in Holotropic

10 breathing?

> Α. Yes.

Q. Were participants who were engaged in Holotropic breathing allowed to get up to use the restroom?

> I would think so. Α.

16 Q. Do you personally know that?

17 Α.

With respect to the Samurai Game and 18 Q. 19 participants who had been declared dead, were they 20 allowed to move?

> Α. No.

22 Were they allowed to just get up and go 23 use the restroom?

Α. No. I believe they could raise their

hand. 25

1 ou assist anybody in using the 2 restroom during the Samurai Game?

Kirby just telling her to go. But no.

4 When you told Kirby to go, was that Q.

5 during the game? 6

It was at the end.

7 Q. Was the game over?

Α.

9 You were asked many questions about the Q. 10 gathering that the women had while the participants were out on the Vision Quest and the conversation 11

that you all had or conversations you all had with 12

13 Mr. Ray. And in response to a question, you said that Mr. Ray said to you all he was disappointed 14

15 with you. Do you recall that?

> Α. Yes.

Q. Do you recall why Mr. Ray said he was 18 disappointed with you?

That we knew -- we -- we know better than Α. that. And he was really unhappy that he felt that we weren't holding sacred space.

He felt extremely disappointed in the way we had handled the whole event, not just that. But now he said that we weren't being conscious during the classroom when he was teaching. And there was

wrapping noises during his story taking, that he'd heard from the Dream Team members, including 2

3 myself.

And Liz Neuman was present for that? 4 Q.

> Α. Yes.

You did not agree with Ms. Do when she 6

asked you a question stating that Mr. Ray's 7

comments and that episode with him did not affect 8

Liz Neuman in the sweat lodge. Do you recall that? 9

Can you repeat that? 10 Α.

Q. Yes. That was a bad question. 11

Α. Okay.

13 Do you recall Ms. Do asking you whether 14 the confrontation or the conversation with Mr. Ray, when you ladies were drinking wine, affected Liz 15

16 Neuman in the sweat lodge?

> And I said, absolutely. Α.

Tell the jury how absolutely it affected Q.

Liz Neuman in the sweat lodge. 19

> Α. She felt less than.

MS. DO: Your Honor, I'm going to object.

This is speculation. 22

My question to the witness is whether the 23 belief would be inconsistent with what she told the 24

detectives that night. 25

But to try and guess what Liz Neuman was 1 2 thinking, is speculation.

3 THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, may I be heard? 4

THE COURT: Yes.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

MS. POLK: The statement by Ms. Do had nothing to do with the officers. The statement by Ms. Do to the witness was, you don't believe that Liz was feeling -- that Liz feeling shameful had everything to do -- I'm sorry.

You don't believe that --

THE COURT: Counsel, please approach.

(Sidebar conference.)

THE COURT: Okay. When you have hours-long cross-examination to keep track of the subjects, it helps to preface. But I don't want to have that much discussion in front of jury. If we need to go into this.

19 Ms. Polk, again your question?

MS. POLK: Ms. Do opened the door to this. They stated to the witness, you don't believe that Liz feeling shameful had anything to do with her --

THE COURT: Just a second. Ms. Polk is a little bit loud for the witness there.

MS. POLK: The guestion or the statement 25

really from Ms. Do, you don't believe that Liz felt 1 shameful, had anything to do inside the sweat lodge with what happened to Liz? And the witness said, I do believe that it did.

So Ms. Do opened the door. And I'm entitled to ask the witness what when she said, I do believe so.

THE COURT: True.

MS. DO: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. POLK: I repeated that statement she made on the stand yesterday to inquire regarding an inconsistent statement. Obviously to allow this witness to go on about her opinion about what was going on in the mind of Liz Neuman is speculation. It's misleading and it's a 403 issue.

My questions to her were very clearly regarding what she told the detectives that night, which was clearly inconsistent with the testimony she offered yesterday.

THE COURT: Well, the questions were asked and 21 the answers are right and to go into why. It's not normally an appropriate avenue.

23 Other than -- is there any purpose, 24 Ms. Polk, other than an opening-the-door kind of situation when really a situation where an answer

has already been given clearly? 1

2 MS. POLK: Judge, first of all, I don't

believe this was in connection with this witness's 3

testimony to officers. Ms. Do was questioning her 4

specifically about the wine incident and was not 5

referring to any discussion with officers. The 6

door was opened. The -- I objected, Your Honor. 7 8 THE COURT: I know.

MS. POLK: You overruled my objection. The 9 witness was allowed to answer, and the door has now 10 been opened. I'm entitled to ask her what did you 11 12 mean when you say you do believe it had something 13 to do with what happened to Liz Neuman?

I objected, and she was allowed to answer. The door has been opened.

THE COURT: That's my recollection.

Ms. Do?

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

6

7

9

MS. DO: Yes, Your Honor. I began my 18 cross-examination this morning by asking her, her 19 testimony was she had no idea what happened on 20 October 8? 21

That she is now under the belief that all these various events that the prosecutor walked her through on direct -- the haircut, the Samurai Game, obviously the import of that -- all goes to state

66

of mind.

That is directly inconsistent with the 2 witness's statement on October 8 that she believed, one, it was an innocent accident, and, two, that it 4 had to do with chemical fumes. 5

It was clearly a setup for inconsistent statement to allow, again, Your Honor, this witness to go in and render an opinion about actually what was going on in Ms. Neuman's mind. It is a 403 issue. It's speculation. 10

THE COURT: But you -- whether it's setting up 11 12 for an inconsistent statement or not, the question 13 was asked. Ms. Polk objected. Going into state of mind -- and I let you ask the question. And at 14 this point: There can be a question. It can be --15 but I don't want on and on. 16

MS. DO: Yes, Your Honor. Sorry to interrupt. 17

I think we need a limiting instruction. 18

I don't want this jury thinking this witness is 19 competent and can render an opinion about what Liz 20 Neuman's state of mind is. 21

THE COURT: You asked the question.

You're saying it's okay to say he didn't 23 24 have any idea what it was. You wanted to get down a state of mind to show that or that she was 25

Page 65 to 68 of 278

22

17 of 70 sheets

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

unclear. But then you ask the question to do that. To set that up. 2

3

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

originally.

You know, very difficult case. There is no guidance for these kinds of things. The door was -- it was your question, Ms. Do. It just was your question for whatever reason. The state objected.

8 MR. KELLY: May I have a moment, Judge? 9 THE COURT: Yes.

MS. DO: Your Honor, Mr. Kelly is much more articulate than I am this morning. What I'm trying to explain to the Court, my question went to this witness's state of mind. Ms. Polk's question is now having the witness opine about Liz Neuman's state of mind. There is a clear difference.

THE COURT: I think -- well, Ms. Polk, without the transcript right here, I don't remember exactly your question. I know that you objected. What was the question that you objected to?

I thought my recollection is that Ms. Do's question was rather general, whether that had any impact why someone stayed in the lodge.

MS. POLK: That's exactly what it was. It was a guestion to her saying you don't believe that the conversation over the wine or the incident itself

had -- you don't believe that Liz feeling shameful had anything to do with what happened to Liz Neuman inside the sweat lodge?

Again, I objected. She was allowed to answer. The door has been opened. And it's fair to ask this witness to explain her answer. That's what redirect and cross are about.

MS. DO: Just so that we're clear, Your Honor, those were not my words, that Liz Neuman felt shameful and that had an effect on her.

I was repeating what the witness had given me when I asked her, do you believe that it had anything to do with the sweat lodge ceremony, which she should have answered no. Because that would have been consistent with her previous statement because she answered that yes. Then I 17 impeached her with an inconsistency.

This goes to credibility. This goes to her state of mind. The prosecution now is going to ask this witness for evidence regarding Ms. Neuman's state of mind. It is the form of the question that I'm objecting.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, I want to be heard. 23 24 THE COURT: That wasn't the objection

Ms. Polk?

MS. POLK: If you are going to allow me to ask 2 it, I won't go further. If you need further 4 argument --

THE COURT: Yes. I do. Because first of all, 5 I'm concerned that we're getting into this kind of 6 testimony and getting further into it. And trying 7 to apply a fair standard here. I'm considering 8 everything. It's just -- it's really not. 9

It's just the door was absolutely opened. 10 It was the defense that did that. That's true. 11

At this point it just has been covered so much. I'm looking at the overall balance and the nature of the evidence anyway.

Ms. Polk, so yes. If you have something.

MS. POLK: Yes, I do, Judge. Here's the problem: The other thing Ms. Do did on cross-examination was tried to suggest to the jury that the only thing this witness believed at the time was that somehow there were toxic fumes. And she did that using some statements from a much larger transcript.

This witness never said that was the only thing she believed. And, in fact, on cross-examination when asked by Ms. Do if she

25

70

believed the Samurai Game had something to do with what happened to Liz in the sweat lodge, she said 2 3 yes.

Ms. Do is trying to lead the jury to 4 believe -- I believe it should not be admissible. But it's being admitted what witnesses at the scene

believed about what caused the incident. This 7 witness at the scene in response to questions said 8

she had heard people talking about carbon monoxide 9

10 poisoning.

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That was presented to Ms. Do -- to the 12 jury as if this witness thought it was just an 13 accident and there was somehow poisoning. That's not true. And this witness tried to say that in response to Ms. Do. She had lots of ideas about what went wrong.

MS. DO: I just want -- this is the state's audio recording, Your Honor. And I have listened to all of them. If the state feels that there is a statement in those transcript that contradicts what she said on cross, they should bring it out.

I will represent to the Court it is nowhere in any of the transcript. She said Samurai Game, the haircut, the Vision Quest, this wine episode had anything to do with what happened to

18 of 70 sheets

1 the people in terms of the death and injuries. 2 Again, usually I think the distinction is 3 between her state of mind as it goes to credibility

4 and bias. I think whether it's done directly, the

import of the prosecutor's direct examination of 5

6 all of these witnesses is to suggest that what

7 caused these people to die is the state of mind as

8 it had to do with the Samurai Game, as it had to do

9 the Vision Quest or haircut.

10 I'm entitled to demonstrate through 11 cross-examination that that's not what the witness 12 thought on the night of the 8th.

THE COURT: The redirect can be geared to what she thought at the time she was offering up these possibilities.

15 16 Ms. Polk, that's where the question needs 17 to be confined.

18 MS. POLK: Your Honor, to be clear --

THE COURT: Not what she thinks now.

20 Go ahead.

13

14

19

25

2

5

6

7

8

15

16

19

20

21 MS. POLK: I'll ask her, then, at the time did 22 she think that what happened had anything to do 23 with what happened to Ms. Neuman in the sweat 24 lodge.

MS. DO: I would ask follow-up question, did

she ever say that, which she didn't. 1

THE COURT: I'll give you a follow up on that.

3 Confined to the time period, you can ask

4 that, Ms. Polk.

(End of sidebar conference.)

THE COURT: Counsel.

MS. POLK: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q. Ms. Haley, talking about the Samurai

9 Game, the incident in the dining room with Mr. Ray

10 and then ultimately with what happened in the sweat

11 lodge, did you think that the confrontation with

12 Mr. Ray and the Dream Team members, including Liz

13 Neuman, had anything to do with what happened to

14 Liz Neuman in the sweat lodge?

> MS. DO: Your Honor, objection. Request that that question be restricted to October 8, 2009.

17 THE COURT: To the time she was interviewed.

18 Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. BY MS. POLK: And how so?

With her crying and feeling insane that 21 22 night, that what she learned and what she needed to do is to stand in her power. And then going --

23

choosing to go in the sweat lodge, I believe she 24

had something to prove to James Ray. That she was

more than th

And that term, "you are more than

that" -- is that a phrase that you have heard

4 before?

1

2

5

14

15

23

2

5

9

16

17

22

24

Page 73 to 76 of 278

74

Α. All the time.

6 Q. From whom?

7 A. James Ray.

8 Q. You were asked questions from Ms. Do

about your interview that night, the night of 9

October 8, 2009, and what you said then about what 10

11 could have happened.

12 You said to Ms. Do you had lots of ideas.

13 Do you recall that?

> Yes. Α.

> > Q. What were those ideas?

16 Α. The ideas were that he was too strong

with the people. They were too intimidated to make 17

up their mind. And they wanted to make him proud. 18

And they were a little too committed to him than to 19

20 themselves.

21 Q. And "he" and "him." Who are you

22 referring to?

> James Ray. And the Dream Team members Α.

being scolded the night before, I could tell right 24

away that their focus was on making James Ray happy 25

76

instead of focusing just on the participants. 1

Q. You were asked some questions from Ms. Do

about how Mr. Ray didn't say to them, well, you 3

won't die in the sweat lodge. Do you recall that? 4

> Can you repeat that. Α.

You were asked questions from Ms. Do 6

about what Mr. Ray told participants before going 7

inside the sweat lodge. 8

> Α. Correct.

And one of the questions from Ms. Do was 10 Q.

that Mr. Ray never told people that they wouldn't 11

12 die?

Correct. I said that or she asked that. 13 Α.

14 Q. Yes. And your response was, well,

Mr. Ray had said you're more than that? 15

> Α. Correct.

What does you're more than that have to Q.

do with believing you won't die going into the 18

19 sweat lodge?

20 MS. DO: Objection, Your Honor. That calls

21 for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

23 Q. BY MS. POLK: Did you believe, Ms. Haley,

that participants in 2009 going into the sweat

lodge would die? 25

1 How did you feel when you saw Lou go back 1 Q. You don't know? 2 Α. I didn't see any. We were too busy 2 ın? trying to get him to breathe. 3 MS. DO: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance. 3 How many people were around James Shore 4 4 THE COURT: Sustained. and Kirby Brown when were you attending to them? 5 Q. BY MS. POLK: You made the statement to 5 Α. A few. 6 Ms. Do that you were sure that Mr. Ray would not let Lou Caci back in. Do you remember that 7 Q. About how many? 7 On the ground and standing up? Around testimony? 8 Α. 9 Α. Yes. 9 five, six. Q. And you told the jury that you did CPR 10 10 Q. Why were you sure of that? for about 45 minutes? 11 Α. Because it was dangerous for Lou to go 11 12 A. Yes. 12 back in. 13 Were you aware of what other people were 13 MS. DO: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for 14 doing around you during those 45 minutes? 14 speculation. Α. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 15 Q. You were asked by Ms. Do whether or not 16 Q. BY MS. POLK: You were asked some 16 Mr. Ray ever told you that people would become questions by Ms. Do about conversations that you 17 17 unconscious in the sweat lodge, and you said you and I have had. Do you recall those questions? 18 18 don't recall hearing that word. 19 Α. Yes. 19 20 Α. I don't want to state that, because I'm 20 Q. Do you recall being interviewed by Ms. Do? 21 not sure. 21 Mr. Ray ever use the word "altered 22 Α. Yes. 22 Q. 23 states"? 23 Q. And when was that? 24 Α. 24 Α. I believe she said December 16th, 2010, And in what context? 25 Q. at the Thousand Oaks Police Department. 25 84 82 Breathwork and the sweat lodge and 1 Α. 1 Q. How long did Ms. Do and you talk then? 2 2 meditations. Α. Two hours. Q. What do you remember Mr. Ray saying about **Q.** And I have some questions about the hose 3 3 altered states inside the sweat lodge? and your efforts to cool various people. 4 4 A. Could you be more specific. 5 5 You've told the jury that the hose did Before participants went into the sweat 6 not reach to where Liz Neuman was; is that correct? 6 lodge in 2009, did Mr. Ray -- do you recall whether 7 Α. Mr. Ray talked to participants about experiencing Q. 8 8 After you -- you attended to Liz Neuman an altered state inside the sweat lodge? 9 first? 9 A lot of us did all at one. 10 Α. Yes. 10 Α. Q. Do you recall what he said? 11 11 Q. When you left Liz, you went to attend to He said to expect anything. It gets whom? 12 12 pretty crazy, and they could expect anything to 13 James Shore and Kirby Brown. 13 throwing up, to hallucinating, that it rocks in 14 14 Q. Do you know if other people used efforts there. And his was the hottest. If they had done 15 15 to cool Liz Neuman after you left her? a sweat lodge before, they'd never done one as hot I left her with two Dream Team members. 16 16 as the one he --17 So I wasn't worried. She was breathing. 17 MS. POLK: Thank you, Ms. Haley. 18 18 Q. Specifically efforts to cool her down Thank you, Your Honor. 19 with water, do you know what occurred after you 19 20 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Polk. 20 left Liz Neuman? Ms. Do, you may recross on that very 21 Α. No. 21 limited area we discussed at bench. With respect to James Shore, do you know 22 22 23 MS. DO: Thank you. if other people undertook efforts to cool James 23 24 111 24 Shore?

25

111

A.

No.

(Sidebar conference.)

25

25

the one you did in 2007; correct?

	89		91
1	A. No. There were nine rounds.	1	I'm going to ge ahead and announce the morning
2	Q. You believe there were nine rounds?	2	recess. We'll take a relatively well, until 20
3	A. Yes.	3	after. Little more than 10 minutes. Remember the
4	Q. Either way, shorter than the one	4	admonition. And we'll resume then.
5	conducted in 2007; correct?	5	Thank you.
6	A. Yes.	6	(Recess.)
7	Q. Thank you.	7	THE COURT: The record will show the presence
8	Nothing further, Your Honor.	8	of the defendant, Mr. Ray, counsel, and the jury.
9	THE COURT: Then the next question.	9	And Ms. Polk, you may call your next
10	Ms. Haley, in the Samuraı Game when a team lost a	10	witness.
11	member, where did they go and for how long?	11	Mr. Hughes.
12	THE WITNESS: They were dead. And they stayed	12	MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	as long as they played dead until the game was	13	The state calls Dr. Nell Wagoner.
14	over. So it depended when, how long.	14	THE COURT: Please step to the front of the
15	THE COURT: Follow up?	15	courtroom where the bailiff is directing you and
16	MS. POLK: Thank you, Judge.	16	raise you're right hand and be sworn by the clerk.
17	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION	17	NELL A. WAGONER, M.D.,
18	BY MS. POLK:	18	having been first duly sworn upon her oath to tell
19	Q. Ms. Haley, when they were dead, where did	19	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
20	they go?	20	truth, testified as follows:
21	A. Wherever they were at the time.	21	THE COURT: Please be seated here to my right.
22	Q. Were they allowed to move from where they	22	The bailiff will assist you.
23	were when they were pronounced dead?	23	Ma'am, would you please start by stating
24	A. If James Ray thought that they should be	24	and spelling you full name.  THE WITNESS: My in this matter is Nell Ann
	moved they would be moved		THE WITNESS: MY III LIIIS HIDLLELIS NEILAINT
25	moved, they would be moved.	25	
	90		92
1	90 MS. POLK: Thank you.	1	92 Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r.
1 2	90 MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do?	1 2	92 Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you.
1 2 3	90 MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	1 2 3	92 Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes.
1 2 3 4	90 MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you.	1 2 3 4	92 Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
1 2 3 4 5	90 MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be	1 2 3	92 Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION
1 2 3 4 5 6	90  MS. POLK: Thank you.  THE COURT: Ms. Do?  MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not	1 2 3 4 5	92 Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in	1 2 3 4 5 6	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	90  MS. POLK: Thank you.  THE COURT: Ms. Do?  MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	92 Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r.  THE COURT: Thank you.  Mr. Hughes.  MR. HUGHES: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. HUGHES:  Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living.  A. I'm a gynecologist.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living. A. I'm a gynecologist. Q. And from what area of the country do you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r.  THE COURT: Thank you.  Mr. Hughes.  MR. HUGHES: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. HUGHES:  Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living.  A. I'm a gynecologist.  Q. And from what area of the country do you come from?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r.  THE COURT: Thank you.  Mr. Hughes.  MR. HUGHES: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. HUGHES:  Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living.  A. I'm a gynecologist.  Q. And from what area of the country do you come from?  A. Juneau, Alaska.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over. It is a good idea not to talk to anyone	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living. A. I'm a gynecologist. Q. And from what area of the country do you come from? A. Juneau, Alaska. Q. How is our weather treating you so far
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over.  It is a good idea not to talk to anyone about the case until it is completed. However, you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living. A. I'm a gynecologist. Q. And from what area of the country do you come from? A. Juneau, Alaska. Q. How is our weather treating you so far down here? A. It's wonderful. Q. Can you tell us, by way of background,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over. It is a good idea not to talk to anyone about the case until it is completed. However, you can talk to the attorneys as long as another	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r.  THE COURT: Thank you.  Mr. Hughes.  MR. HUGHES: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. HUGHES:  Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living.  A. I'm a gynecologist.  Q. And from what area of the country do you come from?  A. Juneau, Alaska.  Q. How is our weather treating you so far down here?  A. It's wonderful.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over.  It is a good idea not to talk to anyone about the case until it is completed. However, you can talk to the attorneys as long as another witness is not present.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living. A. I'm a gynecologist. Q. And from what area of the country do you come from? A. Juneau, Alaska. Q. How is our weather treating you so far down here? A. It's wonderful. Q. Can you tell us, by way of background,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over. It is a good idea not to talk to anyone about the case until it is completed. However, you can talk to the attorneys as long as another witness is not present. And I've already indicated I'm directing	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living. A. I'm a gynecologist. Q. And from what area of the country do you come from? A. Juneau, Alaska. Q. How is our weather treating you so far down here? A. It's wonderful. Q. Can you tell us, by way of background, whether you attended an event out in Sedona, Arizona, in October of 2009. A. Yes, I did.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over. It is a good idea not to talk to anyone about the case until it is completed. However, you can talk to the attorneys as long as another witness is not present. And I've already indicated I'm directing that you be careful in what third parties you might	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living. A. I'm a gynecologist. Q. And from what area of the country do you come from? A. Juneau, Alaska. Q. How is our weather treating you so far down here? A. It's wonderful. Q. Can you tell us, by way of background, whether you attended an event out in Sedona, Arizona, in October of 2009. A. Yes, I did. Q. And what event was that?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over. It is a good idea not to talk to anyone about the case until it is completed. However, you can talk to the attorneys as long as another witness is not present. And I've already indicated I'm directing that you be careful in what third parties you might talk to. If there is a risk you might talk to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living. A. I'm a gynecologist. Q. And from what area of the country do you come from? A. Juneau, Alaska. Q. How is our weather treating you so far down here? A. It's wonderful. Q. Can you tell us, by way of background, whether you attended an event out in Sedona, Arizona, in October of 2009. A. Yes, I did. Q. And what event was that? A. Spiritual Warrior.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over. It is a good idea not to talk to anyone about the case until it is completed. However, you can talk to the attorneys as long as another witness is not present. And I've already indicated I'm directing that you be careful in what third parties you might talk to. If there is a risk you might talk to somebody or communicate via computer and that could get to another witness, I'm directing that you not engage in those communications as well.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living. A. I'm a gynecologist. Q. And from what area of the country do you come from? A. Juneau, Alaska. Q. How is our weather treating you so far down here? A. It's wonderful. Q. Can you tell us, by way of background, whether you attended an event out in Sedona, Arizona, in October of 2009. A. Yes, I did. Q. And what event was that? A. Spiritual Warrior. Q. How is it that you came to attend the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. POLK: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Do? MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, Ms. Haley, you will be excused from the proceeding. However, you will not be permanently excused as a possible witness in this case. You are subject to further recall. Remember the rule of exclusion of witnesses, meaning you cannot discuss your testimony or this case with any other witness until the trial is over. It is a good idea not to talk to anyone about the case until it is completed. However, you can talk to the attorneys as long as another witness is not present. And I've already indicated I'm directing that you be careful in what third parties you might talk to. If there is a risk you might talk to somebody or communicate via computer and that could get to another witness, I'm directing that you not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Wagoner, N-e-I-I, A-n-n, last name W-a-g-o-n-e-r. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hughes. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Ma'am, can you tell us what you do for a living. A. I'm a gynecologist. Q. And from what area of the country do you come from? A. Juneau, Alaska. Q. How is our weather treating you so far down here? A. It's wonderful. Q. Can you tell us, by way of background, whether you attended an event out in Sedona, Arizona, in October of 2009. A. Yes, I did. Q. And what event was that? A. Spiritual Warrior.

25 personally overcome a -- a trauma in my life. And

THE COURT: Thank you. Wait just a minute.

nore than one

		p	, and the second of the personal person	_	
	3	he sugges	sted that I attend that particular event.	3	I was at
	4	Q.	Do you recall how many prior seminars of	4	and she
7	5	Mr. Ray's y	ou had attended?	5	was a ps
	6	A.	I believe it was four.	6	similar t
	7	Q.	And do you remember the time period that	7	
	8	you had at	tended those other seminars?	8	compass
	9	A.	I started in December '08. And so it was	9	mother a
	10	10 month	s or so.	10	Q.
	11	Q.	A little less than a year?	11	compassi
	12	A.	Yes.	12	MR.
	13	Q.	You mentioned that you're a gynecologist?	13	THE
	14	A.	Correct.	14	MR.
	15	Q.	Have you or do you deal with emergency	15	Q.
	16	medicine ii	n your practice?	16	ın Ms. Bro
	17	A.	Only gynecological emergencies.	17	sweat lod
	18	Q.	Do you recall what time in October you	18	MR.
	19	arrived in S	Sedona? By "time," I mean the day of	19	THE
	20	the week.		20	If it can b
	21	A.	Oh, I think it was Saturday. I I'm	21	
	22	not sure.		22	
	23	Q.	When you arrived, did you know that the	23	THE
	24	Spiritual W	arrior event would involve a sweat	24	agaın?
	25	lodge?		25	Q.
7			94		
	1	A.	No.	1	you ment
	2	Q.	When you arrived, did you have a	2	Ms. Brow
	3	liability wa	iver that was presented to you?	3	Α.
	4	Α.	Yes.	4	But that
	5	Q.	And had a copy of that waiver been mailed	5	MR.
	6	to you pre		6	THE
	7		Yes.	7	
	8	Q.	Had you read the waiver?	8	possible.
	9	Α.	Yes.	9	
	10	Q.	When you signed the waiver, were you	10	no, you c
	11	_ *	questions about your physical condition?	11	Q. can't ans
	12	Α.	I don't remember.	12	A.
	13	Q.	Were you ever required to provide a	14	Q.
	14		r something signed by a doctor before you	15	-
	15		yed to participate in the Spiritual	16	opportun A.
	16	Warrior 20		17	Q.
	17	A. Q.	No.	18	opportun
	18		Had you ever been in a sweat lodge prior	19	observe l
	19	to October	No.	20	A.
	21	Q.	While you were at the Spiritual	21	breaks,
	22	-•-	009, did you have an opportunity to meet a	22	Q.
	23		the name of Kirby Brown?	23	Α.
	24	A.	Yes, I did.	24	Q.
	25	Q.	What can you tell us as to how you met	25	participal
	20		Time can you can as as to not you mee		P

I had been to one of James's -

previous seminars. When I spoke to him personally,

1 her. 2 I revealed the nature of the difficulties ttempting to deal with at Spiritual Warrior, came up to me and mentioned that her mother sychologist, that she dealt with issues to what I was facing. She was very bubbly and enthusiastic and sionate, told me I really needed to give her a call. Was that demeanor, the bubbly, ionate demeanor --R. KELLY: Your Honor, objection. Relevance. E COURT: I didn't hear the question. R. HUGHES: I hadn't finished the question. Was that demeanor something you observed rown through the events, including at the R. KELLY: Same objection, Your Honor. E COURT: Calls for a yes or no response. be responded to in that fashion. Overruled. You may answer that, if you can. IE WITNESS: Could you state the question BY MR. HUGHES: I can. The demeanor that

ntioned -- was that something you observed in wn throughout the week? I had limited direct contact with her.

t was --

R. KELLY: Your Honor, I object.

IE COURT: Sustained.

It really can call for yes or no, if

Dr. Wagoner, if you cannot answer yes or can let the lawyer know that as well.

BY MR. HUGHES: Is that a question you

swer with yes or no?

Yes.

Can you tell us whether you had some nities during the week to observe Ms. Brown.

Yes.

And on -- can you tell us what those nities were during the week when you did Ms. Brown's demeanor.

For example, at meals or just during

talking to different people.

Did she have a room somewhat near yours?

Yes. She was across the hall.

At some point in the week did you

participate in the Samurai Game?

5

8

9

18

21

25

5

98

- A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. And in something called the "Vision
- 3 Quest"?

1

5

9

- 4 A. Yes.
  - Q. We've asked other witness about those.
- **6** So I'm going to move right to the chase. At some
- 7 point, did you learn there was going to be a sweat
- 8 lodge in the Spiritual Warrior 2009 event?
  - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. When did you first learn that?
- 11 A. As I recall, it was fairly late in the
- day of the sweat lodge. It was in the afternoon.Q. Was that after you had returned from the
- 14 Vision Quest?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Do you recall whether there was any
- 17 briefing to let you know that a sweat lodge was
- 18 coming?
- 19 A. Yes, there was.
- 20 Q. Can you tell us about that.
- 21 A. They told us they were going to have a
- 22 sweat lodge and --
- 23 Q. Let me, if I can, interrupt you. Do you
- 24 recall who told you that?
- 25 A. James.

Q.

- And by "James," are you referring to
- 2 whom?

1

12

21

- 3 A. James Ray.
- 4 Q. Please continue.
- 5 A. He said that he was had done the sweat
- lodges in the past, that it was going to be very,
- 7 very hot. He said that it was going to be hotter
- 8 than last year. That nobody had sweat lodges that
- 9 were hotter than his except maybe the Lakotas, or
- 10 even hotter than the Lakotas. I don't remember the
- 11 context. And they were crazy.
  - So he told us -- he stressed that it was
- 13 going to be very, very hot.
- **Q.** And can you tell us where that briefing
- 15 took place.
- 16 A. In the conference room where we had our
- 17 sessions.
- 18 Q. And is that -- do you recall if that was
- 19 a place called the "Crystal Hall"?
- 20 A. I believe it was.
  - Q. And were you told anything else by
- 22 Mr. Ray in the briefing about what you should wear,
- 23 or what you should eat or what you should drink?
- 24 A. We were told not to eat lunch or we'd get
- 25 sick. We were told to hydrate well ahead of time.

- And we were cold to wear lightweight clothes or
- 2 swimsuit or shorts or something like that.
- Q. After the briefing was there some period
- 4 of time then for you to go change your clothes?
  - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And if people chose to, was there time
- 7 for them to eat a lunch?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you have lunch that day?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And do you recall approximately when you
- 12 were to all report to begin the sweat lodge?
- 13 A. I don't remember what time.
- 14 Q. Was it in the morning? Was it in the
- 15 afternoon?
- 16 A. It was -- it was later in the afternoon.
- 17 Q. Sometime after lunch?
  - A. Yes. I would -- yes. After lunch.
- 19 Q. And Doctor, do you recall where you were
- 20 all told to report?
  - A. To an area outside the sweat lodge.
- 22 There was a big field, and we congregated there.
- Q. Would you tell us what happened, then,
- 24 when you congregated down at the field.
  - A. Everybody stood in a circle, and I really
    - 100
  - don't remember what the context of what was said at
- 2 the circle. It was preparation to go in as far as
- 3 attitude and what we were our intentions for
- 4 this process.
  - Q. Do you recall who led that conversation?
- 6 A. James.
- 7 Q. And again, I apologize, ma'am. But there
- 8 are a few different Jameses -- witnesses and
- 9 whatnot.
- 10 A. James Ray.
- 11 Q. Okay. Mr. Ray. Do you recall whether
- 12 during that circle Mr. Ray had any other words or
- 13 comments about the conditions inside the sweat
- 14 lodge?
- 15 A. Not that I recall.
- 16 Q. Do you recall either at the briefing up
- 17 in that Crystal Hall or down at the -- outside the
- 18 sweat lodge, whether anyone expressed any potential
- 19 medical issues that they might experience inside
- 20 the sweat lodge?
  - A. Yes. One woman said that she had high
- 22 blood pressure and asked whether or not she should
- 23 go in.

21

24

Page 97 to 100 of 278

- Q. And did you recall if Mr. Ray responded
- 25 to that question?

4

17

20

23

A.	He said something to the effect that she			
should use her own judgment.				

- 3 Q. Do you recall what his demeanor was when 4 he responded to the question?
  - Α. Not really.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

15

- Q. Okay. At what place inside the sweat lodge were you sitting when you finally entered?
- When I entered, I was to the left of the opening.
- Q. And, Doctor, I placed on the overhead Exhibit 414. It's previously been admitted. If you were to look at this diagram, which is just a rough diagram, and assume that the entrance is the opening at the circle at the bottom.

Would you point out -- and you can do that by actually touching the monitor in front of you. Would you point out for us the location that you were sitting when you first entered the sweat lodge.

20 And how far would you reckon you were 21 from the door to the sweat lodge when you were 22 sitting inside?

Just a very few feet. There was only one person between me and the flap, and he was the one who was delivering the stones to the fire pit.

- Q. And let's talk a little about the mechanics of the stones. Would you mark -- and I'm going to change the color here -- would you mark on that chart where that fellow was sitting that you just mentioned.
- And do you recall what his name was?
- A. I want to say Aaron, bu I'm not sure.
- Q. Now, when stones were going to come in, 8
- 9 did Aaron go outside to get them?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Q. And how would he carry them into the 12 sweat lodge?
- 13 There was, like, a big fork that was used 14 to push them forward.
  - Q. Kind of like a pitchfork?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Can you show us on the chart -- and I'll
- change the color again -- where Mr. Ray was 18
- 19 sitting, if you recall.
- 20 And was anyone sitting between Mr. Ray and the flap on that side of the sweat lodge? 21
- 22 Α. I don't think so. But I'm not absolutely
- 23 sure.
- 24 Q. Is it safe to say, then, that you were
  - fairly close to where Mr. Ray was sitting?

- Do you recall how many rounds there were 2 in the sweat lodge ceremony?
  - Approximately eight. Α.
- 5 And would you tell us very briefly what a 6 round entailed. Was there any common length of 7 time that you noticed between the rounds?
- 8 A. No. It was -- there were prayers or 9 songs or chanting or something, but my perception was that they were probably about equal lengths, 10 but they seemed short to me. And the time we were 11 12 in there, objectively, was longer than what I felt 13 it was.
- Q. Did it feel like while you were inside 14 15 the sweat lodge that your abilities to track time seemed to become impaired to some extent? 16
  - Α. Yes.
- Q. At any point in those eight rounds, did 18 19 you leave the sweat lodge?
  - Α.
- 21 Q. Were you allowed to bring water inside 22 the sweat lodge?
  - Α. No.
- Did someone tell you you couldn't bring Q. 24 25 water into the sweat lodge?

102

- A. They put a table out where you were to 1 put your bottles and belongings. I don't remember whether specifically they said you can't or whether it was implied by the table to put your stuff here. Instructions. 5
- 6 Do you recall whether you did that, you
- left your water bottle? 7
  - Α. Yes. I did.
- Did you see anybody bring a water bottle 9 Q.
- inside? 10

8

14

17

20

21

22

- 11 Α. No, I did not.
- Can you tell us who controlled when a 12 Q.
- round would begin. 13
  - Α. James Ray.
- And who controlled when a round would 15 Q.
- end? 16
  - Α. James Ray.
- And who controlled how many stones would 18 Q.
- be brought inside between rounds? 19
  - Α. James Rav.
    - How would he do that? Q.
  - He would just indicate bring in "X"
- number of stones. I don't know how he decided how 23
- 24 many.
- Could you hear him giving a statement as 25 Q.

- to the number of stones to bring 1
- 2 Α. Yes.

5

6

7

9

10

- 3 Q. Did anybody put water on the stones once 4 they were brought inside?
  - Yes. After the stones were in the pit, James would walk over with a bucket of water and douse it, and steam would rise up.
- 8 Q. And do you recall approximately how many times water was placed on the stones?
  - Α. Every time there was a new round.
- 11 Now, when stones were brought inside, did you notice any difference in the temperature in the 12 13 area where you were sitting?
- 14 A. Yes. It would get hotter.
- 15 And when water was placed on the stones 16 after they'd been brought inside, did you notice any difference in the conditions inside the sweat 17
- lodge? 18
- 19 Α. Well, it would get hotter.
- 20 Q. Can you describe how much hotter it could 21 get.
- A. I can't tell you in degrees. It was 22 23 significantly hotter. It was noticeably hotter.
- It was -- I've been in a steam room before, and it 25 was like when the steam comes on. It's noticeably

  - hotter.

1

8

11

- 2 Q. At some point was some sort of, like, a 3 sandalwood or some sort of a plant material put on 4 the hot rocks?
- Α. 5 I don't remember.
- Do you recall clouds of smoke or anything 6 Q.
- 7 like that inside side the sweat lodge?
  - A. I don't remember clouds of smoke.
- 9 Do you recall any open flame, whatsoever,
- 10 inside the sweat lodge?
  - Α. No.
- 12 Q. In fact, when the door was closed or the 13 flap was closed, what was it like inside?
- 14 Α. It was pitch black.
- If there had been an open flame inside Q. 15 16 that sweat lodge, would you have seen it?
- A. I would think so. But the pit was pretty 17 deep. I never -- I could not see into the pit from 18 19 where I was located.
- 20 Q. And the pit that you're referring to, is that the object marked approximately in the center 21 22 or slightly to the back of the center of the
- 23 exhibit on the monitor?
- 24 A. Yes.

27 of 70 sheets

25 Q. And you said it was pretty deep. At some

- point did the prestart to get filled up with the 1
- 2 rocks that were being brought in?
- Again, I couldn't tell the depth. I just 3
- know I couldn't -- I never did see the rocks. 4
- 5 Could you see any sort of a glow coming
- from the rocks while they were brought in or once 6
- 7 they were in the pit?
  - Α. Yes.
  - And can you describe that for us. Q.
- It just looked like a hot -- big, hot 10
- 11 coal.

8

9

15

16

106

- 12 Q. At some point while you were inside the sweat lodge, did you ever hear anybody inside 13 express a desire to leave? 14
  - Α. Yes.
  - Can you tell us when that took place. Q.
- Well, people left during each round. At 17
- the end of a round, people would leave each time. 18
- But during the middle -- one of the middle rounds, 19
- some people had to be dragged out because they were 20
- unconscious. And during one of the middle rounds, 21
- the flap was put down on the door and then it was 22
- dark, and someone said, wait. There is one more. 23
- And James said -- James Ray said, well, you will 24
- 25 have to wait until the next round.
- Q. And the comment, wait. There is one 1
  - more -- was that made after several people had been 2
  - 3 dragged out?

4

5

11

14

- Α. Correct.
- And these people -- were they dragged Q.
- past near where you were sitting? 6
- 7 Α. Well, yes. Because I was sitting right 8 by the entrance.
- 9 Q. Were you able to tell if they were
- 10 conscious or not? I saw ones that were not conscious. Α.
- At some point did you hear someone say 12
- 13 words to the effect, I'm going to die?
  - Α. Yes.
- Can you tell us approximately what round Q. 15 16 that occurred.
  - I don't know. In the middle. Α.
- And do you recall from approximately 18 Q.
- where you heard the, I'm going to die, come from? 19
- I heard it from someone who was outside 20 Α. the tent. 21
- And do you have an idea approximately 22 Q.
- where on that chart the voice came from? 23
- Do you want me to touch? 24 Α.
- 25 Q. If you can.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

the flap?

Α.

A. I don't remember what was said. I'm going to die was the most dramatic of the statements.

Q. Do you recall anything about the voice? Was it a raised voice? Was it quiet voice? Was it a shout that made that statement?

A. It sounded like hysterical screaming.

13 Q. And do you recall whether Mr. Ray14 responded to that statement or similar statements15 from that voice the outside the tent?

16 A. Yes. He angrily said, get him out of 17 here, get him away.

18 Q. Do you know who Mr. Ray was speaking to 19 when he said that?

A. I interpreted it that he was speaking to one of his Dream Team members or one of the helpers outside the tent to remove this individual so that they were out of earshot.

**Q.** Did Mr. Ray stop the sweat lodge ceremony

25 at that point?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

2

3

7

14

17

20

21

22

110

1 A. No.

**Q.** Did he if not stop it slow it down so he could go check on the person?

4 A. No.

Q. Did he ever inquire after that about howthat person had done?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Did you ever hear anyone else in distresswhile were you still inside the sweat lodge?

10 A. No.

Q. After the person inside said, wait; there
is one more, were there several additional rounds
that took place?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you continue to see people beingdragged out after each of those rounds?

A. Yes.

18 Q. At any time did Mr. Ray leave the sweat19 lodge to check on those people?

A. No.

**Q.** Did you ever hear him inquire as to how those other participants were doing?

23 A. No

Q. At some point did you see something thatled you to believe someone was trying to leave the

9 the rounds when there was light that came in.10 Q. And can you point out for us on this

step. Did you see something out of the ordinary

question. At the time I did not know.

that let light into the sweat lodge?

sweat lodge from an unapproved location, other than

Q. Well, let's go through it then step by

I'm not quite sure how to answer this

Yes. There was a period during one of

11 chart approximately where you saw the light come12 in.

And at the time the light came in, can you tell us was it a quick flash of light or was it a prolonged amount of light? What can you tell us about that?

A. It was a sustained period of light.

**Q.** And how did Mr. Ray respond, if he did, at all, to that?

A. He appeared to be very upset and angry. He said, who has a flashlight? Turn off that flashlight. This is a sacrilege. Hand it over here to me.

And there was no response, either verbal, that I remember. And nobody passed him a

1 flashlight.

Q. At some point afterwards did you learn whether there had been a flashlight in the sweat lodge?

A. There wasn't a flashlight. I understood that one of the participants had actually rolled out of the sweat lodge underneath the tent, and it was the lifting up of the tent that caused the light.

**Q.** Do you recall whether someone sustained any sort of a burn inside the sweat lodge?

A. Yes.

Q. What do you recall about that?

Starting with if you can recall,approximately when during the sweat lodge ceremony

approximately when during the sweat lodge ceremonyroundwise did that take place?

A. Again, it was somewhere in the middle of the rounds. And the person stood up and stumbled. And I was aware that somebody was standing. Somebody stumbled. I didn't realize that -- at first that he'd fallen, evidently, into the pit or onto some of the coals. And he exited the tent. And I heard people say, oh. He's burned. He's

23 And I heard people say, oh. He's burned. He's24 burned.

And I turned to James at that point and

3

4

5

6

12

22

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

said, he really needs to get ice on that right 2

away. And James Ray responded, it's taken of. We

3 have a nurse. 4

Did Mr. Ray ever go out and check on the Q. fellow who had fallen into the pit?

A. No.

7 Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Ray ask anyone 8 outside how that individual was doing?

Α. No.

5

6

9

15

19

20

22

25

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

Now, you mentioned that he fell into the 10 11 pit. Is it possible that Mr. Ray didn't know he 12 was injured --

13 MR. KELLY: Objection, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: Let me rephrase that then.

16 Q. How is it that you came to perceive that this person had injured himself inside the sweat 17

18 lodge?

A. I heard people say, oh. He's burned.

Did you hear the individual who was

21 burned make any statement or yell?

> Α. Not to my knowledge.

23 Now, you mentioned that you stayed in the

24 same place where the little green dot is,

approximately; is that correct?

114

A. Yes.

Q. Were you sitting up the entire time?

3 Α.

Q. 4 At some point did you change your seating position? 5

I didn't change -- well, yes. I stayed in the same location. But instead of sitting up, I laid down.

Q. Why is it that you laid down?

A. Well, prior to the sweat lodge James had instructed us that if it got really hot, it was easier to breathe if your face was close to the ground. And the tent edges would allow a little bit of air to come in. I laid down in an attempt to breathe better and to feel less heat.

Were there two rows of people in the area that you were sitting, or was there only one row of people?

> Α. There were two rows.

20 Q. Can you tell, us were you in the row closer to the wall of the tent or to the row closer 21 towards the center of the tent. 22

A. Closer to the wall of the tent.

24 At some point, going back to the fellow who burned himself, were you aware of whether he

came back inside the sweat lodge? 1

Yes. He did come back in a later round.

Q. As a physician, did you have any concerns about that?

Α. Yes, I did.

Q. What were those?

Well, if he was burned, to be exposed to 7 more heat would only exacerbate the burn. 8

Now, you mentioned earlier that keeping 9 track of time was a little difficult while you were 10 11 inside; is that correct?

> Α. Yes.

Do you have any estimate of approximately 13 how long the sweat lodge ceremony took from its 14 15 beginning when the last person entered the sweat lodge in the beginning to when this ceremony ended? 16

At the time it felt fairly short. And I 17 was surprised later to learn that it was 18 approximately two hours. 19

20 Q. And was it still light out when you exited at the end of the sweat lodge? 21

A. Yes, it was.

And did it stay light out for some period 23 of time afterward or did it start to get dark? 24

It -- it stayed light.

116

Q. And can you show us again on this chart 1 2 where you were sitting.

How were you instructed to leave the 3 4 sweat lodge?

A. We were to leave in clockwise direction, the same way we had come in. So by that method, I was the last one to leave.

Q. And when you were leaving the sweat lodge, then, is that the first time that you were able to experience the heating conditions in other parts or zones, if you will, of the sweat lodge?

Α.

Q. And would you draw for us, then, how you exited the sweat lodge. 14

A. I exited. And, quite frankly, I don't remember whether I walked on the other side of the pit or not. As I was walking, I realized there were several people that were unconscious, and so I went over to one to drag them out.

Q. And can you -- I'll put a new color on the screen.

Can you show us, if you would, where you noticed the unconscious people.

Okay. There was one here and there was 24 one over here and I think a couple in this area.

Q. Okay. Now, for purposes of the record, if we were to look at this circle as the face of a watch with the entrance being 6:00 o'clock, can you give us approximately the locations on a watch face that the unconscious people were found by you.

12:00 o'clock, 2:00 o'clock and 3:00 o'clock.

Q. Do you recall were they men or women or a mix of both?

A. It was a mix of both.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

22

24

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

11 Q. Now, starting with this individual at the 12:00 o'clock location, do you recall was that a 12 man or a woman? 13

A. That was a woman.

Q. And then moving to the -- I guess to the 2:00 o'clockish area, was that a man or a woman?

A. I don't remember for sure.

Q. And did you know the names of either of 18 19 those two folks?

20 A. I believe the one at 12:00 o'clock was Beveriv or Bev. 21

Q. And do you know Beverly?

23 Α. I don't know her. She was a nurse.

> Q. Okay. And how about the individual at

the 2:00 o'clock location? 25

118

A. I don't know.

And then how about the -- I think you indicated there may have been two or a couple individuals down here at the 3:00 or maybe 3:30 location. Was that a man or a woman or both?

A. Both were women. There was a man dragging one of the women out, and I tried to drag the other woman out, and she was too heavy. I couldn't. I couldn't move her. So I helped the man drag the other woman out.

Q. Do you recall the names of either of the two women that you found there unconscious?

A. I don't know who they were.

Q. Do you recall what the name of the man was who was dragging one of those women out?

A. I do not know who he was.

Now, you mentioned that you were trying to drag the woman out. Was that a location in the sweat lodge that you were able to stand all the way upright?

> Α. I don't remember.

21 22 When you eventually got outside the sweat lodge, were you still dragging or helping the 23 24 fellow drag the woman out?

Yes. We dragged her outside the door.

Q. Okay. Would you show us on this chart --1 I'll give you a new color. Would you show us on 2 the chart approximately where you dragged the 3 4 woman.

And approximately how far from the 5 entrance was the location where you deposited her? 6

Α. A few feet.

And were you able to ascertain, was she Q. still unconscious when she was brought outside?

Α. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

18

19 20

25

8

Q. How were you feeling at that point?

I was very, very hot and weak. At the 12 initial conclusion I was hot but felt okay. Once I 13 went farther into the tent to help drag these 14 people out, I was shocked at how it sapped my 15 strength almost immediately. And so I could barely 16 stand by the time we got her out. 17

Q. And that's a good point. And, Judge, I know it's noon. Do you want me to stop now?

THE COURT: Yes. Would you, please, 21

22 Mr. Hughes. Thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, Dr. Wagoner, we're 23 going to take the noon recess at this time. 24

Dr. Wagoner, the rule of exclusion of

witnesses has been invoked. And that means you

cannot talk about your testimony or this case with 2

3 any other witness until it is over.

It's a good idea not to talk to anyone 4

about the case until it is completed. 5

However, you can talk to the attorneys as 6 long as another witness is not present. 7

Do you understand that rule?

9 THE WITNESS: I do.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, remember the 10 11 admonition.

I'm going to ask everyone reassemble at 12 1:30. We will start as soon as we can after that. 13

I'm going to ask the parties to remain a 14

minute. 15

Everyone is excused except for the 16

17 parties.

18

19

22

23

(Proceedings continued outside presence of jury.)

20 THE COURT: I'll note that the jury and the 21 witness have left the courtroom.

I wanted to bring up a couple of things.

We had a lengthy bench conference, and

again, we're trying to avoid that. But Ms. Do was 24 examining. Actually, Ms. Polk was. And then there 25

1 was the objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

I want to caution with regard to this impeaching with a prior inconsistent statement. It's not appropriate to set up a straw man to conduct impeachment. I just want to make that comment.

Ms. Polk and Mr. Hughes, also this court has to look at the evidence. Even though there may be some type of invitation or opening the door, the Court still has to evaluate the evidence and has to look at the overall fairness of the trial. So I want both people to think about that.

I don't want to have these issues come up regarding impeachment through prior inconsistent statement. I think we've gone through that enough. I just don't want to see that problem arise. And I want to avoid lengthy bench conferences.

With regard to the disclosure issue, I had a chance to look at the case a little more. I think it's factually distinguishable. It does not control the situation here. And I confirm the prior rulings.

But I'm going to say this: I'll direct these comment to the state. Because of the timing of the disclosure and the naming or listing of the

122

18

25

11

14

15

16

19

20

22

exhibits, because of that these kinds of issues may arise. And I'm meaning where there may be evidence that now is apparent to the defense that was not before.

And there may be incidents -- I'm not in any way trying to encourage this. I've got to 7 apply Rule 15. But there may be instances where relevant evidence is disclosed late under the rules.

That's not going to excuse prompt disclosure under the Arizona rules, though. And that didn't happen in this instance.

> I just wanted to make those comments. Anything else before we recess, Counsel?

MR. LI: Your Honor, I have a quick matter in the interest of keeping the trial momentum moving and what have you.

I'm not sure that Mr. Mehravar -- Dennis Mehravar; is that right? Mr. Mehravar is listed for today. The state has indicated that it wants 21 to play two tapes, two clips.

We've objected for a variety of reasons 23 and communicated with the state last night. I had 24 a back and forth about this particular issue.

And I don't think it's going to happen

today, but we wanted to raise it to the Court's 2 attention that there is an outstanding issue relating to two clips that the state would like to

play, that we listened to last night, and had an 4

5 exchange about last night.

THE COURT: Can you just give me a brief 6 summary in a couple of minutes what the issue 7 appears to be. 8

MR. LI: One of them is objectionable as 9 hearsay and relevance and a number of other 10 grounds. And it, essentially, is a tape with 11 12 Mr. Mehravar saying words to the effect of, this meditation I was doing -- I don't have it with me. 13 But this meditation I was doing was very difficult, 14 was very uncomfortable. I didn't like it. The 15 second time I felt sort of a cramp while I was 16 17 meditating.

19 hey, look. You're going to have threshold 20 experiences here. You need to -- you know -- push on it. Meditation is going to be good for you. 21 And I want you to come out of this experience 22 having -- you know -- had a wonderful meditation 23 ceremony -- experience. 24

To our view, that's entirely irrelevant.

Mr. Ray says something to the effect of,

124

What Mr. Mehravar was doing -- I think it's

2 probably Sunday, four days before the sweat lodge

event -- and how he was feeling about his

meditation and whether it was difficult or not 5 difficult.

And what Mr. Ray said to him about 6 7 whether he should keep meditating or not meditating, to me and to the defense, that's entirely irrelevant as to whether why Mr. Ray 9 recklessly caused three people to die. 10

There are also hearsay objections 12 imbedded in that. Essentially, it's a conversation 13 between Mr. Ray and the state witness in which -you know -- the state witness is explaining what his particular problem is, and Mr. Ray is reacting to that.

And there is no real way to clean that 17 18 up.

THE COURT: You've given me a summary. What's the summary of your response to 21 that?

Ms. Polk, please.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, the witness, I believe, 23 we will get to this issue today. This will be the 24 next witness after we're finished with Dr. Wagoner.

19

20

21

The witness is Dennis Mehravar. And he will testify about his experience during the Holotropic breathing, which is one of the earlier exercises, and how uncomfortable he was and how he just wanted to pull the headphones off and run.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

24

He at an open-mic session tells that to Mr. Ray. And that's on the clip. And the response by Mr. Ray is that this -- what you have to do with the Holotropic breathing is what you have to do throughout this seminar -- is no matter how uncomfortable it makes you, you have to continue to 12 push through so that you can have a breakthrough 13 experience.

So it's very, very relevant to what everybody is being told and what the jury frankly has already heard about Mr. Ray's teachings. Which is no matter how uncomfortable you are, no matter what you're experiencing physically, you have to continue to push in order to have a breakthrough.

Your Honor, the state has two clips prepared. One has Mr. Mehravar's words on it where at the mic he says this is what I experienced.

If there is an objection to that, I've offered to the defence to just play Mr. Ray's response to it. So the state can do either.

126

Mr. Li had indicated to me last night that he felt there should be more context.

So we have the bigger tape. Obviously we'll lay the foundation through the witness. The state can go either way as to how much to play.

THE COURT: Well, as you know, the first part is going to be hearsay. To the extent it summarizes --

9 MS. POLK: It's not.

> THE COURT: It may or may not be. It depends if there is present-sense impression, an expression of bodily condition, those things. It could be an exception.

That it's an at-the-mic kind of thing, I think it's going to raise the same issues that came up with regard to Liz Neuman and that excerpt. I'm mean, I'm sorry -- Kirby Brown.

MR. LI: I found the transcript. So I have 19 it.

And actually, it is not a present 21 sensations. He's talking about what happened to 22 him in the morning on the open mic. Two, it's not about the breathing, Holotropic breathing. It's 23 about what's called "Holosync", which is meditation. The state has that actually wrong.

He really is sitting there lying on a mat with earphones on, I think, and -- you know --2 meditating. And that's what he wants to take the 3 earphones off and runaway screaming. 4

I would submit that that is a little bit 5 of exaggeration or a little bit of hyperbole that 6 as he's laying on the ground meditating, that this 7 was a little difficult for him and he didn't want 8 to continue doing it. It's a figure of speech. 9

I think -- you know -- we've had a lot of 10 11 testimony about a lot of different things. And this one sure seems well beyond what's relevant for 12 13 a manslaughter trial.

THE COURT: I haven't heard what the statement 14 was from Mr. Ray. Can someone tell me? 15 MR. LI: Yeah. Essentially, he says --16

THE COURT: If you're going to read it, please 17 18 read it slowly.

MR. LI: I will read it slowly.

Mr. Mehravar -- I'm going to summarize Mr. Mehravar's testimony, unless you want it read.

THE COURT: I would like to get an idea.

22 MR. LI: Okay. He says, the first one we did 23 this morning I feel really comfortable, and I was 24 25

feeling really good, and I didn't want to actually

1 leave the meditation.

But this one, from the first moment, I 2 thought, oh. Great. I can sleep for one more hour 3 and start working on stuff. But I -- and then 4

James makes a joke. You've been talking to Bill, 5 another participant. And then there's some

7 laughter.

But I couldn't -- I was very 8 uncomfortable. I was so tight, I couldn't even 9 breathe. I was just literally -- I was just 10 literally -- had to have a hard time breathing. 11

12 It felt like I was in a close space. The left side of my body was twisted and I couldn't 13 stop. It was really weird, and I was hoping it 14 would be over. 15

And now he's talking about meditation.

And it felt like it was going on for 17 hours and hours. I just wanted to take the 18 19 headphones off and run outside.

Threshold. You're not -- so how 21 come -- that was Mr. Ray. Said threshold. You're not. Dennis says -- or Mr. Mehravar says, so how come?

Mr. Ray says, you're not recommended to 24 do this more than one time a day. It's an

32 of 70 sheets

128

16

20

22

accelerated learning program. You're here. You're 1 2 to push the threshold. It only gets better.

Mr. Mehravar says, thank you.

Mr. Ray says, it only gets better. And the only way to get beyond it is to go through it.

What you really want, Dennis is to come out of this desert with 10 times more than you can imagine. True?

9 So then you're going to have to be willing -- like you stood up here and said today, 10 11 be uncomfortable and do things even when they're 12 not fun, and to give up your free time and give up your sleep and to do these things that I wouldn't 13

14 recommend you do at home necessarily.

16 The diet is the reason -- I'm sorry.

I'm speeding, aren't I?

I apologize, Mina.

And the diet is for a reason. And what you are doing is for a reason. And it will get the result. It really will. Okay? Yes.

And then somebody else picks up the mic.

But this is a controlled environment.

THE COURT: Well, my initial thought is this 24 excerpt doesn't raise the sixth amendment concerns that were present the last time. The person will

130

be here. 1

3

4

6

7

8

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

2 The first part of the statement, Dennis's part of it, is hearsay. The response is,

4 essentially, relevant, the weight of it. What can

be done on cross-examination in contrasting it, 5

those are factual matters. 6

So if there is an objection to the first part, then that won't be played. There can be direct testimony on that as to what was presented. And that can be done that way.

I don't -- if it's going to be one of 12 these situations where there is an objection presented and then there is impeachment, what was said on the tape that the state's offering to play, that will raise another question.

But that's where I think it would head. Unless you have some other authority that comes within the last discussion and it's relevant and goes to weight.

MR. LI: Well, I suppose the only thing you 21 can do, Your Honor, to have -- without waiving any 22 of our objections, the only thing you can do is to put the clip the state wants to play into context, 24 is to play the part where Mr. Mehravar is talking about meditation so it's not taken out of context

and made to sound like they're standing at the gate 1

2 of the sweat lodge and Mr. Ray is hollering at this

fellow and telling him he's got to push and push 3 4 and push.

5 THE COURT: If it's not put into context,

there won't be proper foundation. I'll sustain 6 7 objections to it.

Just to avoid having to do another 105 8 instruction, it should be placed in a nonhearsay 9

fashion. It would just eliminate that. And the 10

context has to be brought out. 11

12 Of course, that can be done on cross, as 13 well, if there hasn't been fairness, in your view, in how it's being presented. 14

But I would assume --

Ms. Polk, will you be conducting that? 16

17 MS. POLK: Yes.

15

18

19

20

21

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I assume it would be set up at the full context. This wasn't the Samurai Game. This wasn't the breathing. This was meditation on Sunday.

MS. POLK: Correct, Your Honor.

22 23 And, again, the state will play the abbreviate portion of Mr. Ray only, if that's what 24 25

the defense wants. If the defense wants Dennis

Mehravar's words played, we can play that as well. 1

THE COURT: Well, the defense has preserved 2 3 its objection completely.

So, Mr. Li, which ---

MR. LI: I think if we're going to play a 5 portion of the tape, we have got to play the whole 6 7 thing so that there is some context.

We preserve or objection to it as hearsay 8 and all the other reasons. But you can't have this 9 clip by itself in isolation and let the jury 10 speculate what he's talking about. 11

THE COURT: It wouldn't be. It's a question whether or not the prelude is going to be through direct testimony or through the clip.

MR. LI: I think --

THE COURT: That's the question. Not that it's just going to be in the air with Mr. Ray's response. That's not going to happen.

MR. LI: There is more to it than just the 19 20 foundation that Ms. Polk would lay.

I mean, there is -- the fact that this person -- this is the same person who is outside saying he's dying. And so he perhaps has a tendency even when talking about meditation to have a fairly floored way of discussing his issues.

Page 129 to 132 of 278

And I think the fact of the matter is 1 2 they need -- the jurors need to hear who this guy is and how he sounds when he's talking about this, and when Mr. Ray responds to him. It's not enough 5 for Ms. Polk to simply say, sir, you were talking 6 about meditation.

7 THE COURT: You've asked that it be played, 8 and that's what's going to happen.

9 MR. LI: Thank you.

10 THE COURT: That's what is going to happen.

MR. LI: Thank you, Your Honor. 11

12 THE COURT: I gave you that option.

13 MR. LI: Just to clarify, the other issue, Your Honor, is that the state had wanted to play a 14 15 part of what we'll call the "pregame speech"

relating to the sweat lodge ceremony. 16

They had clipped it at a point where Mr. Ray said something like -- you know -- you're going to have to be okay with -- like something along the lines of you're going to have to be okay with dying. That's the value of this extreme exercise.

23 And the sentence isn't actually finished. Then the sentence then goes, because symbolically 24 this is a really great metaphor for blah, blah,

1 blah.

17

18

19

20 21

22

14

15

16

19

20

21

2 So we would submit that you can't just play the part where he says -- you know -- you got 4 to feel like you got to be okay with letting it go 5 or dying or accepting that without the whole 6 portion that follows immediately thereafter 7 literally in the same sentence saying that the whole thing is symbolic and this is a great symbol 8 for rebirth and et cetera, et cetera.

10 THE COURT: Isn't that what Rule 106 really 11 contemplates?

12 MR. LI: I would think so.

THE COURT: I think so too. 13

MR. LI: And, Your Honor, just for efficiency's sake, perhaps we should just play the whole thing from the moment they saddle up and get 17 in the conference room and Mr. Ray says, here's what's going to happen. It's going to be hot. It's going to feel like this. Here's how you get out.

And they just hear it all and we just 22 play it. And then instead of clipping little 23 portions and then having this thing where we're 24 listening to clips at 9:00 o'clock at night trying 25 to figure out if there is something else that needs

to be added 1

2 THE COURT: What's your argument? Directly as to 106, what are you saying?

MR. LI: I would say we should play the whole 4 5

THE COURT: Because? 6

7 MR. LI: Because the whole thing is in

context. The --8

thing.

THE COURT: Doesn't it say fair presentation? 9

Isn't that the language of 106? 10

MR. LI: Yes, Your Honor. 11

12 THE COURT: You're saying that --

13 MR. LI: The fair presentation would be the 14 entire time.

The man does not say go in there and die.

The man says go in there. It's a great metaphor. 16

If you can't handle it, if it doesn't feel right 17

for you, you need to leave. Here's how to do it. 18

And that's the whole -- that's the fair 19 20 presentation of the text, not little clips and 21 snippets.

THE COURT: How long is the whole recording, 22

23 Ms. Polk?

15

134

MS. POLK: Your Honor, that portion is about 24

45 minutes. And the state will play the whole 25

1 clip. Counsel hasn't had a chance to catch up on

2 emails since we've been in court all morning, but I

had actually emailed in response that we would be 3

willing to play the whole clip. 4

THE COURT: Okay. I think that's correct 5 under 106 without listening to every minute of it 6 now and deciding what really is necessary to a fair 7 presentation -- it's stipulated. 8

MR. LI: And without waiving our various 9 10 objections.

The last point I would want to make, Your 11 Honor, is can we just play it once? I mean, I've 12 13 done -- as a prosecutor.

I did a lot of cases with a lot of wiretaps and a lot of tapes. And we did not just keep playing tapes over and over, the same tape 17 over and over.

What was your reaction to that? What was your reaction to that? We didn't do that. We just played the tape. The jurors got to hear it.

They got to evaluate the defendant's testimony or statements, out-of-court statements. 22 They got to place whatever weight they wanted to 23 place on it. 24

But the idea that we just are going to do

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

7

8 9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

10

12

13

15

138

this. We literally, Your Honor, have a CD with, I 1 think, 200, 300 clips on it. And then the state gives us -- you know -- the state gives us each 4 day, oh. Here are the five clips we might play tomorrow.

So then we go back there and we try to figure out what these clips are.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

THE COURT: But is this the issue that's been decided on the email, though, now?

MR. LI: I would have to look at my emails.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, first of all, what I have given Mr. Li are the clips that we would play through the witness for the week, not just for the day. But we will play the entire presweat lodge briefing.

There is other audio that is relevant. And as it becomes relevant, the state has to play it. And I think if there is objections at the time, the Court should address them.

THE COURT: There has to be notice of the clips so they can be addressed and the defense can figure out what a fair context would be -- fair presentation. That's one thing.

24 Let's return to what Mr. Li had brought up. And that is repeat playing. That hasn't 25

happened. I know what you're talking about. I've 1 had instances where jurors will ask questions and 2

3 want something played again. It happens. And then

you have to decide if that's, essentially, an asked

and answered. And you have to deal with that. 5

Of course, the jury is permitted to take evidence with them, obviously, and they can listen to it again. They can choose what evidence they want to listen to.

I think what you're saying, Mr. Li, is a good guideline.

12 And, Ms. Polk, you haven't had a chance 13 to respond.

When I say "guideline," there may be instances it's appropriate if there is some limited -- very limited case that's in dispute somehow. I don't want to have a hard and fixed rule it never would happen.

Ms. Polk, if you could address that. And I would like to get to the recess here. Go ahead.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, I don't disagree with 22 that. The state has not done that. And, again, should we attempt to do it, it will be because a particular clip is relevant.

And I am giving the defense notice a week

in advance when what clips we intend to use. 1

THE COURT: I'm talking about the 45-minute 2 preparation session. To then have it played once and then repeat it with witnesses here and there, 4 that's something I believe should be avoided. 5

It just emphasizes a particular aspect of testimony by choice of counsel, essentially, rather than having the jury make that decision during deliberations.

So do you disagree with that? MS. POLK: No, Your Honor.

12 MR. LI: Your Honor, just for the record, we are not getting one-week notice. We are -- I 13 apologize. I don't know whether Stephen 14 Ray's -- Mr. Ray -- Stephen Ray -- one of the 15 participants. We got -- we go this yesterday. 16 Today is Wednesday. So it's not a week's notice.

He -- I'm literally listening to these tapes last night over dinner trying to figure out whether there is more tape to play.

And so my request of the state -- without trying to sound whiney here, my request of the state here is that if we can get a little more notice as to which tapes they really want to play so that we could try to figure out a way to deal

140

with this in an orderly fashion, which we're trying

to do right now. I appreciate this time. And I 2 3 think we are accomplishing something here.

But if we could have more notice, then we 4 could do this more often and have it more smooth. 5 And that's the request I'm making. 6

MS. POLK: Your Honor, there is another email that counsel hasn't had an opportunity, I guess, to pick up. But there was another email this morning that has the clip for the rest of the witnesses for 11 the week.

And, Judge, I know that you need to recess, but I do have another issue before Dennis 14 Mehravar testifies.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. POLK: The state was provided last night a 16 17 copy of a complaint -- were provided by the defense with a copy of the compliant filed by Sidney 18 Spencer and David -- or Dennis Mehravar against 19 20 James Ray and Angel Valley and the Hamiltons. It

came to us via email. I assume that the defense 21

intends to use this in some way in cross-examining 22

Mr. Mehravar. And there are several issues. 23 First of all, there is the discovery 24

violation. But secondly, this is a complaint 25

1

9

15

25

8

17

- signed by an attorney, not by sennis Mehravar or
- 2 Sidney Spencer. It's a civil lawsuit. There is no
- affidavit or anything signed by Mr. Mehravar
- himself. And it's not clear to me what the
- intention is of the defense in providing this to
- 6 the state. I believe that there has been a civil
- 7 settlement between parties. I don't know if
- 8
- Mr. Mehravar or Sidney Spencer -- if either of
- those individuals is somebody who has settled their
- 10 claims against Mr. Ray as part of that civil
- settlement. The state has not been provided with 11
- 12 that information.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

20

I do know that other witnesses, in response to interviews conducted by the defense -other witnesses have said that there are confidentiality agreements in place with respect to status of their lawsuit. The state does not have that information.

I'm putting the Court on notice that I believe that this complaint, the document itself, would be hearsay. And I don't know what the issues are, but there hasn't been disclosure to the state.

- There has been no disclosure of settlement 23
- information between Mr. Ray and these witnesses and
- 25 I believe some of these may have been settled. And

142

- then, of course, this is also a lawsuit against the
- 2 Hamiltons and Angel Valley. I believe that lawsuit
- 3 is still pending.
- THE COURT: Mr. Li? 4
- 5 MR. LI: I'm almost at a loss. You know,
- couple things. Just on the relevance issue, here's 6
- a man who believes he -- you know -- who was at the 7
- incident, who is the state's witness. The state 8
- 9 certainly could have -- these are all publicly
- available documents. When you file a lawsuit, you 10
- go to the county clerk and you get it. So the 11
- 12 state has equal access to the information. They
- 13 merely have to ask their witness, hey. Have you
- filed a lawsuit? That's what I would do if I were 14
- 15 a prosecutor. And then, oh. You did? Where did
- you file it? And then I send Detective Diskin, who 16
- 17 is very capable, to the county courthouse and pick
- it up. So in terms of a disclosure violation, I 18
- 19 take a little umbrage at that.

The second thing is we were not aware of 21 this rule that that -- for impeachment evidence,

- 22 which is what this is, that there is a heightened
- 23 disclosure obligation where the defense has to give
- 24 anything it can think of that it might want to use
  - in impeaching a particular witness. But because of

yesterday -cause of the Court's ruling

yesterday, out of an abundance of caution, we 2

wanted to make sure that I didn't run afoul or --3

THE COURT: Mr. Li, I have to stop you. That 4

case you provided -- when I read it, the Osborn 5 case -- talks about 613 and statements specifically 6

with regard to 613 and spends a lot of time talking 7

about the state choosing not to look into that. 8

I -- give me some authority that says

after there is direct that there can be an exhibit 10

that's brought forth that has to be litigated as to 11 its authenticity, when it occurred, as opposed to a 12

statement. If that case really goes beyond the 13

statements, I'd like to know. 14

But what you're telling me, I really want

to see the authority, because I'm not -- I've not 16

17 known of exhibits coming in -- you know -- for

impeachment that were discovered ahead of time and 18

are they really impeachment. I'm just not aware of 19 20 that.

MR. LI: Your Honor --21

22 THE COURT: I don't see the case talking about

that either. So if you have other authority --23

that's the 1980 case -- please provide it to me. 24

MR. LI: Yes, Your Honor. I don't want to

relitigate that particular issue. We will address

it. That's not the point I'm trying to make here.

THE COURT: I think I can address this issue. 3

Whether a witness has filed a lawsuit or has a 4

lawsuit going, that goes to motive and bias. 5

That's basic, I think. 6

There's a different question as whether 7 or not you can get a legal document that's been

filed extrinsic evidence, whether it's disclosed or

not, into court. I did cite the case in that one

10 ruling that says that when it goes to motive and

11 12 bias, you're not just under the rule that requires

that you can only cross-examine as to credibility 13 14

and not provide extrinsic evidence.

If it goes to motive and bias, I think 15 extrinsic evidence is allowed. Again, I think 16 there can be disclosure type issues. And I think

just having a complaint in as evidence, I have a 18

**19** concern about that.

But in terms of cross-examination, that's 20 21 potential motive and bias, a possible issue. I 22 don't know. But I think routinely the cases say

23 that's something you can do. 24 MR. LI: Yes, Your Honor. And that's the

reason why we would seek to question him about a

lawsuit that he's brought against a number of 1 2 parties, including some folks who are going to 3 testify up on the stand.

4 And it's not a disclosure violation, Your Honor, to provide -- I mean, look. I provided this 5 document to the state last night out of an abundance of caution. It's our position that, in 7 all honesty, they could get this thing themselves. 8 It's in the courthouse. It's not something that we 10 made up or we found or -- you know -- we don't have sleuths who are out there rummaging around finding 11 12 this stuff.

This is a publicly available document that an attorney for this particular witness filed upon his behalf. There are a lot of reasons why lawsuits that are filed on somebody's behalf are, basically, an adopted admission. They're their statements.

And so I don't want to over litigate this issue, Your Honor. But I do -- this idea of discovery violation, et cetera, gets thrown around a little bit, and I don't appreciate it. And I just wanted to say we -- you know -- it is not a discovery violation to provide the state with something that Detective Diskin, if he wanted to,

could go find himself.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

THE COURT: With regard to the other exhibit, you need a good-faith basis to cross-examine. There can be a lot of cross-examination based on the information that's apparent in that exhibit without offering exhibits that the other side did

MR. LI: Are we talking about the lawsuit or 8 picture?

THE COURT: I'm talking about both. I'm talking about this complaint right now. It's a different question. I don't know that you had to give that to the state. If you're going to cross-examine and you have a good-faith basis, why would you necessarily have to do that?

16 MR. LI: I just don't want to --

not have an opportunity to address.

THE COURT: If you want to put some evidence 17 in, that's a different question. 18

MR. LI: I understand, Your Honor. 19

THE COURT: I'm talking about physical 20 evidence as opposed to good-faith basis 21 22 cross-examination.

23 MR. LI: I appreciate that, Your Honor. I really do. And I'm not trying to relitigate an 24 issue that we talked about this morning. Out of an abundance of caution, I don't want to -- look. The

state took the position that me sending them an 2

email with this particular document attached to it

4 last night after the Court's ruling was still a

discovery violation. Okay. So for -- the Court 5

has heard the state accuse us of discovery 6

7 violation.

8

9

10 11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I agree with Your Honor that I don't actually have to disclose that particular document. And I wrote in my email I don't believe we have to do this, but I'm doing this.

THE COURT: Of course, you have to be ready 12 with the prove up. And that's what you've done. 13

MR. LI: I understand. And so I'm not seeking 14 to argue with the Court about another issue and 15 earlier and any of that. The state has accused of 16 a discovery violation. 17

THE COURT: On this?

MR. LI: On this exact point. Okay. And I don't -- I don't care. They can find out whatever evidence they want to find out. This guy filed a lawsuit. If they haven't found it themselves, I'm sorry. Okay. We'll give it to them. That's not how we normally do things, but if they can't find it, we'll give it to them. And that's the position

146

1 we'll take. And you know what? They can do

whatever they want to do with that. But if they

want to claim a discovery violation, Your Honor, I 3

will be honest with you. I take umbrage at that.

It's not correct. It's a complete distortion of 5

6 what the rule is.

7 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Li. I don't find a discovery violation on that. 8

MR. LI: Thank you. 9

THE COURT: We're going to recess. Thank you. 10 11

(Proceedings continued in the presence of 12

jury.)

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

25

THE COURT: The record will show the presence of the defendant, Mr. Ray, the attorneys, the jury. The witness, Dr. Wagoner, has returned to the

17 witness stand.

> And, of course, Dr. Wagoner, you're still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Doctor, I think when we 23 had broken for lunch you were describing the 24

aftermath, so to speak, of the people being pulled

- out of the sweat lodge at the end of the ceremony. 1
- 2 Do you recall those questions?
- 3 Α. Yes.
- 4 Q. Would you tell us, as you moved from your position where you were seated and went around the 5 6 sweat lodge to go out and you encountered groups of 7 people who were unconscious, were you able to 8 notice any differences in the temperature inside
- 9 the sweat lodge?
- 10 Α. Yes.

16

1

2

- Q. 11 Would you note for us on the overhead 12 chart, which is still Exhibit 414, any areas where 13 you noticed differences in the temperature inside 14 the sweat lodge.
  - As you walked back here, this area right in here was extremely hot.
- 17 Was the area that you noted back there -was it noticeably hotter than the location where 18 you were sitting over by the door? 19
- 20 A. Oh, far hotter.
- 21 Q. Can you tell us the distance,
- approximately, from where you were sitting to the 22 23 edge of the pit. So that distance in between.
- 24 I'm not very good at judging distances.
- An objective measurement from the flap to the pit 25

  - would be more accurate than what I would come up with.
- 3 Q. Okay. Fair enough. We will have a 4 witness later who measured things out, so I won't press you on that. 5
- You mentioned that in the area where you 6 7 were seated, I believe, there were two rows of 8 people?
- 9 Α. Correct.
- 10 How about in the area where Mr. Ray was 11 seated? How many rows of people were there?
- 12 Α. Two.
- 13 Q. Was there a row, then, seated directly in 14 front of Mr. Ray?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 You mentioned that throughout the sweat
- 17 lodge ceremony people were pulled unconscious out
- the entrance. 18
  - Correct. Α.
- Q. 20 Were people pulled past where Mr. Ray was
- sitting? 21

19

- 22 Α. Yes.
- Q. 23 Were they pulled, then, in the clockwise
- direction? 24
- 25 Α. Yes.

- ou have any idea -- and I know -- I 1
- 2 won't ask too much about distance. But do you have
- any idea how far from Mr. Ray they were as they 3
- 4 were pulled past him?
- 5 MR. KELLY: I'm going to object to lack of
- 6 foundation, Judge. 7 THE COURT: Whether or not she has any idea, I
- think, was the question BY MR. HUGHES. 8
- 9 So that may be answered, if you can.
- THE WITNESS: I would estimate it would be 10 11 within six feet.
- 12 Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Is that something you 13 personally witnessed?
- 14 In other words, let me ask a different question. I asked do you have any idea. What is 15
- 16 that idea based upon?
- 17 A. Well, the length of a person lying down. There were two rows of people. And to get by 18 Mr. Ray, there would be approximately the distance 19 of one human body length between him and the area 20
- 21 where they were walking out. Q. And then when they were actually -- when 22 people were actually going out that entrance, did 23
- they then get closer to where Mr. Ray was seated? 24
  - Α. Yes.

25

7

12

16

21

- 1 Q. In fact, was there anybody in between
  - Mr. Ray and the door? 2
  - There may have been at times. I'm not 3
  - 4 sure. Okay. Is that something you have a clear 5 Q.
  - memory about one way or the other? 6
    - Α.
  - Q. Okay. As the people were brought out the 8
  - entrance, the unconscious ones throughout the 9
  - ceremony, did Mr. Ray ever stop the proceedings to 10
  - check on them? 11
    - Α. No.
  - 13 At any time did Mr. Ray, either during the proceedings or during the breaks in between the 14 rounds -- did he ever inquire of anybody outside as 15
  - to how those people were doing? Α. Not that I'm aware of. 17
  - You mentioned, Doctor, that you stayed 18 Q. pretty much in the same area throughout the sweat 19 lodge experience, is that correct --20
    - That's correct. Α.
  - -- until it came time for you to leave at 22 Q.
  - 23 the end?
  - 24 Α. Yes.
  - 25 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Ray stayed in the

- 1 same area throughout the sweat leage experience?
- 2 I believe he did.
- 3 Did you ever see Mr. Ray move from the Q. 4 area where he was seated to the area of the pit?
  - Α.

5

8

- 6 Q. And when did that occur?
- 7 Α. When he poured water onto the coals.
  - Q. And how often would you reckon he poured
- 9 water onto those coals?
- 10 Α. At the beginning of each round.
- And by "the coals," are you referring to 11 Q.
- 12 the rocks that were inside?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did you ever see anything other than 15 rocks being brought in to heat the sweat lodge?
- Α. No. 16
- 17 When you finally moved from the location where you were seated at and started to leave the 18 19 sweat lodge, did you ever move closer to the pit in 20 the area that Mr. Ray was at when he was adding 21 water to the coals?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Can you show us on this chart -- and I'll
- 24 clear the little marks I put on there. Can you
- show us on this chart where you moved towards that 25

pit.

1

2

4

- Α. Where I moved toward that pit?
- 3 Q. Yes.
- As I told you, I don't remember whether I 5 walked around this way or whether I walked this way
- because there was someone right in there. I'm
- making a mess of the screen here. This was the 7
- 8 area that was very, very hot. 9
- Q. And was that the area that Mr. Ray would 10 move to when he poured water on the coals?
- He would move in this direction like 11 12 this.
- 13 Now, when you moved into that area by the
- pit, did you notice a noticeable difference in 14
- temperature in that area from the location where 15
- 16 you were seated by the door?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. And what did you notice about the
- 19 temperature?
- 20 Α. Well, I felt fine -- relatively fine to 21 stand up and start walking out. When I got to the
- area near the pit where there was somebody
- collapsed that I was going to try and pick up, it
- 24 was so hot, and I felt so weak.
- 25 The first person I tried to pick up I was

- too weak to me them, and it was because I was so 1
- hot that my strength just drained away. So I put 2
- that person down and went to help, as I said, the 3
- man who was dragging the other woman, and I was --4
- we were barely able to pull her out. I was so 5
- weak. It was very difficult. 6
- 7 Now, you mentioned that you're not sure
- if you went around the back of the pit or around 8
- 9 the front of the pit?
  - Right. Right. Α.
- 11 You did mention earlier, though, is it
- correct that you saw a body back in this area also? 12
- 13 Isn't that correct?

10

14

18

23

25

154

- Α. Yes.
- Q. And you were able to see them clearly 15
- enough to tell, in your opinion, that they were 16
- 17 unconscious; is that correct?
  - Α. Yes.
- Q. Based on remembering that fact, does that 19
- 20 help refresh your recollection as to whether you
- went around the back of the pit or around the front 21
- 22 of the pit?
  - I think I probably went around the back Α.
- 24 of the pit.
  - Q. Now, you mentioned you were feeling

8

13

18

- pretty weak at the point, and you finally --
- 2 MR. KELLY: Your Honor, object. Form of the
- 3 question.
- THE COURT: Overruled. Again, if it's -- if 4
- it can't be answered, the witness can state it. 5
- But if it's inaccurate in the recitation of the 6
- 7
- prior testimony --
  - Continue with the question, Mr. Hughes.
- 9 MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
- BY MR. HUGHES: Doctor, you mentioned you 10
- were weak when you exited the sweat lodge. Do you 11
- recall that? 12
  - A. Yes.
- 14 MR. KELLY: Object to the leading nature of 15 this testimony and the form of the question.
- THE COURT: I think it's to set up another 16
- 17 auestion.
  - MR. HUGHES: It is, Your Honor. It's
- 19 foundational.
- 20 THE COURT: Overruled.
  - Q. BY MR. HUGHES: When you exited, can you
- 22 tell us what you did at that point.
- After I put the person down, I turned to 23 the people standing at the side of the tent, who I 24
  - believed were Dream Team members, and said, there
- Page 153 to 156 of 278

1 are people unconscious. The can't get out. They need help.

And then I walked further out into the field and laid down.

- Q. And about how far away from the sweat lodge did you lay down?
  - Α. Maybe 20 feet.
- Q. Doctor, I'm going to show you what's admitted as Exhibit 144. Can you recall from that photograph the area where you finally went to lay
- I don't think it's in this picture. I Α. believe it's -- is the flap by where those white buckets or white --
- 15 MR. KELLY: Your Honor, I would object.
- 16 THE WITNESS: -- white squares are.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. BY MR. HUGHES: And I can ask you another 18 19 question.
- 20 A. Okay. I --
- 21 Q. Doctor, do you know where the flap is on
- 22 the sweat lodge structure depicted in the
- 23 photograph?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

2

3

6

10

down?

- A. No. I'm having a hard time telling that.
- 25 Q. Okay. For sake of argument, if you were
  - to assume the flap was in that area, does that help orientate you as to where you finally laid down?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Would you point out, then, with that 4 assumption, where it is that you finally laid down. 5
  - A. Outside the picture.
- 7 **Q.** Okay. Can you give us a general direction? Is it off that way or is it off in some 8 other direction? 9
  - A. It's off in this direction.
- 11 Q. Thank you, Doctor. When you were outside the sweat lodge, did anyone cool you down with 12 13 water in any way?
- A. Yes. Somebody told me to lie down, and 14 they poured water on me. 15
- 16 Q. Do you recall who that person was?
- 17 A.
- Q. And was it a man or a woman? 18
- 19 Α. I don't remember.
- Q. And do you recall how they poured water? 20 Was it with a bucket or a hose or some other way? 21
- 22 MR. KELLY: Your Honor, object.
- 23 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 24 Q. BY MR. HUGHES: How did they pour water
- on you?

- They poured it out of some kind of a 1 2 container.
- Do you recall what the air temperature 3 Q. felt like outside after you exited the sweat lodge? 4
- I remember feeling very chilled after the 5 6 water was poured on me.
- 7 And did you stay in the location where the water was poured on you for some period of 8 9 time?
  - Α. Yes.

10

18

22

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

25

158

- Q. How long would you reckon you stayed in 11 12 that place?
- I really don't know. I tried to get up, 13 A. and somebody told me, don't get up. Just lie 14 there. 15
- **Q.** Do you think that the ability to tell the 16 passage of time was still affected at that point? 17
  - Α. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you drink any water or any electrolyte sort of fluid once you left the sweat 20 21 lodge?
  - Α. No.
- 23 Q. Did you try and eat anything after you left the sweat lodge? 24
- 25
  - Α. No.
- Q. Can you describe, as you sat there, what 1 you observed going on around you? 2
- A. Yes. May I make a correction to what I 3 just said, though? I remember that someone did give me a cup of, like, electrolyte solution or 5 something to drink. It was vile tasting. I didn't 6 drink it. 7
  - Okay. And then to turn you back to the Q. next question, can you describe what you saw going on around outside the sweat lodge after you were sitting in that place.
  - When I was there, I observed many, many people all lying down on the ground. And I thought perhaps they'd been given the same instructions that I had to not get up. And then when I finally did get up, I was told to go back to my room immediately. And I looked around and didn't feel comfortable doing that as I started to realize that there were a number of people in distress.
- Q. Did you ever see Liz Neuman outside the 20 21 sweat lodge?
  - Α.
- Did you ever assist anybody who you 23 Q.
- indicated -- anybody that you saw in distress? 24
  - Yes. I stayed with Liz Neuman.

- Q. Where did you see Liz
- 2 Liz was not very far outside the tent 3 flap. Coming out it was a little bit to the right.
- 4 And she was lying on her side, and she was
- 5 unconscious. And as I looked around, she was the
- 6 only one who didn't have somebody tending to her.
- 7 I didn't recognize her but -- at the
  - time. But Lou, one of the participants, came by
- 9 and said, wake up, Liz. Wake up, Liz. So I
- figured her name was Liz. And so when -- he left. 10
- 11 So I stayed with her to make sure she continued to
- 12 keep breathing.

1

8

25

2

3

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 13 Q. Did you ever try and talk to Liz?
- 14 Α. Yeah. I talked to her. You know.
- 15 Q. Did she respond back to you?
- Α. 16 No.
- 17 Q. Were you able to assess what her
- breathing was like? 18
- 19 A. She was breathing regularly. There was saliva and foam coming out of her mouth. That's --20
- 21 Do you --
- 22 A. -- I mean, what I can tell you.
- 23 Q. Do you recall -- at some point did you
- 24 leave the side of Liz?
  - A. No. I stayed with her once I identified
    - 162
  - her as being unconscious and no one with her. I stayed with her the entire time.
    - Q. What to you mean by "the entire time"?
- 4 A. Until a paramedic came to start an IV and 5 to take her off the field.
- 6 Q. Do you know how long a time that took for 7 the paramedics to arrive?
- A. It seemed like a very long time. Again, 8 my timing is distorted, but I would say at least 9 10 over half an hour.
- 11 Q. At some point did you ask or did you speak to the defendant about a 9-1-1 call? 12
- 13 Α. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. Can you tell us the circumstances around 15 that.
  - After I had been told to leave the area and I didn't and I was looking around, I had been aware of some people in the back of the tent. And I wasn't sure what was going on there. And this was before I found Liz.
- So I went to take a closer look at the 22 people at the table and realized they were doing 23 CPR and had been doing CRP. And I was shocked. I
- 24 could tell that they were doing an okay job. I
- didn't go over there further. And I saw James

- standing off to the side. And I said, has anyone
- called 911? And he just said, it's been taken care
- 3 of.

1

5

- Did he say anything else other than that? 4 Q.
  - Α.
- Now, do you recall what person was the 6 Q.
- recipient of the CPR? In other words, the patient? 7
- I did not get close enough to see who 8 9 they were.
- Could you tell if it was a man or a 10 Q.
- woman? 11
- 12 Α. I couldn't tell.
- 13 And would you show us, if possible,
- the -- you said it was on the far side of the sweat 14
- 15 lodge?

16

20

24

25

4

5

12

18

23

24

- A. Uh-huh.
- Would you show us on this Exhibit No. 144 17 approximately where around the sweat lodge you were 18
- talking about. 19
  - A. Over in this area.
- Q. At any time prior to going into the sweat 21
- lodge, were participants given a safety plan to 22
- 23 follow inside the sweat lodge?
  - Α.
  - At any time prior to going into the sweat Q.
  - lodge, did Mr. Ray or anyone else tell the
- 2 participants to check on or keep an eye on their
- 3 neighbors?
  - A. No.
  - Doctor, did you feel like you had an Q.
- adequate time to hydrate prior to the sweat lodge 6
- 7 and after the Vision Quest?
- James Ray told us to hydrate after the 8
- Vision Quest. He didn't initially tell us why. 9
- And my interpretation was it was just to correct 10
- the dehydration from the Vision Quest. 11
  - I'm quite sensitive to hydration issues,
- so I was quite conscientious about hydrating a lot 13
- afterward. 14
- 15 Q. You said you were sensitive to that. Is that actually what you did, then, between the 16
- Vision Ouest and the sweat lodge? 17
  - A. Yes. I drank a lot.
- The waiver that you signed -- would you 19
- have signed that waiver if you had known there was 20
- a risk that people could die inside the sweat 21
- 22 lodge?
  - MR. KELLY: Your Honor, objection.
  - THE COURT: Sustained.
    - BY MR. HUGHES: Did you believe, prior to

that decision -- should I take control, should I

on your perception of the event; correct?

Correct.

entering the sweat lodge, people could die inside 1 2 the sweat lodge?

Α. Not really.

4 Q. Would you have gone in if you thought 5 there was?

Α. No.

3

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

22

7 Doctor, as a doctor, were you concerned 8 while you were inside the sweat lodge about the 9 conditions inside the sweat lodge?

> Α. Not really.

Q. 11 Can you tell us why.

> I believed that the -- I saw people leaving, so I figured they were too hot. And I thought that the people who had lost consciousness -- it had been fairly abrupt and somebody attended to them.

I was talking to the people around me making sure that they were awake and okay once I saw people unconscious being moved out. But my own physiology didn't feel threatened.

If you were -- had been aware of the hotter temperatures that you've described in other parts of the sweat lodge, would that have changed your concern or lack of concern about the

conditions inside the sweat lodge? 25

1 MR. KELLY: Objection, Your Honor. 2 Speculative in the form of the question.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

4 You may answer that if you can.

THE WITNESS: If I had been in the spot where I approached as I exited the tent and I had been in that, I would have been very concerned.

BY MR. HUGHES: Can you point for us what that spot is that you're referring to.

10 Thank you, Doctor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hughes.

12 Mr. Kelly.

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. KELLY:

> Q. Good afternoon, Doctor. My name is Tom Kelly. And I represent Mr. Ray along with a couple of other attorneys.

> > You and I have never met; correct?

Α. Correct.

20 Q. I understand that you own and operate a medical facility in Juneau, Alaska. Correct? 21

> Α. Correct.

23 You received your medical degree from the

24 University of Washington; correct?

25 Α. Yes.

render medical assistance -- necessarily it's based 23

22

24

- Q. So, again, I'm going to use my example. 1 2 If you saw Mr. Li and he put his head down and your 3 perception was he's bored with Mr. Kelly's
- 4 questioning, then you're not going to get up and 5 render medical aid; correct?
- Α. 6 No.
- 7 Q. Because at that point in time your 8 perception is such that he's not in medical 9 distress and your services are not needed; correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. 11 During this sweat lodge ceremony, you 12 told us that you were seated near the entrance --13 and I forgot this exhibit number. I believe it's 14 414.
- 15 I think you told us you were in approximately this location. Correct? 16
- A. Correct. 17
- Q. And I take it, then, that Mr. Ray was on 18 the other side of the opening and about this 19 20 location; correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 When the flap goes down and a round is to
- begin, it's dark inside; correct? 23
- A. Yes. 24
- 25 Q. It's my understanding that once that
  - happens, at times throughout the course of the
- 2 sweat lodge ceremony there was a lot of noise.
- 3 Correct?

4

- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. As it began, people were yelling out intentions; correct? 6
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And as an example, if I went to the
- 9 Spiritual Warrior seminar and I wanted to be a
- better father, as this thing started I might yell 10
- 11 out I want to be a better dad. And that person
- 12 would receive support from the other participants;
- 13 correct?

14

15

16

17

21

- Α. My recollection is that we were all pretty much focused on our own issues. I really wasn't listening to anyone else, and I wasn't serving as a cheerleader. I was solely focused on
- 18 my own issues.
- Q. And my point is that in addition to being 19
- 20 dark and hot, at times it's noisy; correct?
  - A. Yes.
- 22 Q. When the flap is down, are you able to
- 23 see James Ray?
- Α. 24 No.
  - Ma'am, I'll rephrase that question. Are

- you confident that once the flap is down, Mr. Ray
- stayed in the same location next to the door? You 2
- didn't see him move around throughout the tent; 3
- 4 correct?

5

- Α. No, I did not.
- Q. Could you see forms of human beings 6
- 7 inside that sweat lodge?
- A. I don't -- I don't believe so. Again, we 8
- were focusing very intently on our objectives for 9
- being there. But I was aware that you couldn't see 10
- 11 other people in order -- we were packed in so
- tightly that you couldn't move around. You 12
- couldn't -- you couldn't get up and just walk 13
- 14 around.

22

170

- Q. How far away do you believe you were from 15 James Ray? 16
- A. Again, with the caveat that I'm not very 17 18 good with distance, I'd say 12 or 15 feet.
- **Q.** Let me rephrase the question this way: 19
- Were you closer to than you or I -- were you closer 20
- to him than you and I are right now? 21
  - Probably not -- probably pretty similar. Α.
- 23 Q. And I've asked this question. But,
- 24 again, he's on the other side of the entrance. And
- if we take a look at Mr. Hughes' Exhibit 144, these 25
- folks appear to be lined up to enter the sweat 1
- 2 lodge before the ceremony; correct? A. I really don't know. I can't tell from 3
- looking at that picture. 4 5
  - MR. KELLY: May I approach the witness, Judge?
- 6 THE COURT: Yes.
- Q. BY MR. KELLY: Sometimes, Doctor, these 7
- 8 screens are not very good. It may help for you to
- 9 take a look.

10

11

14

17

18

- A. Yes. That appears to be us entering the sweat lodge.
- 12 Q. Now I'm going to approach and hand you what's been admitted as Exhibit 145. 13
  - I believe we'll publish it to the jury.
- Did you get a chance to look at it, 15
- 16 Doctor?
  - Uh-huh. Α.
    - Q. Did you get a chance to look at it?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- Exhibit 145 is up on the screen, 20
- identical version to what you have. Do you 21
- recognize this as a door right in front of the lady 22
- with a white top? 23
  - A. Yes.
- Now, does that give you an idea as to how 25

1 Q. And so I'm only talking about when he's 2 close to you, his perception is very similar to 4 yours. Fair statement? 5 A. I can't answer that with a yes or no because people have different perceptions of heat. 6 7 Q. Okay. Let's leave the heat out. Let's talk about the sounds. Do you believe the sound 8 9 would be very similar? Α. 10 Yes. 11 Q. The darkness? Again, these questions depend on your 12 visual acuity, your hearing ability. And that can 13 vary among individuals --14 15 Q. Right. A. -- if I understand your question 16 correctly. Assuming we have similar abilities, I 17 18 would assume it would be a similar experience. Q. I want you to assume an average person, 19 not assign any specialized abilities to Mr. Ray, 20 just an average guy sitting there. 21 22 He's in a very similar situation. That's 23 my point. Correct? Α. 24 Yes. If we could pan back out on Exhibit 145. 25 Q. 176

respective heat differences in the sweat lodge. 3

A. Yes. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

22

Q. It's your opinion by the door is a little cooler than the other parts; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And so my question is real simple. Is if he's that close to you, his perception must be very similar to yours?

MR. HUGHES: Object to foundation as to 11 whether he's referring to when Mr. Ray's by the 13 door or maybe by the fire pit.

THE COURT: Mr. Kelly.

MR. KELLY: I'll lay some more foundation.

Q. You told us that someone came in with 16 some rocks, put them in the pit in the middle; 17 correct? 18

19 Α. Right.

20 Q. And then Mr. Ray would take some water, 21 put on the hot rocks; correct?

Α. Yes.

Q. And then he'd go back to the same 23

location by the door close to where you're at; 24

25 correct?

You see these chairs on the left? 1

Α. Yes.

3 Do you see these Dream Team members

standing around the tent? 4

> Α. Yes.

6 Q. Do you see this lady with the black top

7 on?

2

5

8

11

14

18

19

21

A. Yes.

Did you meet someone, a Dream Team 9 member, by the name of Lori Hinman? 10

No. Not that I remember.

12 Q. Let me have you take a look at

13 Exhibit 146, ma'am.

May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes. 15

16 Q. BY MR. KELLY: Same sweat lodge, different perception; correct? Different angle? 17

A. Correct.

Q. And, again, you can see the lady with the

black top; correct? 20

A. Yes.

Q. You can see the other Dream Team members, 22

I think it was called "holding space," around the 23

surrounding portion of the sweat lodge; correct? 24

25 Α. Yes.

5

8

12

17

18

178

Q. You would agree with me that the door is not in front of this lady with the blue top; right?

Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

18

19 20

25

1

2

Now, I want to take you back inside the sweat lodge. And this Photograph 146 gives us an idea as to its height. Are you saying that you were able to stand up as you were trying to get out of the sweat lodge?

A. Not completely.

10 Okay. You kind of had to crouch over whether you were entering or leaving; correct? 11

Α. Yes.

13 Q. And when we take a look at Exhibit 145, I 14 believe it is, as you enter through the door, the 15 instructions from Mr. Ray were to enter and exit in 16 a clockwise manner; correct?

Α. Yes. 17

> Q. And before you entered the sweat lodge, you met at the Crystal Palace, and Mr. Ray gave you a presentation about the sweat lodge; correct?

21 Α. Yes.

22 Q. And he told you that for safety purposes, 23 people should enter and exit in an orderly manner; 24 correct?

> Α. It wasn't for safety purposes that we

were to enter that way. It was part of a ceremonial tradition.

3 **Q.** Do you remember him saying something to the effect -- and I'm paraphrasing -- if you need 4

to leave, leave in the same order that you came in. 5

Go clockwise. Be aware that there will be people's 6

feet and arms. It's dark in there. Be careful. 7

There is hot rocks in the middle. Things of that 8

nature? 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

23

25

A. He said if you're going to leave, leave in a clockwise direction. He did not add the other things that you just mentioned.

You know, it's been a year and a half. Let me ask you. You recall being interviewed back on October 8, 2009, by some Yavapai County

16 Sheriff's Office deputy; correct?

17 Α.

Q. A Detective Wendy Parkison; correct? 18

19 Α. Yes.

20 Now, between that date through today, have you had a conversation with anyone else?

From the State of Arizona.

22 Α. "Anyone else" meaning --

24 Α. Well, yes.

Q.

Who was that? Q.

It give you their names. Detectives 1 and investigators for the state have contacted me 2 3 at different times.

Q. Men or women?

> Α. Both.

6 Q. How many times?

7 Α. In what context?

> Okay. Well, that was going to be my next Q.

question. Was it in person or by telephone? 9

10 Α. Well, they were by telephone initially until I got here, this trip. 11

When did you get here?

13 Α. I came Monday night.

And so let's go from October 8 until 14

Monday night. Were they strictly telephone 15

conversations? 16

> Α. Yes.

> > Q. How many?

19 Α. At least two.

20 Q. And about how long?

I'm guessing 20, 30 minutes maybe. 21 Α.

Q. And when? 22

23 Α. I don't remember.

Were they a month ago? Six months ago? 24 Q.

A year ago? Can you narrow it down? 25

180

One conversation was within maybe two or 1 three months of the event. Another conversation 2

was more recent in anticipation of the trial. 3 During these conversations, Doctor, did 4

they ask you what you remembered happening? 5

Not in so many words, but they would be 6 more directive in their questions about the 7 8 experience.

Q. Did they tell you that they were going to 9 be tape-recorded? 10

> Α. One was, one was not.

Now, here's another question. Do you 12 remember the names of the investigators who 13 14 interviewed you?

Α. No.

I asked you before I'd gone off on that 16 Q.

little side subject -- I asked you about standing 17

up in the sweat lodge and whether you had 18

remembered Mr. Ray making some statements to you in 19

the presweat-lodge presentation at the Crystal 20

Hall. 21

22

24

11

15

Do you recall those questions?

23 Α.

And I'm going to read something to you

and just ask you if this refreshes your 25

1 recollection.

2

6

14

16

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

18

19

20

24

And that is Mr. Ray telling you if -- and I'm not saying this intention, but I'm just going to tell you, one of my teachers taught 4 me a long time ago prepare for the worst and expect the best.

7 So my expectation -- because I know what 8 you can do. My expectation is you're going to come 9 through this like a samurai, and you're going to overcome whatever is going on in your head, this 10 11 MF'ing James Ray stuff -- right? -- or whatever 12 else you're going to transcend, and then it's going 13 to show you.

Do you remember him -- does that refresh your recollection? 15

A. No.

**Q.** It's going to give you very powerful 17 reference as to what you're capable of doing, what 18 you're really capable of doing. Now, you've got to 19 20 leave, you just feel like you cannot, then a couple things is that please remember this is extremely 21 hot in the center, and many of you are going to be 22 23 close to that.

Do you recall that advice from Mr. Ray?

25 Α. No.

> Q. Now, it's a sacred temple. And then he asked a question. And you can only move what way. And what way were you supposed to move out?

A. Clockwise.

Q. And he says, clockwise. So if you have leave, then you need to. You're right here. You can't duck out this way. You have to go all the way around and go out the lodge.

Now, after every round we'll open the gate for more grandfathers. And sometimes I'll leave it open for a little while just to let some fresh air in. 12

And so you cannot leave during a round if 14 you have -- if you feel you just cannot transcend and overcome this. When the gates are open, if you have to leave, you leave and you leave very, very, 17 very in a controlled manner very carefully. Because there is legs and it's dark. There is legs and there is knees and there is elbows. And -- you know -- the last thing we want is anybody in the

21 Does that refresh your recollection as to 22 23 the conversation, the presentation, given by Mr. Ray immediately before the sweat lodge?

Some of it sounds familiar and some of it 25

doesn't. 1

Q. So again, it's been a year and a half, 2

3 Doctor. A lot of stuff has happened in your life,

especially as a physician. So what you're telling 4

this jury is you simply don't remember specific 5

parts of that conversation, not that it didn't 6

happen. Fair statement? 7

> Α. Yes.

Q. And you remember leaving clockwise;

10 correct?

8

9

11 Α. Yes.

And you stayed in there for all eight Q. 12

rounds? 13

A. Yes. 14

15 Q. At the location we discussed. And then

when you left, you left in the clockwise manner; 16

17 correct?

18

21

182

A. Yes.

Q. You went close to the pit. Mr. Hughes 19

asked you that; correct? 20

> Α. Yes.

22 Q. You could feel the heat from the rocks;

23 correct?

24 Α. Yes.

Q. And you knew, as you're starting to leave 25

this sweat lodge and go all the way around in a

clockwise manner, that it's getting hotter and

hotter as you're leaving; correct? 3

A. Yes.

Q. And you made the decision to do that;

correct? 6

4

5

12

16

19

23

25

Α. Yes. 7

Q. In other words, you could have just 8

stepped out the door, but you personally made the 9

decision to leave in that more roundabout hotter 10

11 path; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And as you're leaving, you noticed some 13

other participants on the floor of the sweat lodge; 14

correct? 15

Α.

Q. Now, you had never noticed them prior to 17

18 that; correct?

> Α. No.

**Q.** From your location, to the left of the 20

21 door within six eight feet of Mr. Ray, you did not perceive that these folks were in trouble; correct? 22

Correct.

Q. If you would have -- just like my example 24

with Mr. Li, as a medical doctor, you would have

- 1 went and helped; correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you told the jury that at one pointin time you heard a fellow by the name of Dennis
  - outside screaming, I'm going to die, I'm going to
- 6 die; correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. But you didn't think he was going to die;
- 9 correct?

7

8

14

- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Because if you would, as a medical
- 12 doctor, you would have got up out of your seat,
- 13 went out that door and helped him; correct?
  - A. Correct.
- 15 Q. If you would have gotten hot at any time
- 16 in here, where you believed that you were not
- 17 capable of withstanding the heat, whether that door
- 18 was opened or not, you would have went out;
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. If it was open, I would have gone out. 21 If it had been closed, it would have been difficult
- 21 If it had been closed, it would have been difficul
- 22 to find the way out.
- **Q.** Okay. But my question is, do you recall
- 24 on October 8th interview, you told
- 25 Detective Parkison, I never felt my health
- 186
- 1 threatened? I probably would have tried to get
- 2 out, if the flap had been closed?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Even that flap wasn't going to stop you,
- 5 because you're capable of making your own
- 6 decisions; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
  - **Q.** And you were capable of getting out of
- 9 that sweat lodge if you needed to; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You would have helped anyone in medical
- 12 distress if that would have been your perception;
- 13 correct?

8

- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you know another doctor participating
- 16 that week named Dr. Jeannie Armstrong?
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 Q. Dr. Armstrong was participating in the
- 19 sweat lodges as well; correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
  - **Q.** Do you happen to know whether she was
- 22 close to you or in a different location?
- 23 A. I don't know where she was.
- Q. When you're in the sweat lodge,
- 25 throughout the course of the eight rounds, you

- 1 never knew that Liz Neuman was in distress;
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- **Q.** And you didn't hear her say anything;
- 7 correct?

5

8

- A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. The same is true for James Shore;
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- **Q.** And Kirby Brown?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Again, ma'am, if you would have known
- 15 that, you would have used every ability and every
- 16 skill and every ounce of your energy to help those
- 17 folks; correct?
  - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You had mentioned that you signed a
- 20 release.

18

21

22

2

8

11

12

15

- May I approach, Judge?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- Q. BY MR. KELLY: Doctor, I'm handing you
- 24 what's been marked for purposes of identification
  - as 227. And I ask you to turn to the
- 188

- 1 next-to-the-last page.
  - Do you recognize your name and signature?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Is this the copy of the release and
- 5 waiver of liability and assumption of risk that you
- 6 signed?
- A. I believe it is.
  - MR. KELLY: Your Honor, I'd move to admit 227.
- 9 MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, the state would
- 10 stipulate to its admission.
  - THE COURT: 227 is admitted.
  - (Exhibit 227 admitted.)
- MR. HUGHES: We'd stipulate to 228 as well.
- 14 THE COURT: 228 is admitted.
  - (Exhibit 228 admitted.)
- 16 Q. BY MR. KELLY: Doctor, I'm handing you
- 17 what's been marked as Exhibit 228. Just for your
- 18 information, you can look at that number in the
- 6 Information, you can look at that hamber in the
- 19 back, if we're referring to an exhibit number.
- Let me ask you about 227. You recognizethis as the release that you signed on behalf of
- 22 JRI; correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And I'm going to put 227 up and ask Truc
- 25 to blow up the second paragraph.

23

24

15

21

22

23

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

And in response on the ect, you said that you didn't have knowledge -- you didn't know about the sweat lodge ceremony. Do you recall that question and answer? I mean, the first question. Do you recall that discussion?

## A. Yes, I do. I do.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

23

24

25

**Q.** And here is my question: This release clearly indicates that there is going to be a sweat lodge ceremony, a ceremonial sauna involving tight and close spaces and intense temperatures; correct?

MR. LI: Your Honor, if I may approach to get rid of the buzz?

THE COURT: Thank you. 13

MR. KELLY: Your Honor, may Mr. Hughes and I 14 15 approach?

16 THE COURT: Yes.

(Sidebar conference.)

MR. KELLY: Judge, I don't know if this is a good time for a break. It's only been an hour. I have maybe another 10 or 15 minutes.

I have an issue that I need to discuss with the Court regarding disclosure out of the presence of the jury. And it may require more questions of the witness. I'm not sure.

THE COURT: Can you discuss it right now,

190

Mr. Kelly? 1

MR. KELLY: I laid all the foundation. We didn't receive any disclosure about the two conversations I think she said that she had with the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office.

The only conversation I was aware of was 7 the one from October 8, 2009. Nowhere in that conversation did she mention that she heard Dennis Mehravar screaming, I'm going to die, I'm going to die.

And so -- you know -- I'd like to know more about what happened. But I really don't want to cross-examine her in front of a jury to find out that information.

And I don't know if Bill can avow to us. MR. HUGHES: The only recorded interview with

YCSO that I'm aware of is the one that you have a tape for. And in preparation for trial, I think

Mr. Sisneros talked to her. 19

I was going to put on the record, in my 21 redirect, that yesterday I met with her very 22 briefly for maybe 10 minutes to introduce myself and talked to her very briefly yesterday. That, as far as I know, is the only contact.

I don't see a prejudice to the defense to

ask if the delense wants to ask those questions 1 2 right now.

MR. KELLY: I did ask. She said she had two 3 conversations between October 8 and Monday. One 4 5 was tape-recorded. She said that.

is another transcript out there or tape or 7 something? Because at this point I don't know what can be done. 9

THE COURT: Mr. Kelly, are you asking if there

10 MR. KELLY: Well, as an example, it's kind of tough on cross-examination to start asking 11 questions. I don't know what the answer to it --12

THE COURT: Was she interviewed before? 13

MR. KELLY: No. 14

MR. HUGHES: She was made available.

MR. KELLY: She was made available. 16

THE COURT: And this gets into this question 17 too of when somebody talks to his or her own 18 witness. You can make yourself a witness if you 19 20 don't tape.

So if this had been mentioned to the state before, that's the kind of thing that should have been disclosed.

MR. KELLY: Judge, we didn't know until direct 24 examination. 25

192

MR. HUGHES: I'm not sure I'd have to look at 1 the transcript to see if she mentioned that. I'm 2

not sure she mentioned that to Mr. Sisneros or not. 3

At this point, all I have are his 4

handwritten notes, I have to admit, I have a hard 5 6 time reading.

MR. KELLY: I'll go back. 7

THE COURT: Okay. 8

(End of sidebar conference.) 9

THE COURT: Mr. Kelly. 10

Q. BY MR. KELLY: Did you get a chance to 11 quickly review Exhibit 227? 12

## A. Yes, I did.

Q. Again, that's the Spiritual Warrior release waiver of liability, assumption of risk, that you signed back on October 3rd, 2009?

A. Yes.

**Q.** And you would agree with me that in this release it says that the participants may engage in a sweat lodge ceremony. Correct?

A. Yes.

Q. A Vision Quest, a multi-day, solitary, personal and spiritual quest in the wilderness without food or water; correct? 24

Yes.

Q. Now, I guess my first-question is, you 1 2 just didn't read this in detail, then, on

October 3rd, I take it? 3

A. I did.

4 5

7

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

12

16

19

20

21

Q. You had told in response to some questions of Mr. Hughes that you know how important it was to hydrate. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

8 Q. I guess my question was going to be, when 9 this Exhibit 227 was signed, it actually says 10 you're going to be out in the wilderness without 11 12 any food or water; correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 **Q.** Did that help reinforce your intent to drink a lot of water? 15

A. Yes. 16

Q. And it also said, of course, there could 17 be a sweat lodge ceremony; correct?

18

A. Yes. 19

20 Q. And now having looked at it, does that refresh your recollection -- were you aware on the 21 first day there was going to be a sweat lodge 22 23 ceremony?

24 A. Well it said and/or. And in previous experience not all of the activities listed in the 25

waiver actually occurred at the events. So the Vision Quest, I thought, was so extreme that I thought we're done.

Q. We're done. Okay. And I don't want to mischaracterize what's in the release. My point was simply that the release included information about the possible activity during the Spiritual Warrior event. Correct?

8

A. Yes.

10 **Q.** As a result of this, plus just your own personal belief, you drank a lot of water; correct? 11

A. Yes.

13 Q. And you saw, as you've characterized elsewhere, pots of water available for others to 14 drink; correct? 15

A. Yes.

**Q.** They were in the Igloo containers outside 17 the sweat lodge, as shown on 321; correct? 18

A. Yes.

Q. And you told us when you came out of the sweat lodge, you were actually offered a drink of something that tasted, in your words, vile.

Probably electrolytes; correct? 23

24 Α. Yes.

And were you aware that -- I'll rephrase

my question. 1

When you signed up for the Spiritual 2

Warrior, you also had to pay money to Angel Valley; 3

4 correct?

5

Α. Yes.

Q. And that one was the facilities that was 6 7 providing food and lodging throughout the event;

8 correct?

A. Yes. 9 Q. And I believe if you take a look at 10

Exhibit 288, there was a release that was required 11

to be signed on behalf of Angel Valley. Correct? 12

A. Yes. 13

Q. It similarly had some language in it 14 providing notice of some of the activities; 15

correct? 16

21

25

1

2

4

11

17 A. Yes. 18 Q. How many people were in the room that you

19 were assigned to?

A. Two other people. 20

> Do you recall their names? Q.

Α. No. 22

Q. Were they nice rooms? 23

Α. Yes. 24

Clean?

A. Yes. Free of spiders, insects, things of that

3 nature?

> Α. Yes.

Q.

**Q.** The sweat lodge itself -- when you were 5

inside of it, did it appear -- other than being on

the sandy floor, did it appear to be clean and 7

8 neat?

A. There wasn't anything in it. It 9 looked -- my impression was it was shabby. 10

Q. And why so? How so?

There were branches. There were 12 Α. mismatched wall coverings. 13

Q. What I'm getting at is, the sandy floor 14 appeared to be groomed and cleaned, and that pit 15 16 was well dug; correct?

A. I didn't really see the pit. But the 17 ground was clean. 18

Q. And you didn't see any spiders or insects 19 or anything of that type; correct? 20

> Α. No.

Or at any time throughout this ceremony; Q.

23 correct?

Α. 24 No.

You told us that as the sweat lodge

21

22

1 ceremony began, you saw people who left at every 2 round; correct?

197

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

198

A. Yes.

3

6

8

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. In fact, I believe several people left on 4 5 the first round? About three people?

A. Yes.

7 Q. Did you know Sylvia de la Paz?

A. I don't know the name.

Do you know or do you remember her 9

leaving on the first round? 10

> Α. No.

Q. Carl Nelson?

Α. No. 13

14 Q. Louise Nelson?

> A. I know who she is. I didn't know that she was leaving on the first round. At the time I wasn't looking at the people who were leaving. I was just aware of bodies passing by.

Q. And, again, all these people, as you described a few minutes ago, would not be able to stand up straight because of the height of the sweat lodge; correct?

A. Right.

Q. So they would either have to crawl out or

scoot out on their haunches; correct? 25

A. They were hunched over.

2 Q. And you told Mr. Hughes that several times you saw people who were being helped out by 4 others; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And you thought those people were unconscious; correct?

A. Correct.

Now, again, you were not making a medical assessment of their physical condition at that point in time; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. You were saying, sitting by the door, 13 they appeared to you to be unconscious; correct? 14

A. Well, they were being dragged. Yes. I assumed they were unconscious.

Q. You would agree with me that a person can be fully alert, awake, lucid, and not have the strength to get out of the sweat lodge, so they needed to be dragged out; correct?

A. That's correct. And that may have been the case.

Q. And that same person could have their eyes closed as they were going out; correct?

Correct.

22 we honor you having made it this far?

A. I don't remember what it meant. 23

You mentioned a point in time when in

Q. Isn't that Peruvian for something like,

this complete darkness you saw light; correct?

A. Yes.

1

8

- **Q.** And Mr. Ray mistakenly thought that that
- 3 was a flashlight; correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And he yelled out something to theeffect, turn off the light; you're breaking the
- 7 ceremony; correct?
  - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You believe today that that was the
- 10 person leaving out the back side of the tent;
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, is there a question
- 14 pending on Exhibit 228?
- 15 THE COURT: I don't think there is.
- 16 Mr. Kelly?
- 17 MR. KELLY: No. Thank you, Judge.
- 18 Q. When you left the sweat lodge, you were
- 19 exhausted after this experience; correct?
- 20 A. I was very hot and weak.
- 21 Q. And you showed us on the exhibit the
- 22 direction that you came out. You recall that;
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You were offered some water, which you
  - 202
- 1 refused. And then you noticed Liz Neuman needed
- 2 some help; correct? I'm kind of summarizing
- 3 quickly.
- 4 A. Yes -- yes.
- **Q.** That no one else was helping her at that
- 6 time?
- 7 A. Correct.
- **Q.** And now you, I take it, go from the role
- 9 of a participant to the role as a doctor; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you took her pulse; correct?
  - A. I did. I felt her pulse.
- 13 Q. And you knew that she was breathing, that
- 14 she had a threaded pulse, that she was still alive;
- 15 correct?

12

- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What other physical attributes did you
- 18 notice on Ms. Neuman?
- 19 A. Her skin was very, very cold. And I put
- 20 a towel over her.
- 21 Q. Did you see any saliva foam coming from
- 22 her mouth?
- 23 A. Yes.
- **Q.** And describe that. About how much?
- 25 A. It was just bubbling. It was very clear.

- It made it easy to make sure that she was
- 2 breathing.
- 3 Q. And you said she was cold. So had she
- 4 been hosed down or water put on her?
  - A. Yes.
- **Q.** You could tell that by her clothes being
- **7** wet?

5

8

11

14

25

1

5

- A. Right. And her skin temperature.
- **Q.** Did you see who did that?
- 10 A. No, I didn't.
  - Q. How long do you believe you were there
- 12 rendering medical assistance to Ms. Neuman before
- 13 the paramedics showed up?
  - A. Again, I'm guessing a half an hour.
- 15 Q. And was it, then, before you approached
- 16 Liz or after that you went up to my client and he17 told you that 9-1-1 had been taken care of?
- told you that 9-1-1 had been taken careA. It was before I went to Liz.
- 19 Q. So when you were working on her, you had
- 20 a belief that the emergency medical responders were
- 21 going to show up; correct?
- 22 A. I really wasn't sure what was happening.
- 23 He didn't tell me that 9-1-1 had been called. He
- 24 just said it had been taken care of.
  - Q. And then they showed up after?
- 204

- A. Yes.
- **Q.** When you left, before you got out of the
- 3 sweat lodge, because of the manner in which you
- 4 entered, you were the last person to leave?
  - A. Yes.
- **Q.** You heard during the course of the sweat
- 7 lodge ceremony itself Mr. Ray made reference to the
- 8 fact that there was a nurse outside. Do you recall
- 9 that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you know that nurse?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. This may be a silly question, then. When
- 14 you're out helping Liz Neuman, you don't know which
- 15 of these folks are nurses or not then; correct?
- 16 A. Right.
  - Q. Did you see Dr. Armstrong helping people?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And she could have been. You just didn't
- 20 see her?

17

21

24

- A. Well, I believe she was doing CPR.
- Q. Going back Lou Caci. Did you know him?
- 23 Did you get a chance to meet him during the event?
  - A. Briefly.
  - Q. When someone fell into the hot rocks, did

8

12

18

22

2

6

- 1 you know it was Lou?
- 2 A. I don't know when I knew it was Lou.
- Q. You knew by his response that, in your4 opinion, he had been burned; correct?
- 5 A. I heard other people say he had been 6 burned.
- **Q.** Did he scream? Yell? Cry?
- 8 A. As you said, there was a lot of noise in 9 the tent. I don't know.
- 10 Q. Let me tell you -- let me ask you this:
- 11 You do know that no one said Doctor, we need some
- 12 help over here; correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And Lou himself didn't say to either you
- 15 or Dr. Armstrong, Doc, I need to be treated? I
- 16 burned my arm? Anything like that; correct?
- 17 A. No. But they didn't know I was a doctor.
- 18 Q. Okay. I stand corrected. So no one at
- 19 this event knew you were a doctor?
- 20 A. I take it back. Dr. Armstrong.
- 21 Q. She knew?
- 22 A. She knew. And there were a couple of
- 23 people who by things I had said in the past thought
- 24 I might have some training of some sort. They
- 25 didn't know what it was.

- 206
- 1 Q. Regardless of what they knew, you knew
- 2 you were a doctor?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And similar to my example with Mr. Li,you didn't see this event involving Lou as enough
- 6 of a medical emergency for you to intervene as a
- 6 of a medical emergency for you to intervene as a 7 doctor: correct?
- 8 A. I probably would have gone outside to 9 look if I hadn't been told there is a nurse there.
- 10 Q. So that put your mind at ease; correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

15

16

17

- 12 Q. But then you told us when he came back in 13 you were concerned; correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
  - **Q.** Same question. You weren't concerned enough that you went over to Lou and said, hey; I'm a doctor; maybe you shouldn't be in here; correct?
- 18 A. No. I assumed that the nurse had 19 assessed that and that had been taken care of 20 outside.
- Q. So you didn't need to do that at thatpoint; correct?
- 23 A. Right.
- **Q.** You believed -- let me finish with
  - 5 Ms. Neuman. After you treated her and the

- 1 paramedics came and transported her, did you then
- 2 go back to your room?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And after being in your room for a timeperiod, did you go back to the Crystal Hall or some
- 6 other gathering place to meet with the detectives?
- 7 A. We went back to the lodge, where we had
- **9 Q.** That was the location that everyone
- 10 gathered to speak with the Yavapai County Sheriff's
- 11 Office personnel; correct?
  - A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you met with Detective Parkison;
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Yes.

meals.

- 16 Q. And you had a belief on that day that it
- 17 may be toxins or carbon monoxide; correct?
  - A. We'd heard rumors.
- 19 Q. And you told Detective Parkison that you
- 20 had to take a careful look at that in trying to
- 21 figure out what happened to these people; correct?
  - A. I said it certainly needed to be looked
- 23 at.
- **Q.** That -- I think that's going to be
- 25 incredibly important to sort that out. Do you
- 1 recall making that statem
  - recall making that statement? **A.** Yes.
  - **Q.** Also you made a comment that if it's heat
  - 4 exhaustion or heat stroke, a concern would be
  - 5 dehydration; correct?
    - A. Yes.
  - 7 Q. And that's because as a medical doctor,
  - 8 you know that the two main criteria for heat stroke
  - 9 are dehydration and a raise in the internal core
  - 10 temperature of the body; correct?
  - 11 A. Correct.
  - 12 Q. So as an example, as a doctor, when a
  - 13 person comes in with a medical problem and you're
  - 14 doing your work in your office, you look for
  - 15 certain signs or symptoms before you provide an
  - 16 opinion as to what the particular problem is;
  - 17 correct?

18

21

24

25

- A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I mean, we all go to doctors. You guys
- 20 have to do that a lot; correct?
  - A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You would agree with me for heat stroke
- 23 those are the two main criteria; correct?
  - A. No. I'm not an expert in heat stroke.
  - Q. But you got --

## A. Those are components.

- **Q.** You went to University of Washington.
- 3 That's a good school; correct? And those are the
- 4 two basic components; correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

5

11

- 6 Q. And you mentioned that to
- 7 Detective Parkison back in October of 2009?
- 8 Toxins, carbon monoxide, head exhaustion. My
- 9 concern would be the people had been dehydrated for
- 10 quite a while; correct?
  - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And you didn't go to the hospital that
- 13 evening; correct?
- 14 A. No, I didn't.
- 15 THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Kelly. I'm going
- 16 to take a recess at this time.
- 17 MR. KELLY: Thank you, Judge.
- 18 THE COURT: Maybe take two shorter recesses
- 19 this afternoon.
- 20 Ladies and gentlemen, please remember the
- 21 admonition.
- 22 Dr. Wagoner, the rule of exclusion will
- 23 apply.

1

2

8

13

18

21

- 24 (Recess.)
- 25 THE COURT: The record will show the presence

210

- of the defendant, Mr. Ray, the attorneys, the jury.
  - The witness has returned to the stand,
- 3 has previously been sworn.
- 4 MR. KELLY: Thank you, Doctor.
- 5 Thank you, Judge.
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Hughes.
- 7 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Your Honor.
  - REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. HUGHES:
- 10 Q. Doctor, you were asked questions about
- 11 your perception inside the sweat lodge as opposed
- 12 to Mr. Ray's perception? Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Was your perception about what was going
- 15 on in the sweat lodge affected in any way by the
- 16 fact that this was your first time in a sweat
- 17 lodge?
  - A. I -- yes. I didn't know what to expect.
- 19 Q. Had you been told some of the things that
- 20 might go on in that sweat lodge by Mr. Ray?
  - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And do you recall what some of those
- 23 things were?
- A. He told us that it would be very, very bot and that not to eat lunch because you'd get

1 sick.

5

- **Q.** Did he tell you people could vomit?
- 3 MR. KELLY: I would object to continuing
- 4 leading questions.
  - THE COURT: Sustained.
- **Q.** BY MR. HUGHES: What were some of the
- 7 symptoms that he told you you might observe in
- 8 people inside the sweat lodge?
- 9 A. He said you could vomit if -- if you
- 10 became -- if you ate lunch and were in the sweat
- 11 lodge.
- 12 Q. Do you recall any other symptoms?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. When you observed the things that you
- 15 testified about seeing and hearing inside the sweat
- 16 lodge, was that observation, then, affected by the
- 17 fact this was your first sweat lodge?
  - A. Could you repeat the question, please.
- MR. KELLY: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
- 20 It's leading.

18

1

2

7

14

- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 Mr. Hughes, go ahead and pose a question.
- MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
- **Q.** Was your perception, then, affected by
- 25 the fact this was your first sweat lodge?
- 10
- A. Yes.
- Q. You were asked some questions about if
- 3 Mr. Kelly or Mr. Li got sick here in the courtroom,
- 4 what would you do. What did you believe your role
- 5 to be inside the sweat lodge?
- 6 A. I was solely focused on being there as a
  - participant about my own issues. I was not looking
- 8 to take care of people.
- **9** Q. If you had observed signs and symptoms of
- 10 difficulties going on inside the sweat lodge that
- 11 you had not been briefed about, would you feel that
- 12 your role would have been different inside the
- 13 sweat lodge?
  - A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question again.
- **Q.** If you had observed difficulties with
- 17 people that you had not been briefed about by
- 18 Mr. Ray in advance, would that have changed your
- 19 opinion of your role inside the sweat lodge?
- A. Possibly. I wasn't paying attention -- I
   wasn't paying attention as I would as a physician
- 22 in that situation.
- 23 Q. You, I believe, told Mr. Kelly, we were
- 24 all focused on our own issues. I wasn't really
  - 5 listening to anyone else. Do you remember that?

13

21

25

6

11

19

20

21

214

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

12

13

15

16

17

18

- Q. Can you tell the jury what you mean by that statement.
- Well, when we were in the sweat lodge, during each round we were focusing on different aspects of issues we were trying to work on. So all our focus was on that particular issue. That didn't leave a lot of room to be paying attention to what other people were doing or not doing.
- 10 Q. In other words, were you looking for people in distress? 11
  - A. No. I was not looking for that.
- Q. You were asked some questions about 14 whether the people being dragged out through the entrance were conscious or not. Can you tell us how were they being dragged? Was it by their -- in other words, do you recall how they were being dragged?
- 19 A. They were being dragged by their arms.
- 20 And it was your opinion on -- after 21 watching them being dragged, that some of them were 22 unconscious?
- Α. 23
- Q. You were asked whether you could see 24 anybody unconscious laying in the sweat lodge in
- the location where you were sitting. Do you 1
- 2 remember that question?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 **Q.** And then you were asked whether Mr. Ray 5 had the same perspective where he was sitting. Do
- 6 you remember that?
- 7 A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- 8 Q. Could you see the unconscious persons in the sweat lodge when you were up by the fire pit in
- 10 the location you testified to earlier? By that
- 11 location, I'm referring to the location where you
- 12 said Mr. Ray went to pour water on the rocks.
  - It was only after most of the tent had emptied out that I realized that there were people unconscious that was lying there. Initially everybody was lying down, so you couldn't tell who was conscious and who wasn't.
  - Q. And throughout that time until the tent emptied out, where were you located in the tent in the sweat lodge?
    - A. Right by the doorway.
- Q. You were asked, when the sweat lodge was 23 empty, why did you choose to exit in a clockwise direction? Can you tell us why you chose to do that.

- e was a lot of -- it was stressed
- that it was a ceremonial rotation and that we 2
- should exit the same way we came in. And at the
- end I thought well, there is no -- I've made it 4
- this far. There is no hurry. I might just as well 5
- finish the ceremony as it was designed. 6
- That was my intention as I started toward 7
- the back. And then once I realized there were 8
- unconscious people, I abandoned that plan. 9
- You mentioned that you believed that very 10 few people knew that you were a doctor; is that 11 12 correct?
  - Α. Yes.
- Q. Did you have a conversation with Mr. Ray 14 about what you did for a living? 15
- I had a conversation which I told him 16 that I delivered babies. 17
- You were asked about Liz outside the 18 sweat lodge. You mentioned that her skin was very, 19 20 very cold.
  - A. Yes.
- At what point did you make the 22 23 determination or did you observe that her skin was 24 cold?
  - Shortly after sitting down next to her. A.

- Q. And do you have any idea how many minutes 1 that was after the sweat lodge ceremony had ended? 2
- Α. I don't know. 3
- You were asked if she was hosed down. Do Q. 4
- you remember that? 5
  - Α. Yes.
- Q. As a doctor, frankly, as someone who 7
- lives in Alaska, if someone is wetted down and
- they're out in the cold, what happens to their 9
- skin? 10
  - It chills. Α.
- Q. Would that lower somebody's body 12
- 13 temperature?
- Well, the body -- the capillaries would 14 Α. constrict trying to hold heat into the internal 15 organs. The skin would be cold. 16
- Q. You were asked about criteria for 17 hyperthermia. Do you remember that? 18
  - Α. Yes.
    - Q. And you stated you're not an expert?
  - Correct. Α.
- Had you had the opportunity or maybe the 22
- misfortune to have to treat someone with 23
- hyperthermia in your medical practice? 24
- 25 No.

Q. Do you know whether or not dehydration is one of the main criteria for heat stroke or hyperthermia?

A. That would be a component.

Q. You were asked some questions about your interview with a YCSO detective. Do you remember those questions?

Α. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

25

Q. And, specifically, you were asked whether you had concerns about high -- about dehydration due to the sweat lodge. Do you remember that?

> Α. Yes.

13 Q. Were you provided a copy of your 14 transcript before you were asked those questions?

Α.

16 MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, may I approach the 17 witness?

18 THE COURT: Yes.

19 Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Ma'am, I'm showing you 20 Exhibit 698, page 10. I think the question starts 21 on line 2. And then your answer is after that. 22

Do you believe reviewing a transcript would refresh your recollection as to what you said

24 to the detective that day?

A. Yes.

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, may I?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

Q. BY MR. HUGHES: Ma'am, have you had a chance to take a look at that transcript?

A. Yes.

Q. And I realize it's been some time since this interview. Was your concern expressed to the detective about dehydration from the sweat lodge or for something else?

Both. You see, we had come back from the quest, where there was no food or water. And then we had breakfast. And then we did not have lunch. And so there had been a prolonged period without food or water. And then -- so going into that people could have been dehydrated from the quest that we had been on.

Q. And that's what you told the detective; 17 18 correct?

MR. KELLY: Your Honor, it misstates 698. If it's going to be read in part, I'd request the entire paragraph be referenced.

BY MR. HUGHES: Ma'am, would you go ahead 22 23 and read the question and your answer to the 24 auestion.

> Α. Okay. So I've asked everybody. I

realize this is opinion, speculation on their part.

But any thoughts on what happened?

And I replied, well, I heard that there 3 was speculation earlier about carbon monoxide or 4 other toxins. I think that's going to be 5

incredibly important to sort that out. I also 6 heard more recently that it wasn't carbon monoxide.

7 8 So if it's heat exhaustion, then my concern would be that people had been dehydrated 9 for quite a while before going into the sweat 10

11 lodge.

12 Now, if they were told to

13 hydrate -- although not why -- earlier in the day. So people had just come back that morning and their 14

first meal since Tuesday night so -- and then they 15

started at 2:00 o'clock. So there was only a few 16

hours in which to hydrate. And they didn't serve 17

lunch. But they didn't tell us at breakfast that 18

they weren't going to serve lunch or anything. 19

They said you're not going to have lunch. You're 20

going to stay here and do things. 21

So I think -- you know -- people went 22 23 into it not very well hydrated.

24 Does that transcript -- does it appear to accurately portray what you recall telling the 25

220

detective? 1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

21

23

24

25

218

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Why did you make a point of 3 telling the detective that the people were not told 4 why to hydrate earlier in the day? 5

A. I thought -- when he told us to hydrate, my interpretation was, well, we've been out without water so he wants us to rehydrate. I didn't know that it was going to -- we were going to be stressed again with a sweat lodge.

And because there were so few bathroom breaks, I would have a tendency to not necessarily hydrate. But I chose in that situation to go ahead and hydrate.

15 Q. And then I hesitate to say finally because I may think of another question. I'm 16 17 almost to the end.

You mentioned that you saw Dr.

Armstrong -- is that correct? -- outside the sweat 19 20 lodge?

A. I did not identify her as Dr. Armstrong 22 at the time. I saw people rendering CPR. And when I talked to her at a later time, she said, I was over there giving CPR.

Were you able to see to whom she was

		221				223
1	administering CPR?		-	around 4:0		
2	A. No.		2		Sir, please step to the front of the	
3	MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Doctor. You've been		3	courtroom	where the bailiff is directing you.	
4	more than patient.		4		Raise you're right hand and be sworr	) by
5	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hughes.		5	the clerk.		
6	Any questions for this witness?		6		DENNIS MEHRAVAR,	
7	Dr. Wagoner, please remain seated while I	[	7	_	n first duly sworn upon his oath to te	H
8	get the jury questions.		8	the truth, t	he whole truth, and nothing but the	
9	Counsel, please approach the bench.		9	truth, testif	ied as follows:	
10	(Sidebar conference.)		10	THE (	COURT: Please be seated here to my	
11	THE COURT: Any objection on those,		11		Sır, would you please begin by statin	g
12	Mr. Hughes?		12	•	g your full name.	
13	MR. HUGHES: Other than it's a question I		13	THE WITNESS: Sure. Dennis. The last name is		
14	should have asked.		14	Mehravar,	M-e-h-r-a-v-a-r.	
15	MR. KELLY: Judge, my continuing objection is		15	THE (	COURT: Thank you.	
16	relevance. The issue is manslaughter. I think		16		Ms. Polk.	
17	emergency preparedness or not, you commit		17		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
18	manslaughter when you we're going to be here		18	BY MS. PO	_K:	
19	months and months asking questions to go into the		19	Q.	Good afternoon, sir.	
20	elements of the crime.		20	A.	Good afternoon.	
21	Can I read it again?	ļ	21	Q.	Could I have you state your last name	ne
22	THE COURT: Yes.	1	22	again for u	s, please? Pronounce it for us.	
23	MR. KELLY: Yeah. Okay. It's fine.		23	A.	Mehravar.	
24	THE COURT: Thank you.		24	Q.	Mehravar. Is that close?	
25	(End of sidebar conference.)		25	Α.	Yes.	
		222				224
1	THE COURT: Dr. Wagoner, I will ask the		1	Q.	Would you tell the jury where	
2	question.	İ	2	approxima	tely you live, what part of the country	or or
3	The lawyers may wish to follow up.		3	world.		
4	Did you notice any signs of emergency		4	A.	I live in Kitchener, which is abou	t 45 to
5	medical preparedness by either JRI or Angel Valley		5	50 minute	es from Toronto, Ontario, Canada.	
6	at the Spiritual Warrior seminar with the possible		6	Q.	And how long have you lived up then	re?
7	event of a medical emergency?		7	Α.	Since November '89.	
8	THE WITNESS: No. I did not.		8	Q.	What is your occupation?	
9	THE COURT: Follow up, Mr. Hughes?		9	A.	I'm a real estate agent.	
10	MR. HUGHES: No, Your Honor.		10	Q.	You practice real estate up in Canada	a?
11	THE COURT: Mr. Kelly?		11	A.	Yes, I do.	
12	MR. KELLY: No. Thank you.		12	Q.	Have you come, Mr. Mehravar, to kr	now
13	THE COURT: May Dr. Wagoner be excused as a	ı	13	Mr. James	Ray?	
14	witness?		14	A.	Yes. I met first time Mr. James I	Ray in,
15	MR. HUGHES: Yes, Your Honor.		15	I believe	it was 2007, and in Toronto. He $oldsymbol{v}$	vas one
16	MR. KELLY: Yes.		16	of the spe	eakers in a big exhibition that incl	uded
17	THE COURT: Dr. Wagoner, you are excused as	а	17	other spe	akers.	
18	witness at this time. Remember the exclusion of		18	Q.	After hearing Mr. Ray at that event,	did
19	the rule of exclusion of witnesses I explained to		19	you have f	urther contact with him?	
20	you earlier.		20	A.	Yes. At that event I registered f	
21	Thank you.	į	21	Mr. Ray's	Harmonic Wealth Weekend, and	I went to
22	THE COURT: Counsel?		22	Chicago f	or that event.	
23	MS. POLK: Your Honor, the state calls Dennis	:	23		And after in that event I regis	
24	Mehravar, please.		24		vo more events with him, which w	
25	THE COURT: Counsel, I do want to break agair	1	25	Leap and	Practical Mysticism. And at Pract	
		Page 221 to	224	of 278		56 of 70 sheet

1 Mysticism I also registered for one more event. At 2 that time I also applied to be one of the volunteer 3 that they call "Dream Team."

Where was the one more event that you registered for while you were at Practical

6 Mysticism?

4

5

7

8

9

Α. I don't remember.

Q. Now, you attended Spiritual Warrior 2009 near Sedona, Arizona?

10 A. That is correct.

Q. When did you register for that? 11

12 When I was volunteering for one of the Α. 13 events.

14 Q. Had you attended any of the Spiritual 15 Warrior seminars before?

16 Α. No.

17 Q. When was it that you first volunteered as a Dream Team member for a James Ray event? 18

19 It was a Harmonic Wealth Weekend. And it could have been Los Angeles or San Diego. 20

21 Q. How many events held by Mr. Ray did you 22 Dream Team for?

23 Α. I've done two or three Harmonic Wealth 24 Weekends and one Creating Absolute Wealth.

Q. Are you able to tell the jury how many

226

events total?

25

1

2

3

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

25

Actually, may I make a correction? Α. Sorry.

4 Q. Yes.

I just remembered, when I was in Chicago, I registered for Quantum Leap and Creating Absolute Wealth. And then when I was at Creating Absolute Wealth, I registered for Practical Mysticism.

Q. Are you able to tell the jury how many events total conducted by Mr. Ray you have attended either as a participate or as a Dream Team member?

A. I have participated in Harmonic Wealth, Quantum Leap, Creating Absolute Wealth. Six events. I was participating as an attendee like I was in the -- basically. And three or four as a **Dream Team.** 

17 Q. Did you pay to attend all of the events 18 that you attended as a participant?

A. Yes, I did.

20 Q. Did you pay to attend the events you attended as a Dream Team member? 21

22 No. We were -- we paid for our flight. We paid for our food and stay, but we didn't pay to 23 24 be there.

Q. Were you a member of the World Wealth 1 Society?

Α.

2

3

5

6

19

21

22

24 25

2

3

10

14

15

I was for six months.

Q. And when was that?

4 MR. LI: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer that question.

7 THE WITNESS: It was started probably September and ended in March. Before the March 8

2009. 9

10 Q. BY MS. POLK: Did it end or did you end 11 your participation?

12 I originally registered for one year, and then I requested that I can cancel the six months 13 because I didn't pay fully for it. And I also 14 asked if I can apply that credit to pay for the 15 Spiritual Warrior, which they agreed to. 16

Q. You paid to be a member of the World 17 Wealth Society? 18

A. Yes, I did.

20 MR. LI: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled on that question.

It was yes or no. I believe it was

answered, although there was talking at the time. 23

Sir, did you answer that?

THE WITNESS: Did I pay for it? Yes, I did.

Q. BY MS. POLK: You just mentioned to the 1

> jury that you got credit when you cancelled your membership that you used toward Spiritual Warrior?

A. Yes. I only wanted it the first six 4 months. So I overpaid it. And the amount that was 5 overpaid was sufficient enough to cover for the 6

Spiritual Warrior. 7

Q. How much, then, did you pay to attend 8 9 Spiritual Warrior 2009?

It would have been around \$10,000.

MR. KELLY: Excuse me, Judge. May Mr. Hughes 11 and I approach? Has nothing to do with this 12 13 witness.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes. (Sidebar conference.)

MR. KELLY: Dr. Wagoner is in the courtroom. 16

17 I thought we had a rule.

THE COURT: Actually, she's excused. She's 18 done. She can stay. She has to follow the rule of 19 exclusion. She can't talk to other witnesses about 20 her testimony. But under the rule she's permitted 21 22 to stay.

23 MR. KELLY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Just a minute, Mr. Hughes. Be

sure you talk to her about that.

228

I confirmed, Mr. Kelly. I'm talking to

Mr. Hughes and Ms. Polk.

1

2

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

8

9

16

25

3 Make sure she understands that that 4 aspect of the rule still applies.

MR. HUGHES: I will.

6 MS. POLK: Judge, Mr. Kelly, before the end of 7 the day, I would like to take up the issue of the civil complaint. I won't get into this this

afternoon in his testimony. But it needs to be 9 resolved before cross-examination. I don't know

10 when you want to take it up. 11

THE COURT: You know, I wanted an abbreviated argument. We ended up talking close to 20 minutes or more about it.

As far as cross-examination, I think that's appropriate. If you want further argument, you're not going to get it today. We better stop.

Well, then, the other thing to do is just go till 4:30 or so and stop. However, just leave enough time to cover that.

MS. POLK: I want the issues covered so we're 22 not delaying the jury tomorrow.

23 THE COURT: Counsel, we're going to recess probably around 4:30 and take up the legal points. 24

25 Okay?

230

229

1

2

8

11

12

18

2

(End of sidebar conference.)

MS. POLK: May I proceed, Judge?

3 THE COURT: Yes.

4 Q. BY MS. POLK: Sir, let's talk about the Spiritual Warrior 2009 seminar that you attended. 5

Do you recall what day you arrived in Yavapai 6

7 County on?

A. I would say it was a Sunday.

Q. Do you recall the dates of the seminar?

10 Α. No.

Q. Does October 3rd to October 9th sound 11

12 about right to you? 13

Yes, it does.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, may I approach the 14

15 witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

17 Q. BY MS. POLK: Sir, I'm going to hand you

18 Exhibits 191 and 190.

Will you take a moment and take a look at 19 both of those exhibits, please? 20

A. Yes. 21

22 Q. Do you see your signature on both of

23 those exhibits?

24 A. Yes.

Do you recognize those exhibits?

Q. And what are they?

MR. LI: Your Honor, we'll stipulate to their 3 4 admission.

5 MS. POLK: Your Honor, I move for the 6 admission of Exhibits 190 and 191.

THE COURT: 190 and 191 are admitted. 7

(Exhibits 190 and 191 admitted.)

Q. BY MS. POLK: Sir, what are those two 9 documents? Do you recognize those? 10

A. One is the waiver for Angel Valley, and the other one is for James Ray International.

13 Q. Do you remember when you signed the waiver for James Ray International? 14

I believe when we were going to get our 15 stuff, we had to sign it. 16

**Q.** At what point? 17

> At the beginning. At the beginning. Α.

Q. At the beginning of the seminar? 19

20 Α.

Q. Was any medical information taken from 21

you when you signed that waiver? 22

23 A. No.

Q. And was a physical examination required 24

for you to participate in the Spiritual 25

1 Warrior 2009?

A. No.

Q. At any point did you ever give any 3

medical information or physical information about 4

yourself to James Ray or James Ray International? 5 6

Α.

Q. The time that you signed that waiver, did 7 you know that there was going to be a sweat lodge 8 9 ceremony?

Α. 10 No.

And have you ever been in a sweat lodge 11 Q.

before? 12

13

19

Α.

14 Q. You told the jury that you had attended 15 other James Ray events?

That's correct. 16 Α.

Did you sign waivers for other James Ray 17 Q.

events? 18

> Α. Yes.

Q. Are you able to tell us whether or not 20 the waiver that you signed for other seminars was 21

the same as this waiver in front of you? 22

23 Α. I can't.

Do you know either way? 24 Q.

I know it was a waiver, but I don't know

1 if it's the exact same one.

2 Q. Did you always sign a waiver to attend a 3 James Ray event?

A. Yes, I did.

5 **Q.** The jury has heard a lot about the events of the week. I'm not going to have you talk about 7 each one.

Was there an event involving something

called "Holosync"? 9 10

4

8

15

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

8

A. Yes, it was.

Q. And what was that? 11

Α. It was a meditation we did with our 12 headphone on. And it was like a sound or music 13 that we listened to while we were sitting. 14

Q. What day did that occur?

Α. I don't remember. 16

Q. Had you done that before? 17

Α. 18 No.

19 Q. When did you first learn that you would

be doing meditation with earphones on? 20

We were -- we were told to bring our CDs and the tape if we have. I didn't have the CD that played that specific music or meditation, so I was given one by one of the Dream Team.

Q. What was on the CD?

234

A. It was sounds like a drums, rain. Kind of irritating I would say.

3 Q. What was the last thing?

4 A. Irritating.

Q. Irritating?

A. To my ears.

7 Q. What was irritating about it to you?

A. It just kind of bothered me to listen to

it. I don't know why that was my reaction. 9

10 Q. How long did this meditation with sound

11 coming in through earphones last?

12 A. I believe it was 20 minutes. That's my 13 best auess.

Q. And you said that it bothered you. In 14 what way did it bother you? 15

A. I don't know. I felt irritated. I 16 17 wanted to remove it from my head. It wasn't feeling good. It wasn't something that you would 18 choose as something to listen to, I think. 19

20 **Q.** You said you wanted to remove it from your head. Do you mean the earphones? 21

> Α. Yes.

Did you remove the earphones from your 23 Q.

24 head?

22

25 No. I stayed. I listened to it.

Q. Windid you not remove the earphones when 1

2 this bothered you?

Because -- because I thought it's 3 something Mr. Ray wanted me to do. There is logic 4 or some sort of benefit at the end for me. 5

Q. Did Mr. Ray ever tell you what the

7 benefit would be?

6

15

22

25

1

9

15

18

21

23

24

25

A. If I recall -- again, I may be recalling 8 wrong. So I just want to know. I think it was for 9 us to connect with our higher conscious mind. 10

Q. Throughout the Spiritual Warrior 2009 11 week, were there opportunities for you, as 12

13 participants, to speak to Mr. Ray through an

14 open-mic session?

A. Yes, it was.

Q. And did you speak during one of those 16 open-mic sessions? 17

A. Yes, I have. 18

Q. Did you speak specifically about what you 19 just told the jury about your discomfort with this 20 21 meditation exercise?

A. Yes, I did.

23 MR. LI: Your Honor, subject to our

24 discussions earlier today.

THE COURT: Correct.

Q. BY MS. POLK: Mr. Mehravar, prior to

2 taking the stand today, did you have the

opportunity to examine and listen to Exhibit 749, 3

this CD? 4

5 A. Yes, I did.

Q. And is what is on that audio, does it 6

accurately reflect what you said when you took the 7

open mic? 8

A. Yes, it does.

Q. And who is it that responds, then, on the 10 audio to you after you talked? 11

Α. Mr. Ray. 12

Did you listen to that portion of this 13 Q.

audio as well? 14

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Does it accurately reflect what Mr. Ray 16

said in response to what you said? 17

A. Yes.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, I move for the 19

20 admission of Exhibit 749.

THE COURT: Subject to the prior discussion?

MR. LI: Yes, Your Honor. 22

THE COURT: Okay. 749 is admitted.

(Exhibit 749 admitted.)

MS. POLK: I request permission at this time

2

3

15

16

17

18

1 to play it for the jury.

**2** THE COURT: You may.

3 MR. LI: May I approach?

4 THE COURT: Yes.

(Exhibit 749 played.)

**Q.** BY MS. POLK: Sir, after that

7 conversation with Mr. Ray and his response to you,8 did you learn something from Mr. Ray about being

9 uncomfortable?

5

1

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

10 MR. LI: Objection. Leading.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. BY MS. POLK: Did you learn something
from Mr. Ray, when he responded to your concern
about your concern or discomfort with this Holosync
exercise?

A. In all the seminars that I attended with
Mr. Ray, there is always the element that you push
yourself to the limit. And that's how you expand
your circle of knowledge. So, yes. That was
acceptable to me.

Q. Are you able to explain to the jury your
understanding of why pushing yourself physically
results in expanding your knowledge?

A. Mr. Ray always believed that we do need experiences for our unconscious mind to grow

because that's how we relate to our unconscious

A. No. didn't.

**Q.** After you came in from the Vision Quest, did you get something to eat or drink?

A. I had probably maybe a tea or very small maybe toast or something. But not a full breakfast. No.

7 Q. The morning that you came in from the8 Vision Quest was Thursday?

9 A. That was the last day we came that 10 morning. Yes.

Q. And that day did you learn that you wouldbe doing the sweat lodge?

13 A. I learned before the sweat lodge that we 14 are doing sweat lodge.

Q. How much time -- how much sooner than the sweat lodge did you learn you would be doing a sweat lodge?

A. Probably an hour.

Q. Were you able to do anything to prepareyourself for the sweat lodge?

21 A. I just drink water as much as I can.

22 Q. Within that hour?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Were you able to do anything else to

25 prepare yourself mentally or emotionally for the

238

mind. Our unconscious mind grows with our -- below
 the unconsciousness. Nothing replaces experiences

4 as we go through events.5 Q. Did you trust Mr. Ray?

A. Of course I did.

Q. Was that as a result of all of your

8 experiences with him?

MR. LI: Objection. Leading.

10 THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. BY MS. POLK: Why did you trust Mr. Ray?

A. I had no doubt not to trust. There was no reason not to trust Mr. Ray. I've been all his events. I have dream teamed for him. And even when I had a doubt, he proved me that I was wrong and he was right. So I had no reason not -- to doubt anything.

Q. Did you participate in the event called the "Samurai Game"?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Did you also participate in the Vision

**22** Quest?

23 A. Yes, I did.

24 Q. Did you have anything to eat or drink

25 during the 36 hours of the Vision Quest?

1 sweat lodge?

2 A. No.

Q. Where were you when you learned that youwould be doing a sweat lodge?

5 A. I was standing in the classroom toward 6 the back.

Q. Did Mr. Ray say anything to you aboutwhat to expect in the sweat lodge?

A. Mr. Ray said, it's going to be very, very
hot, and it feels like your skin is going to come
off, and it feels like you're dying.

Q. Sir, I'm going to show you what's markedas Exhibit 747, again, another CD.

A. Yes

Q. Before coming into this courtroom andtaking the stand, did you have an opportunity tolisten to what is on this CD?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Does that -- what is on that CD
accurately reflect what Mr. Ray told you and other
participants about what to expect about the sweat
lodge?

A. Yes, it does.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, I move for the

25 admission of Exhibit 747.

240

14

18

23

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: Mr. Li? 1

2 MR. LI: Subject to our discussion, Your 3 Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to need to see counsel to clear that.

(Sidebar conference.)

7 THE COURT: I just want to make sure I understand the scope of the discussion. There was 8 a discussion about playing all of it instead of 9 10 pieces of it and then not replaying it

11 unnecessarily.

4

5

6

12

1

2

3

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

MR. LI: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I want to know whatever 13 objections you have to it. 14

MR. LI: We want to reserve all the objections 15 we made relating to producing. 16

17 THE COURT: Sorry?

MR. LI: We wanted to reserve all of our 18 objections relating to producing -- relating that 19 not only purchases, relating to -- you know --20 hearsay and all other aspects. But we just wanted 21 22 to preserve our objection.

THE COURT: I'm not aware of any hearsay 23 24 aspect of it.

25 MR. LI: To the extent there are audible

members participating in the discussion.

THE COURT: See, that's a problem. No one has ever called to my attention particular hearsay

aspects. And that's what I don't want to get into. 4

If we can't play this because there might 5 be -- I want specifically to know. Because I have 7 handled the disclosure issue, the completeness 8 issue.

You want under 106 to have the whole context. And now if you're saying there is specific hearsay things, I need to know and I need 12 to rule on it.

MR. LI: Towards the end there is hearsay. Toward the middle one word. Towards the end there 15 is hearsay. Folks are just talking.

THE COURT: I'm not going to let it be played and have hearsay come out, an objection out there that I can't deal with. I don't want to do that.

19 If it's not --

MR. LI: Your Honor, it's the same as the 21 other issue that we have, which is, essentially, 22 there. If it's the Court's ruling that it's 23 relevant, it should be played, the whole thing 24 should be. To the extent there is hearsay, we're

25 not waiving our objections to hearsay. The whole 25

thing needs to be played. 1

THE COURT: It can't be played on that basis. 2

I'm not going to play it if there is a hidden 3

4 hearsay objection in there.

Ms. Polk, do you have something else? 5

MS. POLK: Your Honor, what is -- what is on 6 here that mostly is Mr. Ray's statement. There are 7

a couple questions on here from a participant. For

example, should we wear jewelry or something about 9

taking water inside. I can't remember. 10

None of it would be offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted by the case.

I'm only playing them because Mr. Li 13 insisted under Rule 106 that the complete thing be 14 played. And so that's why I complied by making the 15 complete audio. 16

However, I would assert that the statements on here or the questions by participants is not hearsay because it is not being offered to proof of the matter asserted. They are merely questions to Mr. Ray that he responds to.

THE COURT: Mr. Li, do you have any 22 23 specific --

MR. LI: We reserve our objection. I don't 24 recall exactly what the conversations are at the 25

242 end of the tape. One thing they can do is stop it

> before the end of the tape where the people are 2

3 asking questions and what have you.

Mr. Mehravar is here -- and I understand 4 it's a different issue. But Mr. Mehravar is here. 5

His basic tape that was played was a question. And

7 we preserved our hearsay objection only in place of

the Court's ruling that was relevant. And we just

didn't think you could play some of it but not all 10 of it.

THE COURT: I don't think you did preserve any 11 12 hearsay objection there. You wanted it presented in that fashion. If you don't have any specific 13 objections at this point, then I'm not hearing any 14

specifics that was said that's actually hearsay 15

offered for the truth. So --16

MR. LI: Well, I think --

THE COURT: It doesn't appear to have specific 18 hearsay objections preserved. 19

MR. LI: I'm not waiving them. And so I 20 21 think --

THE COURT: It's not going to be played.

MR. LI: I think what happens at the end, Your 23 24 Honor, one of the people say something to the

effect, I have high blood pressure. That would be

17

```
offered for the truth of the matter asserted. And
1
2
   will this affect me? And that's hearsay.
        MS. POLK: Your Honor, I have offered clips.
3
  Mr. Li objected to the clips, insisted under 106
4
   the entire briefing be played. We've now prepared
```

THE COURT: Well, with regard to the blood pressure, that's, again, not necessarily offered

the audio of the entire briefing to be played.

for the truth, is it?

6

7

8

18

19

21

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

25

10 MR. LI: It is.

MS. POLK: I don't know that that conversation 11 12 is on here. I don't recall it being on here.

MR. LI: I think it is at the end. Someone 13 says at the end, I have high blood pressure. Is 14 this going to affect my blood pressure? And 15 Mr. Ray says -- you know -- you have to take -- you 16 have to make your own decision. 17

MS. POLK: I'm not sure that's on there. If that's on there, the defense has been bringing out that specific testimony with every witness.

20 And in an attempt to establish that Mr. Ray told participants to take care of 22 23 themselves, if it's on here, the state's not offering it to prove that someone had high blood 24 pressure anyway. 25

246

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

THE COURT: There would be an instruction 1 2 offered at some point.

MR. LI: Here's the problem: I'm not sure we 3 had an opportunity to listen to the last 10 seconds 4 or three minutes of this tape. I don't want to 5 cause more trouble. We can deal with this 6 tomorrow. I don't want to drag this out. He's got 7 plenty of testimony to give. 8

But -- you know -- there are hearsay --9 there is hearsay at the end. It's our position -you know what our position is.

THE COURT: And you don't need to restate that. I'm just saying -- I'm not going to proceed if there is some hidden objection in there.

15 Now, you're saying you haven't heard it. Ms. Polk, do you have something else to 16 ask about? We're going to recess in 35 minutes 17 18 anvway.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, I was going to talk to him about the sweat lodge. And I think playing this briefing before he talks about what happens in 22 the sweat lodge is appropriate.

I have to say this is a little 23

24 frustrating.

THE COURT: It is.

MS. POS. I tried to work this out with 1 Mr. Li. We corresponded back and forth yesterday 2 evening. I prepared the entire audio. He stood up in court and said he wanted it played. I got it 4 ready to play, and he says hearsay. 5

MR. LI: It's fairly straightforward. I'm 6 7 reserving the objection. The Court can make whatever rule it wants to make. We've asserted 8 objections to all of this for all of the various 9 reasons we talked about. 10

We're not waiving the objections. We 11 understand the Court's rule, and we complied with 12 the Court's rule. That's the one thing I'm saying. 13

THE COURT: You've informed me of this blood 14 pressure statement. There can be an appropriate 15 instruction upon question. 16

17 We can proceed.

18 MS. POLK: May I play it, yes?

THE COURT: Yes. 19

MS. POLK: Are you going to read a limiting 20

instruction? 21

THE COURT: No. 22 (End of sidebar conference.) 23

(Exhibit 747 played.) 24

MS. POLK: Your Honor, would you like to break

248

1 at this time?

> THE COURT: I would. Thank you. Ms. Polk. Ladies and gentlemen, we will take the evening recess at this time. Remember the admonition. And we will reassemble at 9:15.

rule of exclusion of witnesses that's been invoked. That means you cannot talk about your testimony or this case with any other witness until

I want to talk to Mr. Mehravar about the

the trial is over.

It's a good idea not to talk to anyone about the case until it is completed, except you can talk to the lawyers as long as another witness is not present at the time.

Do understand that?

THE WITNESS: I do. 16

> THE COURT: Also if there are other witnesses around while you're here testifying, you really should not communicate with them at all under the circumstances while the trial is in progress.

> > Do you understand?

THE WITNESS: I do. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23

I'm going to ask that the parties remain.

Mr. Mehravar, you and the jury are

1

2

1 excused. And you may leave the courtroom at this 2

3 (Proceedings continued outside presence 4 of the jury.)

THE COURT: The record will show the presence of Mr. Ray and the attorneys.

Just want to mention a couple of things before we start on that issue of the civil suit.

The jury, of course, is not here.

With regard to the record we were making at the bench, I looked at the realtime. And I want to clarify what I had said. I wanted to make sure we get a good record. We have this set up so the sidebars can be recorded.

And I want to encourage Mina, anytime something is not being heard, people are talking over one other, to let us know so we can get a clear record.

I indicated that there can be an appropriate instruction and that limiting instruction upon request. Mr. Li hasn't technically requested that, but Ms. Polk said can you play the recording? I said yes. And it is admitted.

I want to clarify to the clerk that that

exhibit is admitted. 1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

8

9

13

15

19

Mr. Li has made his record on what the objections are. And then Ms. Polk asked me, are 4 you going to read the limiting instruction? And the realtime said no. And then I must have backed away. I said not at this time. Because I will 7 read a limiting instruction.

Mr. Li, if you prepare that, I'll read it. But I actually hardly got into that.

MR. LI: Let's -- we can go back to the ranch, 10 11 and we'll figure out what the best approach would be. 12

THE COURT: Okay. I also want to note when I 14 talked about frustration, it's not directed at anybody, not either party. It was just an 16 observation that was made.

17 So if we can take up the issue, Ms. Polk, 18 that you wanted to discuss.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, I actually have two issues here. And I'd like to address the first one because I have one of my deputies here from the 21 22 office.

23 It is with regard to Yavapai County Sheriff's Office Deputy Joe Sutton, who the state 24 has listed as a witness. From my office,

Prosecutor Carls Anderson is here. He just 1

2 reported to me that he did an interview this

afternoon with Deputy Sutton in another case.

That the interview was conducted at the 4 conference room in my office here in the Verde. 5

6 And that in the background on the TV, there

apparently is a live stream to this trial. So that 7

Deputy Sutton, when he was being interviewed by a 8

defense attorney, his back was to the TV screen. 9

But it was this trial that was going on, 10 and the sound was not running. But we can 11 certainly clarify that with Mr. Anderson, my 12 13 deputy.

What happened was the defense attorney 14 was appearing telephonically. She was interviewing 15 Deputy Sutton telephonically. About seven minutes 16 into it, she had to go tend to something. At which 17 point Deputy Sutton turned around, saw the screen, 18 and then told Mr. Anderson that he was a witness in 19 the case and he was not supposed to be exposed to 20 exposure. And then they then turned the TV off. 21 But I wanted to report that that incident had 22 23 happened.

THE COURT: Okay. 24 25

Mr. Li, if you will note that.

252 MR. LI: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Another matter, Ms. Polk?

MS. POLK: Thank you. The other matter, Your 3

Honor, is the complaint. It's called the "First 4

Amended Complaint." We spoke about it this 5

morning. And it's a complaint file by Sidney 6

Spencer and Mira Mehravar, file by Attorney Ted 7

Schmidt. I believe that the defense has had this

complaint marked as an exhibit. 9

And as I told the Court, this was emailed 10 11 to the state last night.

I agree with the Court that it is fair 12 examination, exploration into possible motive or 13 bias that a witness has filed a lawsuit against a 14 15 party.

However, I believe that the complaint 17 itself is hearsay. And I believe it would be prejudicial for the defense to even offer it, to show it to him in any manner, unless he denies 20 having filed the lawsuit. And I object.

I'm doing this out of the presence of the 22 jury, making my objection now, because I believe it would be prejudicial for them to attempt to show this complaint, which is clearly hearsay, and make my objections in front of the jury.

16

18

19

21

23

24

1 This complaint, Your monor, that was 2 provided to the state last night, is not signed by 3 the witness, Dennis Mehravar. It is signed by 4 Attorney Ted Schmidt.

5

6

7

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It would all be hearsay as to the details of it and clearly inadmissible. I believe the witness will testify that he filed the lawsuit. The lawsuit has been settled. According to the witness, Mr. Ray asked for the confidentiality agreement.

11 And that raises the second issue for me, Which is I believe the state should be entitled to 12 inquire about the terms of the settlement. 13 14 However, there is a confidentiality agreement in place that would prevent that witness from 15 16 answering.

And so as a second part to this issue, I would ask the Court to allow the witness to answer questions about what the terms of the settlement were.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Li, are you going to address this? MR. LI: When the state says that we're not allowed to show it, I don't quite understand what that means.

254

Does that mean we can't approach the witness with it if he denies the existence of a lawsuit that his lawyer filed on his behalf? Or does it mean that we can't move it into evidence and publish it to the jury?

I just want to make sure I understand what the terms of the debate are.

THE COURT: Ms. Polk, what did you mean? MS. POLK: Your Honor, I believe if the witness admits -- agrees that he has filed a lawsuit, then it would be improper to try to approach the witness with any extrinsic evidence of that lawsuit, which would be this complaint.

MR. LI: Again, the question is, whether or 15 not we can ask him about the details of his particular lawsuit. I don't think there is any rule that would preclude us from asking him those exact questions.

I don't think it's our intention to move it into evidence, the actual lawsuit itself. We need to mark it so that we can identify what we're showing the witness so the record is clear.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LI: But we -- I don't believe there is any basis to preclude us from questioning him about 25

what he alleges. Or the existence of the lawsuit 1 or his motivations or his bias or any of these 3 things.

THE COURT: I think that's proper 4 cross-examination. And I don't think we're 5 probably going to get to the extrinsic evidence 7 part of this.

But Ms. Polk.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, I believe it would be 9 improper to question a witness with a document that 10 itself is hearsay and inadmissible. 11

Specifically, I anticipate what the 12 defense wants to do is go through each and every 13 allegation made in this lawsuit and have the 14 witness either admit or deny or somehow talk about 15 it. 16

Everything that is in this lawsuit is 17 hearsay. It's written by an attorney, not by this 18 witness. It is a pleading. It's not factual. It 19 was filed one month after the incident. 20

And I believe there are specific 21 paragraphs in here that the defense wants the jury 22 to hear but for an improper purpose. 23

24 These allegations are made by an attorney. They're allegations against James Ray, 25

James Ray International, the Hamiltons and the

2 Angel Valley Retreat Center.

And it has all sorts of allegations that 3 are not part of this case. And they are not facts. 4

They are simply allegations made by an attorney.

This is not a document signed by this 6 witness. This document has not been adopted in any 7 way by this witness. This is clearly hearsay. 8

What is relevant is has this witness 9 filed a lawsuit? Has it been settled? And what 10 are the terms of the settlement? 11

But to use this document, this hearsay 12 document, and to go through it paragraph by 13 paragraph trying to get the witness to admit or 14 deny, but more specifically trying to read the 15 language of the lawsuit to the jury, is hearsay. 16

It's not this witness's statement and is 17 clearly inadmissible. 18

THE COURT: I didn't know that Mr. Li wanted to read the paragraphs.

MR. LI: I don't think we're going to read 22 paragraph by paragraph.

But just to point -- the point of 24 clarification. One. The lawsuit is not completely settled. The witness still has an ongoing lawsuit 25

256

19

20

21

against Angel Valley and the Hamiltons, and it's 1 2 not completely settled.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

But more importantly -- you know -- this lawsuit filed on your behalf are your statements.

You know, I've never heard of the argument being made that a complaint filed on a party's behalf is not owned by the party. It's a complaint.

I understand if there is some technical, legal argument in some random brief, you might be able to make some argument like that. I don't think you actually can. But maybe you can make an argument like that with respect to some random motion or something like that.

This is a complaint where a man is asking for money. And I'd never heard anybody, much less the state, ever argue that a person's demand for money is not a proper area of cross-examination. Just never heard of it.

THE COURT: I didn't hear that from the state, 20 21 Mr. Li.

MR. LI: But there are specific allegations that the claimant makes. That's the point.

THE COURT: Let's talk a few specifics in the 24 next five minutes, if we could. 25

258

What are the allegations that are of 2 concern, Ms. Polk?

MS. POLK: Your Honor, this complaint is 18 pages long.

And, for example, Paragraph XXVII, Roman numeral XXVII alleges -- and this is just a 7 statement. It's on Page 6.

It alleges the sweat lodge ceremony exposed plaintiffs Sidney Spencer and Dennis Mehravar to extreme heat, inadequate hydration, 11 oxygen and toxic fumes.

Paragraph XXXII alleges that defendants constructed the sweat lodge without adequate 14 ventilation, light or temperature monitoring device.

And there is Paragraph XXXVII. Talks about the duty -- Paragraph XXXVIII -- defendants had a duty to plaintiffs to provide a safe place for plaintiffs to conduct the business activity that they paid for. And defendants, of course, including Angel Valley, negligently failed to meet

21 22 that duty.

23 And then Paragraph XXXIX states, 24 defendants negligently and carelessly constructed a

Spiritual Retreat Center without proper permitting 1 and inspection by applicable government

3 authorities.

5

15

16

17

18

Your Honor, it goes on for 18 pages. 4

All of this is hearsay.

Dennis Mehravar is not a party opponent 6 7 making any statements admissible as admissions by a 8 party. He is a witness.

9 I agree that it would be proper to examine any motive or bias by asking about a 10 lawsuit. But to read any of this to Mr. Mehravar, 11 these are hearsay statements, classic hearsay, not 12 adopted by this witness in any form, not made by 13 him, not signed by him and clearly inadmissible. 14

And, Your Honor, I do want to go back to the issue of disclosure violations. If this had been disclosed to the state, then the state would have had time to make a proper motion in limine.

The reason we're dealing with this now in 19 the middle of trial is because it was just sent to 20 21 the state last night.

THE COURT: As an exhibit, it's disclosed late 22 and not in compliance with Rule 15.6. 23

24 MR. LI: Your Honor, I'm not going to use the word "umbrage" again, but the problem --25

260

THE COURT: I didn't say it was. Unless 1 you're trying to put it in, it's not a violation. 2

Right now it's not. But to offer it as an exhibit. 3

Mr. Li, are you saying you complied with 4

Rule 15.6? 5

MR. LI: No, Your Honor. All I'm 6 7 saying is -- what I've been saying, I think, from the beginning of this particular hearing is that you need to identify it so that you can walk up to the witness if you need to refresh his recollection 10 with it or whatever. You need to be able to have a 11 12 record that will show this. I'm not moving it into 13 evidence.

It's simply the man filed a lawsuit. He 14 15 asked for money. He made a bunch of allegations in the lawsuit. Those are all relevant, Every single 16 17 one of the allegations.

It defies imagination that a person can 18 19 walk away from a lawsuit filed on his behalf, or damages, that you cannot walk away from that. That 20 is unless he's going to take the stand and say, 21 actually, that his lawyer filed it without his 22 authorization. And I still get to ask him about 23 24 it.

THE COURT: So you want to ask him something

sweat lodge on the premises of Angel Valley

like this: Isn't it true that you mink that this could have been caused by toxins? Do you want to be able to ask him questions?

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

8

9

10

11

13

15

17

19

20

21

24

25

MR. LI: I don't know where he's going to go, 5 Your Honor. But the questions are, did you file a lawsuit? Did you seek damages? At what point did you do this?

Did you believe that -- did you sue Angel Valley? Did you sue Mr. Ray? Did you sue JRI? Did you say that you believe that blah, blah, blah were the basis of your lawsuit that there was negligence in the construction, that you were exposed to heat, toxins, et cetera? That's what he

THE COURT: I was with you until you get to that point. What would his possible basis of knowledge -- attorneys draft pleadings. And they have to file them in good faith. And his attorney may do some research and think this is a good-faith basis for a claim that will survive the civil rules, and then somehow that becomes his own belief.

MR. LI: Well, Your Honor, I would never file a lawsuit without showing it to my client, No. 1. Number two, this is his statement. This

262

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1 is how -- when a plaintiff makes a demand for money, if we were in a deposition or any other sort 3 of context, I would get to ask him about the allegations made in his complaint.

That is in every courtroom in America that would be the case. And in every deposition, 7 anything, you would get to ask a guy -- you know -what did you allege? That is absolutely permissible.

I mean, Your Honor, the problem with this is we are in the context of a criminal trial. And 12 the state is literally taking the position that we can't cross-examination a person who does have 14 potential bias and motive, et cetera, and has taken the position that we can't ask him about a lawsuit 16 that he filed.

And they're also -- they continue to 18 bring up this purported discovery violation. We only produced this yesterday to make sure we didn't have any problems.

But the real issue is this: That Mr. Ray 22 has a right to ask any witnesses about potential 23 bias -- you know -- in their testimony. And this is potential bias. And all of the allegations in this particular document are relevant to those

1 issues.

I've never seen ever a witness -- you 2 know -- a defence attorney precluded from asking a 3 witness who has filed a lawsuit -- from asking that 4 5 witness about allegations in their lawsuit.

THE COURT: Technical legal/factual matters 6 that are contained in a formal complaint -- you're 7 saying that's routinely the subject of 8 9 cross-examination.

MR. LI: Your Honor, I understand what the 10 Court -- I don't want. There is a rule of 11 12 reasonableness here. I'm not saying the jurisdictional elements should be -- you know --13 you should cross-examine a witness about the 14 jurisdictional elements about whether Ms. Spencer 15 is a resident of the County of Santa Cruz, State of 16 Arizona. Okay? 17

But there are some specific allegations, upon which this particular witness bases his claim against Angel Valley, the Hamiltons, Mr. Ray, James Ray International, et cetera, that are relevant.

THE COURT: We're going to handle this question by question, and the appropriate questions go to possible or potential motive or bias. To my mind, not something that this witness would have to

264

speculate about concerning some technical aspect.

MR. LI: Technical aspects, I understand. So, 2 for instance, where somebody is a resident, or what 3 particular code section provides jurisdiction --4 you know -- all of those sorts of things I

5 understand are technical. 6

It's not just bias. I mean, it's 7 also -- this is a statement of the witness. This 8 is -- this is a complaint drafted on his behalf. 9

THE COURT: That's true. So that's accurate. 10 11 Yes.

MR. LI: And so if he's now saying something else, we get to ask him whatever he said back in 13 November, 2009. This is his. He cannot walk away 15 from it.

Ms. Polk cannot possibly be taking the position that a man can walk away from the legal action that he brings to try to get money. That is just not the law.

THE COURT: I've indicated we're going to 21 handle this question by question, Ms. Polk.

MR. LI: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, the issue is relevance.

Again, the state agrees that it would be appropriate to inquire into the witness's motive or

66 of 70 sheets

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

9

10

11

14

15

18

21

bias by inquiring as to whether here has been a 2 lawsuit, if it's been settled, and if so, how much.

Once you've inquired into motive or bias, though, to go beyond that, it's an issue of relevance.

Where Mr. Li wants to go is to ask the witness if he believed that the Hamiltons were negligent. Did he believe Mr. Ray was negligent. Did Mr. Mehravar believe he was exposed to toxins.

What is that relevant to? It's simply not relevant what this witness believes about other people's negligence. It's not relevant, and it's speculative about whether this witness believes he was exposed to toxins.

Bias and motive is appropriate. But you accomplish that, you step aside from that. And now the inquiry isn't relevant.

And it isn't relevant. It's not relevant to allow a witness to speculate as to his opinion as to what parties were negligent and/or why and to speculate about what he was exposed to. Was he exposed to toxins?

MR. LI: First of all, Your Honor, I'm not asking anybody to speculate about anything. I'm just asking what did you say? What was your

266

lawsuit about?

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

24

25

That's a very different inquiry.

THE COURT: But it's not -- because the way -what he would answer, then, would be his speculation about what someone else believes might be true probably.

The lawyers -- the lawyers put this together. They do the research. They decide what the potential theories are. They have to file it in good faith. Rule 11? It's been a while, the civil law.

They have to do that. And so talking to 13 somebody about whether or not it was carbon monoxide or he actually had a belief as to that -we're going to handle this question by question.

MR. LI: That's fair enough. I won't ask him 17 do you believe "XY."

I would just say it is ironic that we're not allowed to ask a witness about his particular lawsuit that he filed but we are allowed to hear from the state's witnesses about all their various 21 22 speculations about what other people were thinking, 23 and what their various motivations were for sticking it out in various seminar.

THE COURT: I try to keep those to the

particular person testifying. 1

2 MR, LI: There is a relevance --

3 THE COURT: I know there was a question, I talked about the straw man that apparently was 4

going to be set up to knock down with a prior 5

inconsistent. And that got very general. We had a 6

long bench conference about that. 7

But I don't want speculation about other people's motives or something like that. I can't think of that really coming in just as -- in the manner you're suggesting, Mr. Li.

MR. LI: Your Honor, the bottom line is I understand that the Court will deal with this question by question. We believe we have every right to ask this witness about his complaint that he asked for money. We don't think it is at all appropriate to limit it to did you file a lawsuit and did you get a settlement?

There is no rule of law I've ever heard, that requires that.

THE COURT: You went through a string of eight or nine questions, I think, before you finally got to one that may be of some concern because it's really asking somebody -- I don't know what the purpose would be for that particular question.

268

I'm going to think about it. This is the 1 first time I've seen it. And always welcome 3 authority.

The other thing that was brought up is 4 5 getting into the amount. I think the normal process would be if there is an alleged -- well, it's quite often in a different posture the defense wanting to explore an amount. 8

MR. LI: I'm surprised that the state wants to get into it. And I'm not going to put it on the record what the amount it is because I think there 12 are some confidentiality agreements that are 13 subject to court rules.

But I am surprised that the state would want to get into that because it's a significant 16 amount of money. And he's asking for more money 17 from other people. And I had not intended to go there because I don't think it's -- you know.

But if the state -- because I'm trying 19 20 not to draw every objection.

THE COURT: I want to know. Normally the 22 state would not be asking that. That was my 23 observation as well.

Ms. Polk, I'm wondering, since that's the 24 case -- and I don't read anything into this. But

what purpose would that serve or the state to do 2 that?

MS. POLK: Well, Your Honor, if the defendant, Mr. Ray, has settled a civil lawsuit, then that is an admission of some liability.

We don't know -- we don't know what happened to the case because of the confidentiality agreement. The defendant knows. I don't know if the criminal defense attorneys know. But the state does not know.

11 Every witness who filed a complaint 12 against the defendant, we know that it is settled. But every single witness has told us there is a 13 confidentiality agreement. We have respected that 14 15 and we have not asked about the terms.

MR. LI: Actually --

3

4

6

7

10

16

17

25

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: I don't think the release is going to say that there is an admission of liability. 18

19 I've seen very few civil settlements that have that 20 kind of a release.

21 MR. LI: I think they would pull my Bar card 22 if I wrote a release that says -- you know -- we're 23 liable and guilty. That's not what settlements typically are. 24

THE COURT: I have a concern with the defense

270

1 wanting to bring this up. I'm not saying which way

2 it goes. It's potential. It has -- I'm talking

3 about the whole issue of wanting to cross-examine

on the lawsuit. That's completely understandable.

That goes to possible motive and bias. 5

But then trying to restrict that yourself 7 in terms of the amount of settlement -- although I don't see that as a particularly relevant concern, especially from the state's perspective, Ms. Polk.

I'd be very surprised if there would be any admission of liability whatsoever. Of course, that's one of the major reasons people settle and 13 to clear that part up.

Go ahead.

MS. POLK: Your Honor, again, the problem is 16 the state is operating with very little 17 information. Again, we were just given this lawsuit last night. We are not privy to the confidentiality agreement. We're not privy to the terms of the settlement because of the confidentiality agreement. So we're just operating 22 in the dark.

I'm just trying to understand how far the 23 24 Court will allow the defense to go on

cross-examination so I know what to do with the

1 witness.

11

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

8

12

16

17

18

20

It was not an area that I intended to go 2 into to ask this witness if he has filed a lawsuit. 3

But knowing that Mr. Li intends to, then I 4

obviously would want to draw the sting. 5

But, again, I don't know the terms of the 6 settlement. What I do know, I guess I'm assuming, 7 is that some money was paid by the defendant to 9 this man.

10 MR. LI: Not by the defendant.

MS. POLK: Let me just finish.

I appreciate that I do not know the 12 13 facts. And I don't know the facts because there is a confidentiality agreement in place that leaves 14 the state operating in the dark about an area that 15 the defense has indicated they are going to 16 17 cross-examine this witness on.

I need to know what the parameters are. If I understand how far Mr. Li will be allowed to go, then that can help me in deciding how to question the witness tomorrow.

22 THE COURT: Being presented with this right now -- and this witness has been disclosed for some 23

time. I don't know when the lawsuit was filed. 24

Maybe somebody can tell me just off the front page.

272

MR. LI: November, 2009.

THE COURT: November, 2009. So this isn't something that had to be brought up right now.

This would be --4

5 MR. LI: I mean, Your Honor, just really, it staggers the imagination. This is a public 6 document.

THE COURT: I know.

MR. LI: And Detective Diskin is quite good at 9 finding things and calling people and digging up 10 stuff if he wants to. 11

These are the state's witness. They can just ask the witnesses, hey. Can I get a copy of 13 that lawsuit you filed? Can you tell me what the 14 terms of your settlement were? Whatever. Can I 15 talk to your lawyer?

THE COURT: I'm going to have to handle it question by question when it's given to me at this 19 point. I haven't had time to look into it.

And no one seems to be disputing it's a 21 relevant area for cross-examination. Not something 22 that requires extrinsic evidence, especially 23 whenever it's disclosed.

Given the disclosure now, if it's going 24 to now be an exhibit all of a sudden, then further

guidance on that? I'll try to look at the law in 1 2 the interim.

3

4

17

18

19

20

2

6

9

10

11

16

18

19

20

21

23

I'm not going to allow questions that just get into speculation. It's something somebody is not going to know because an attorney put a legal document together.

7 In terms of actually motivation and bias, those are legitimate questions. You can ask that. 8 But not -- can't be questions to raise a defense 9 theory in the guise of attacking motive and bias, 10 11 if that's the suggestion.

12 MR. LI: Your Honor, it's not just motive and 13 bias. This is a statement --

14 THE COURT: Okay. That's what I've been talking -- why didn't you let me know I was on the 15 16 wrong track?

MR. LI: No, no, no. There are multiple reasons why you get to ask a witness about a lawsuit they filed. One of them is motive and bias.

21 Another is that this is, essentially, a 22 statement of the plaintiff in this case. If the 23 plaintiff were sitting here today on the stand 24 testifying about what he experienced while he was in the sweat lodge in 2009, I would get to walk up 25

274

15

25

to him and ask him questions about it. 1

THE COURT: Can I see the complaint?

3 MR. LI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you mind, Ms. Polk, if I look 4 at the complaint? 5

MS. POLK: No, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: I just want to look at the request for relief. 8

So, if you look at the last allegation, are you saying that that's something that can be explored as well? I'm looking on Page 17.

12 MR. LI: You mean the attorney's fees and 13 cost?

14 THE COURT: Before that. If you're going to 15 ask about certain beliefs he has as to what might have gone wrong, is that something the state could 17 ask?

MR. LI: Your Honor, the point is this: It's not what you believe went on. The question is, did you file a lawsuit? Did you allege "X"?

THE COURT: Then when the state gets up and 22 wants to ask about that last allegation, then that's going to stop.

24 I just want to see where this is going to 25 go so we don't have an interminable bench

conference on

2 There are things in here I don't think you're going to want to ask in terms of what is alleged. I'm not going to presume to look at 4 5 either side. But I want -- needs to be thought 6 through.

7 I'm going to think about the questions on 8 a question-by-question basis.

9 And I guess Ms. Polk is saying that since 10 there is going to be cross-examination, that it's something that ought to be brought out once it's 11 brought out while it's still on direct. 12

That's all I can say. I'm going to do my 13 best to admit admissible evidence. 14

MR. LI: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And restrict that which is not. 16

MR. LI: I understand that, Your Honor. And I 17 appreciate that. 18

19 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LI: We will -- I just -- we will do our 20 best to find some authority on this proposition. 21 But I literally -- this is something I've just never heard of. So we will try to do our best 23

24

THE COURT: You've never heard of being

1 allowed to fully explore possible motive and bias,

because of a civil lawsuit? Because that's what 2

3 you can do.

MR. LI: No, Your Honor, I've never heard the 4 state object to a defense -- a defendant on trial 5

in a criminal case -- I've never heard the state

actually object to full and fair cross-examination 7

of a witness about all of the statements that he

made, including those that might show bias and 9

motive and including those that he may have adopted 10

in one way or another. I've just never heard of 11

12 that.

And -- you know -- he's going to get up 13 14 there and say all kinds of thing, I presume, about Mr. Rav. And we'll do our best. 15

THE COURT: Ms. Polk. 16

MS. POLK: Your Honor, it's just that I think 17 there is some twisting of what the issue is. 18

This complaint, first of all, is only 19 20 signed by an attorney. It's not signed by Mr. Mehravar, our witness. And it contains the 21 pleadings filed within a month of the incident 22 23 itself.

And those pleadings, I think everyone 24 would accept, are speculations by the attorneys as

to what went wrong and who is criminally -- or I'm sorry -- civilly responsible for what went wrong.

And just as the defense would not be allowed to cross-examine the attorney who wrote that paper, they should not be allowed to cross-examine the plaintiff who did not write that paper. It's purely speculative and clearly inadmissible.

The second issue is that Rule 15.2 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 15.2(c)(3), sets forth the defense's obligation.

The state has a right to expect that the defense would comply with the rules. And (c)(3) says the defense has to provide to the state a list of all papers, documents, photographs and other tangible objects, that he intends to use at trial.

He now intends to use a civil complaint at trial that had not been previously disclosed to the state.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Going to recess.

(The proceedings concluded.)

23 24 25

3

4

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

```
STATE OF ARIZONA
                                 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
    COUNTY OF YAVAPAI
               I, Mina G Hunt, do hereby certify that I
    am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
    and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California.
               I further certify that these proceedings
    were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
    herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
    typewritten form, and that the foregoing
    constitutes a true and correct transcript
11
               I further certify that I am not related
12
    to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
    parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
14
    interested in the result of the within action
15
               In witness whereof, I have affixed my
16
    signature this 29th day of March, 2011.
17
19
21
                MINA G HUNT, AZ CR No. 50619
CA CSR No. 8335
24
```

1	STATE OF ARIZONA ) ) ss: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE					
2	) ss: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI )					
3						
4	I, Mina G. Hunt, do hereby certify that I					
5	am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona					
6	and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California.					
7	I further certify that these proceedings					
8	were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place					
9	herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to					
10	typewritten form, and that the foregoing					
11	constitutes a true and correct transcript.					
12	I further certify that I am not related					
13	to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the					
14	parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise					
15	interested in the result of the within action.					
16	In witness whereof, I have affixed my					
17	signature this 29th day of March, 2011.					
18						
19						
20						
21						
22	Ir. Chiat					
23	MINA G. HUNT, AZ CR No. 50619					
24	CA CSR No. 8335					
25						